

## Sean McBride

---

**From:** Rien Visser <rien.visser@canterbury.ac.nz>  
**Sent:** 31 October 2020 8:39 pm  
**To:** Sean McBride  
**Cc:** Campbell Harvey  
**Subject:** RE: WSP Additional information

Hi Sean  
Cc Campbell

Sorry, just catching up with my emails. As per Campbell's email to me below, there is nothing in Mr. Benton's letter that changes the harvesting options report we provided. The opinion is much more about tree health / risk and the assessment thereof, and we recognised your expertise in this aspect. The part of our report that indicates a great understory impact, as well as an overall greater impact with regard to soil disturbance (not to mention cost), stands for any strategy that removes individual trees over a longer period of time.

Regards,  
Rien

---

**From:** Campbell Harvey <campbell.harvey@canterbury.ac.nz>  
**Sent:** Friday, 30 October 2020 12:20 p.m.  
**To:** Rien Visser <rien.visser@canterbury.ac.nz>  
**Subject:** FW: WSP Additional information

Hi Rien,

Mr Benton's update (dated 20 Sept. 2020) does not change my opinion.

Something I noted at the meeting yesterday was that 1000+ tonnes of woody debris/logs building up on the site (over whatever timeframe the trees are felled) is a concern and that will require some infrastructure to be built to remove it. I don't believe this letter changes our proposal within our scope of 'providing harvest options'.

Does it change your own opinion? Maybe you can forward this to Sean if you also agree...

Cheers,  
Campbell

---

### Campbell Harvey

Assistant Lecturer  
Te Kura Ngahere - NZ School of Forestry, College of Engineering, University of Canterbury  
Private Bag 4800, Christchurch 8140, New Zealand  
Ph: +64 3 369-1034, Ext 91034

---

UC - the first New Zealand university to receive a 5-Star rating from QS Star