



**Date:** Thursday 23 June 2016  
**Time:** 3:00pm  
**Venue:** Council Chamber  
Ground Floor  
Auckland Town Hall  
301-305 Queen Street, Auckland

---

## **TŪPUNA MAUNGA O TĀMAKI MAKURAU AUTHORITY**

### **HUI 19 (23 JUNE 2016)**

### **Open Agenda**

---

<b>Chair</b>	Paul Majurey	Ngā Mana Whenua o Tāmaki Makaurau (Marutūāhu Rōpū)
<b>Deputy Chair</b>	Hon Christine Fletcher QSO	Auckland Council (Governing Body)
<b>Members</b>	Ngarimu Blair	Ngā Mana Whenua o Tāmaki Makaurau (Ngāti Whātua Rōpū)
	Bill Cashmore	Auckland Council (Governing Body)
	Tipa Compain	Ngā Mana Whenua o Tāmaki Makaurau (Marutūāhu Rōpū)
	Chris Darby	Auckland Council (Governing Body)
	Glenda Fryer	Auckland Council (Deputy Chair – Albert-Eden Local Board)
	Grant Hawke	Ngā Mana Whenua o Tāmaki Makaurau (Ngāti Whātua Rōpū)
	Dennis Kirkwood	Ngā Mana Whenua o Tāmaki Makaurau (Waiohua-Tāmaki Rōpū)
	Kit Parkinson	Auckland Council (Ōrākei Local Board)
	Simon Randall	Auckland Council (Chair – Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board)
	Te Warena Taua MNZM	Ngā Mana Whenua o Tāmaki Makaurau (Waiohua-Tāmaki Rōpū)
	Andrew Bignell	Crown Representative

#### **ITEM TABLE OF CONTENTS**

- 1 Apologies
- 2 Declaration of Interest
- 3 Confirmation of Minutes
- 4 Proposed Tupuna Maunga Integrated Management Plan
- 5 Insurance renewal
- 6 Health and Safety Register
- 7 Registers

*(Quorum is 7 members,  
comprising the chair or deputy  
chair and 2 members appointed  
by the rōpū entities and 2  
members appointed by  
Auckland Council)*

## **Ngā Mana Whenua o Tāmaki Makaurau Collective Redress Act 2014**

### **109 Functions and powers**

- (1) The Maunga Authority has the powers and functions conferred on it by or under this Act or any other enactment.
- (2) In exercising its powers and carrying out its functions in relation to the maunga, the Maunga Authority must have regard to—
  - (a) the spiritual, ancestral, cultural, customary, and historical significance of the maunga to Ngā Mana Whenua o Tāmaki Makaurau; and
  - (b) section 41(2).
- (3) In exercising its powers and carrying out its functions in relation to the administered lands, the Maunga Authority must have regard to the spiritual, ancestral, cultural, customary, and historical significance of the administered lands to Ngā Mana Whenua o Tāmaki Makaurau.

[Emphasis added]

### **41 Maunga must remain as reserves vested in trustee**

- (1) This section applies to each maunga once the maunga is—
  - (a) vested in the trustee under subpart 1, 2, or 3 of this Part; and
  - (b) declared a reserve under any of sections 18 to 29, 33, and 39.
- (2) The maunga is held by the trustee for the common benefit of Ngā Mana Whenua o Tāmaki Makaurau and the other people of Auckland.

...

[Emphasis added]



**Date:** Monday 2 May 2016  
**Time:** 6:18pm  
**Venue:** Reception Lounge  
Level 2,  
Auckland Town Hall,  
301-305 Queen Street, Auckland

---

## **TŪPUNA MAUNGA O TĀMAKI MAKURAU AUTHORITY**

### **HUI 18 (MONDAY 2 MAY 2016)**

#### **Open Minutes**

---

<b>Chair</b>	Paul Majurey	Ngā Mana Whenua o Tāmaki Makaurau (Marutūāhu Rōpū)
<b>Deputy Chair</b>	Hon Christine Fletcher QSO	Auckland Council (Governing Body)
<b>Members</b>	Ngarimu Blair Tipa Compain Glenda Fryer Dennis Kirkwood Simon Randall	Ngā Mana Whenua o Tāmaki Makaurau (Ngāti Whātua Rōpū) Ngā Mana Whenua o Tāmaki Makaurau (Marutūāhu Rōpū) Auckland Council (Deputy Chair – Albert-Eden Local Board) Ngā Mana Whenua o Tāmaki Makaurau (Waiohua-Tāmaki Rōpū) Auckland Council (Chair – Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board)

#### **APOLOGIES**

Bill Cashmore	Auckland Council (Governing Body)
Chris Darby	Auckland Council (Governing Body)
Grant Hawke	Ngā Mana Whenua o Tāmaki Makaurau (Ngāti Whātua Rōpū)
Kit Parkinson	Auckland Council (Deputy Chair – Ōrākei Local Board)
Te Warena Taua MNZM	Ngā Mana Whenua o Tāmaki Makaurau (Waiohua-Tāmaki Rōpū)
Andrew Bignell	Crown Representative

**1. Apologies**

Moved: Dennis Kirkwood                      Seconded: Ngarimu Blair

That the Tūpuna Maunga o Tāmaki Makaurau Authority:

- a. **accept** the apology from Bill Cashmore for absence.
- b. **accept** the apology from Chris Darby for absence.
- c. **accept** the apology from Grant Hawke for absence.
- d. **accept** the apology from Kit Parkinson for absence.
- e. **accept** the apology from Te Warena Taua MNZM for absence.
- f. **accept** the apology from Andrew Bignell for absence

**CARRIED**

**2. Declaration of Interest**

Members are reminded of the register held by the Lead Officer and the need to be vigilant to stand aside from decision making when a conflict arises between their role as a member and any private or other external interest they might have.

Christine Fletcher declared an interest in Item 6.

**3. Confirmation of Minutes**

Moved: Tipa Compain                      Seconded: Glenda Fryer

That the Tūpuna Maunga o Tāmaki Makaurau Authority:

- a. **confirm** the minutes of its meeting, held on Monday, 4 April 2016, as a true and correct record.

**CARRIED**

**4. Tūpuna Maunga Authority: Quarterly Report (Q3)**

Moved: Christine Fletcher                  Seconded: Ngarimu Blair

That the Tūpuna Maunga o Tāmaki Maunga Authority:

- a. note the attached Quarterly Report for Q3 of the 2015/16 financial year.

**CARRIED**

**5. Tūpuna Maunga Operational Plan 2016/17**

Supporting documentation was tabled at the Hui. A copy has been placed on the official minutes and is available on the Auckland Council website as a minutes attachment.

Moved: Tipa Compain                  Seconded: Glenda Fryer

That the Tūpuna Maunga o Tāmaki Makaurau Authority:

- a. approve the Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2016/17 which has been amended to reflect submissions, subject to the Health and Safety at Work Act 2015 being addressed in the Operational Plan 2016/2017.
- b. delegate authority to the Manager Tūpuna Maunga to make any final amendments to incorporate further changes agreed at the Hui 18 workshop and any minor errors.
- c. delegate authority to the Chair and Deputy Chair to approve the Summary of the Tūpuna Maunga Operational Plan 2016/17 for inclusion in Auckland Council's Annual Plan 2016/17.
- d. note the Auckland Council Governing Body will be invited to approve the Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2016/17 for inclusion in the Annual Plan 2016/17 on 30 June 2016.
- e. request management ensure that the expenditure and revenue associated with buildings on the Tūpuna Maunga is cost neutral.

**CARRIED**

**Attachment**

- A 2 May 2016, Tūpuna Maunga o Tāmaki Makaurau Authority, Item 5 - Tūpuna Maunga Operational Plan 2016/17 template

**6. Mountain Green Archery Club renewal of lease**

This item was deferred to Hui 19.

**7. Health and Safety Register**

Moved: Christine Fletcher                  Seconded: Dennis Kirkwood

That the Tūpuna Maunga o Tāmaki Makaurau Authority

- a. note the incidents included in the Health and Safety Register (agenda attachment A) and actions taken by management in response.
- b. request that staff include an 'actions taken' column in the Health and Safety Register.

**CARRIED**

**8. Registers**

Moved: Tipa Compain                      Seconded: Glenda Fryer

That the Tūpuna Maunga o Tāmaki Makaurau Authority

- a. **note** the Registers, agenda attachments A - C, which have been updated since Hui 17 (4 April 2016).

**CARRIED**

**9. Public input process**

Supporting documentation was tabled at the Hui. A copy has been placed on the official minutes and is available on the Auckland Council website as a minutes attachment.

Moved: Christine Fletcher                      Seconded:

That the Tūpuna Maunga o Tāmaki Makaurau Authority:

- a. **thank** David Fraser for his presentation.
- b. **request** that staff refer the issue of the southern portion of Matukutūruru to the Auckland Council Planning Department, and the Auckland Council Parks Department to request an assessment of the potential acquisition and future management of this site.
- c. **support** in principle the acquisition of the southern portion of land at Matukutūruru by Auckland Council (currently in private ownership), further reasoning behind this support to be provided by the Chair.

**CARRIED**

**Attachment**

- A** 2 May 2016, Tūpuna Maunga o Tāmaki Makaurau Authority, Item 9 – Matukutūruru images

Dennis Kirkwood closed the meeting.

7:08 pm

The Chairperson thanked members for their attendance and attention to business and declared the meeting closed.

CONFIRMED AS A TRUE AND CORRECT RECORD AT A MEETING OF THE MAUNGA AUTHORITY HELD ON

**DATE:**.....

**CHAIRPERSON:**.....



## Open Agenda

### 1 Apologies

An apology from Cr C Darby for early departure has been received.

### 2 Declaration of Interest

Members are reminded of the need to be vigilant to stand aside from decision making when a conflict arises between their role as a member and any private or other external interest they might have.

### 3 Confirmation of Minutes

That the Tūpuna Maunga o Tāmaki Makaurau Authority :

- a. **confirm** the minutes of its meeting, held on Monday 2 May 2016, as a true and correct record.



#### 4. Proposed Tūpuna Maunga Integrated Management Plan

Author: Janine Bell, Associate Partner/Planner Boffa Miskell Limited

<b>Purpose</b>	<p>This report:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Outlines the Tūpuna Maunga Integrated Management Plan (IMP) preparation process to date (<b>Attachment A</b>).</li> <li>b. Outlines the late submissions received to the Integrated Management Plan after the close of the submission period; and</li> <li>c. Outlines the recommended changes to the Proposed Integrated Management Plan in response to matters raised in the submissions received (<b>Attachment B</b>).</li> </ul>
<b>Recommendations</b>	<p>That the Tūpuna Maunga o Tāmaki Makaurau Authority:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. <b>agree</b> to accept the 11 late submissions received to the IMP;</li> <li>b. <b>agree</b> to receive the recommendations from the Hearing Panel in relation to submissions received which are reflected in the Hearing Panel Recommendations (<b>Attachment C</b>) and the tracked change version of the Integrated Management Plan included in <b>Attachment B</b>;</li> <li>c. <b>approve</b> the Tūpuna Maunga o Tamaki Makaurau Integrated Management Plan;</li> <li>d. <b>delegate</b> authority to the Lead Officer to approve minor edits to finalise the document;</li> <li>e. <b>delegate</b> to the Chair authority to complete the hearing decision cover paper in conjunction with management; and</li> <li>f. <b>note</b> the finalised Integrated Management Plan and associated submissions are to be forwarded to the Minister of Conservation for approval in relation to those parts of the IMP relating to Maungauika.</li> </ul>

## **Background**

1. Section 58 of the Nga Mana Whenua ō Tamaki Makaurau Collective Redress Act 2014 (“the Redress Act”) requires the Maunga Authority to prepare and approve an Integrated Management Plan to apply to the Tūpuna Maunga, including Maungauika; the administered lands and any land for which any other enactment requires the Maunga Authority to be the administering body. The Integrated Management Plan must comply with the requirements of s59 of the Redress Act.
2. Work commenced on the preparation of the Integrated Management Plan (IMP) in mid-2015, with the Maunga Authority issuing a Public Notice of Intent on 22 June 2015 setting out its intention to prepare the IMP and requesting public feedback to inform the development of the IMP. The feedback period closed on 31 July 2015. Feedback was received from 44 individuals and 16 organisations (including Local Boards).

## **Proposed Integrated Management Plan**

3. The IMP:
  - a. outlines the Tūpuna Maunga Authority’s long-term vision for the Tūpuna Maunga by way of Values and Pathways to achieve an integrated outcome for all the maunga;
  - b. sets the direction for future protection, restoration, enhancement and appropriate use of the Tūpuna Maunga;
  - c. replaces the former separate legacy reserve management plans for the Tūpuna Maunga; and
  - d. has been developed in accordance with the Ngā Mana Whenua o Tāmaki Makaurau Collective Redress Act 2014 and Reserves Act 1977.
4. At Hui 15 (7 December 2015) the Maunga Authority approved a Draft IMP and resolved to make this Plan available for informal public feedback through the December 2015/January 2016 period. At the conclusion of this period, feedback was received from 20 groups and individuals. The Maunga Authority subsequently approved a number of amendments to the Draft IMP in response to the feedback received.

## **Public Notification**

5. At Hui 16 (22 February 2016) the Maunga Authority approved the Proposed IMP and resolved to commence the statutory two month public notification process.
6. The IMP was publicly notified on 27 February 2016 with the plan available for submission until 29 April 2016. Two public notices were placed in the NZ Herald and notices were also placed in the suburban papers advising of the opportunity for the public to make submissions.

7. Copies of the document were available for viewing at all Auckland Council libraries and on the Auckland Council website. Hard copies were sent to mana whenua and to all Local Board chairpersons and their advisors.
8. Mana Whenua, members of the Tūpuna Taonga Trust, a representative of the Director-General of Conservation (in relation to Maungauika) and Local Boards were also sent a copy of the Proposed IMP. In April 2016 management briefed relevant Local Boards on the IMP and consultation process at the Maunga Authority Local Board Forum.
9. All parties who provided comments at the Notice of Intention stage, to the Draft IMP stage and key stakeholders with an interest in the Tūpuna Maunga were emailed a link to the electronic version of the Proposed IMP with an offer to send a hard copy if required.

### **Submissions**

10. The submissions period closed on 29 April 2016. In total 112 submissions were received. Of these 11 submissions were received after the closing date.

#### **Late Submissions**

11. There were 11 submissions received after 5pm on Friday 29 April 2016.
12. Although received after the close of the submission period, these late submissions were able to be incorporated into the process and considered along with the matters raised by the other submissions. The late submitters were also invited to attend the hearing. Waiving the timeframe for the acceptance of these late submissions does not unduly prejudice the process or the other submitters to the IMP. Therefore it is recommended that the 11 late submissions be accepted for consideration.

#### **Issues Raised in Submissions**

13. The main issues raised in the submissions related to:
  - a. Hang gliding and paragliding access to Maungauika and Maungarei/Mt Wellington (54 submissions) and a perceived restriction of these activities.
  - b. Use of Maungauika and Takarunga/Mt Victoria for mountain biking;
  - c. Radio controlled gliding over the maunga;
  - d. Concern from landowners adjacent to the maunga about references in the IMP that referred to the “footprint” of the maunga extending over “legal boundaries” and the suggestion of intent that the Authority exercise influence beyond the legal boundary of the Tūpuna Maunga;
  - e. Concern the IMP does not contain the detail of the current reserve management plans;

- f. Suggestions that the IMP could benefit from greater explanation of the archaeological and geological narratives to inform and support the values and significance of the Tūpuna Maunga;
- g. Tūpuna Maunga names used in the IMP do not mirror the names in the Collective Redress Act 2014, which are the names agreed to by mana whenua;
- h. Reclassification of the reserves status of the Tūpuna Maunga;
- i. Concern regarding the management of concessionaries; and
- j. Site specific matters and concerns about operation and maintenance of the Tūpuna Maunga.

### **Hearing Process**

14. On Tuesday 7 June 2016, the Tūpuna Maunga o Tāmaki Makaurau Authority Integrated Management Plan Hearing Panel convened to hear submissions received to the Proposed IMP at the Auckland Town Hall. Thirteen submitters attended the hearing and spoke to their submissions.

### **Recommended Amendments**

15. Following the consideration of all submissions received to the Proposed IMP and the material presented at the Hearings the following key amendments and additions to the final IMP are recommended by the Hearings Panel:

Inclusion of a new diagram of the structure and role of Ngā Mana Whenua and the Tūpuna Taonga Trust in relation to the Tūpuna Maunga;

Amending the names of the Tūpuna Maunga throughout the document to mirror the names in the Collective Redress Act 2014;

Additional references relating to advocacy supporting a World Heritage nomination and the authenticity and integrity of the maunga and the unique landscape features;

Clarification regarding the role of the Crown representative on the Tūpuna Maunga O Tāmaki Makaurau Authority;

Inclusion of a new section setting out the requirement to prepare a comprehensive and integrated Tūpuna Maunga Recreation Strategy for all the Tūpuna Maunga and listing the matters to be addressed in the strategy;

Addition of the matters to be addressed in the Commercial Strategy;

Additional references to archaeological and geological significance, history and protection and identification, protection and monitoring of cultural elements;

Inclusion of the requirement to undertake a heritage inventory; and

Clarification regarding developing relationships with adjacent landowners to enable an integrated approach to caring for the maunga and advocating for activities and development on adjacent land to respect the Tūpuna Maunga Values.

16. The full set of submissions and Hearing Panel recommendations are set out in **Attachment C**, and proposed amendments and additions are summarised in **Attachment D**. The tracked changes/black and white version of the IMP showing all proposed amendments and additions is also included in **Attachment B**.

### Next Steps

17. The Collective Redress Act requires that the IMP be approved in accordance with the process set out in s41 of the Reserves Act 1977, with any necessary modifications. As outlined in this report, the process set out in s41 of the Reserves Act 1977 has been followed in the development of the IMP.
18. This has included undertaking a Notice of Intent to prepare the IMP, seeking feedback on a draft IMP, formally notifying the Proposed IMP for submission, holding a hearing to give submitters the opportunity to speak to their submissions (refer **Attachment A**).
19. The Collective Redress Act requires that the part of the IMP relating to Maungauika also be approved by the Minister of Conservation.
20. Following approval of the recommended amendments set out in **Attachment B** the IMP will be provided to the Minister of Conservation with the necessary documentation to enable consideration.
21. All submitters will be thanked for their submissions and advised of the outcome of the submission process.
22. Copies of the approved IMP document will be available through the Auckland Council Tūpuna Maunga O Tāmaki Makaurau Authority webpage and all Auckland Council libraries will receive a copy.


### Attachments

- A** Tūpuna Maunga Integrated Management Plan Preparation Process
- B** Track Changes version of IMP
- C** Hearing Panel recommendations
- D** Recommended changes in response to submissions



**Attachment A**

**Tūpuna Maunga Integrated Management Plan Preparation Process**





**Attachment B**

**Track Changes/black and white version of IMP**

This attachment will be distributed under separate cover.



**Attachment C**

**Hearings Panel Recommendations**

**PROPOSED TŪPUNA MAUNGA INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT PLAN**  
**HEARING PANEL'S RECOMMENDATIONS ON SUBMISSIONS**

No	NAME / ORGANISATION	TŪPUNA MAUNGA	SUMMARY OF SUBMISSION	RECOMMENDATION ON SUBMISSIONS	
				RECOMMENDED CHANGES AND REASONS	ALLOWED / ALLOWED IN PART / DISALLOWED / RECEIVED
01	Mr J Kearins	All	Submitter suggests that where appropriate (bike facilities such as pump tracks on flatter areas at the base) be permitted.	<p><b>Recommendation – no amendment</b></p> <p><b>Reasons:</b></p> <p>This can be addressed in the Individual Tūpuna Maunga Plans.</p>	Disallowed – no amendment to the proposed IMP required.
02	Mr C Squire	Takarunga / Mt Victoria	<p>Submitter opposes banning of cars on the thi as it makes the mountains non-accessible.</p> <p>Submitter supports banning of mountain bikes but does not support other changes such as banning paragliders and sliding down the sides of the maunga.</p>	<p><b>Recommendation – amend clause 10.23</b></p> <p><b>Reasons:</b></p> <p>The matters relating to banning cars and sliding on the side of the maunga can be addressed in the Individual Tūpuna Maunga Plans. A number of submissions were received on the issue of paragliding and hang gliding.</p> <p>Paragliding, hang gliding, drones and remote controlled aircraft are examples of activities that may use the Tūpuna Maunga airspace. This issue will be addressed in the development of the Individual Tūpuna Maunga Plans where it may be decided to manage these kinds of activities in the maunga airspace and reflect this in the Tūpuna Maunga Plans.</p> <p><b>Recommend</b> clause 10.23 be amended to read:</p> <p>10.23 The Tūpuna Maunga Plans must, as a minimum, address:</p> <p>Managing and/or restricting air access activities for example paragliding and hang gliding,</p>	Allowed in part
03	Mr M N Hayes	Takarunga / Mt Victoria	Submitter seeks clarity that the IMP does not apply to their land and activities at 96A Victoria Rd, Devonport.	<p><b>Recommendation – amend clauses 9.6, 9.7</b></p> <p><b>Reasons:</b></p>	Allowed in part

# PROPOSED TŪPUNA MAUNGA INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT PLAN

## HEARING PANEL'S RECOMMENDATIONS ON SUBMISSIONS

NO	NAME / ORGANISATION	TŪPUNA MAUNGA	SUMMARY OF SUBMISSION	RECOMMENDATION ON SUBMISSIONS	
				RECOMMENDED CHANGES AND REASONS	ALLOWED / ALLOWED IN PART / DISALLOWED / RECEIVED
			<p>The submitter also requests that they are consulted on any activity/development on the maunga within proximity of their property.</p>	<p>The Tūpuna Maunga are revered by mana whenua and are places to be honoured, respected and protected for those who have gone before and for the many generations to come. The mana whenua relationship with the maunga is holistic and not limited to statutory boundaries.</p> <p>The IMP does not extend to the Maunga Authority any further statutory powers over neighbouring property, and does not change their own statutory obligations as a neighbour.</p> <p>Having said that, the IMP pathway statements provide guidance on the direction for the overarching strategies, plans and design guidelines.</p> <p>The following changes seek to clarify concerns that the language gives rise to misinterpretation.</p> <p><b>Recommend</b> the following amendments:</p> <p>9.6 Mana Aotūroa / Cultural Heritage Value  <i>The footprint of the Tūpuna Maunga over which mana whenua exercise kaitiakitanga of Mana Whenua over the Tūpuna Maunga extends beyond the legal boundaries and fence lines over the entirety of the maunga.</i></p> <p>9.7 Takotoranga Whenua / Landscape Value      Pathway: Protect the integrity of the landscape of the Tūpuna Maunga</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Recognise that the ‘footprint’ of the Tūpuna Maunga and the are a part of a broader volcanic field and Mana Whenua and local communities wish to see the maunga and volcanic field in their entirely protected and enhanced goes beyond the legal boundaries, and exercise influence over the protection and enhancement of the entire volcanic field.</li> </ul> <p>Pathway: Encourage activities that are in keeping with the natural and</p>	

**PROPOSED TŪPUNA MAUNGA INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT PLAN**  
**HEARING PANEL'S RECOMMENDATIONS ON SUBMISSIONS**

No	NAME / ORGANISATION	TŪPUNA MAUNGA	SUMMARY OF SUBMISSION	RECOMMENDATION ON SUBMISSIONS	
				RECOMMENDED CHANGES AND REASONS	ALLOWED / ALLOWED IN PART / DISALLOWED / RECEIVED
			indigenous landscape	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Develop Progress relationships with adjacent landowners to ensure enable an integrated approach to caring for the maunga appropriate understanding of the maunga's spiritual, cultural, landscape, and ecological values and identify opportunities for a coherent approach to land management.</li> <li>Advocate for the Tūpuna Maunga Values to be reflected in activities and development on adjacent land to respect the Tūpuna Maunga Values.</li> </ul>	Allowed in part
04	Mr D Newbold	All and more specifically Takarunga / Mt Victoria	The submitter notes that section 1 is incomplete, compliments the Maunga Authority on the photography that forms a backdrop to the plan, and states that the principles to be applied give no detail on any changes that will be made.	<p><b>Recommendation – section 1 to be completed in the final document</b></p> <p><b>Reasons:</b></p> <p>Section 1 will be completed in the final document.</p>	Allowed in part
05	Mr G & Mrs V Cumberland	All and more specifically Takarunga / Mt Victoria	The submitter asks what (if any) restrictions are to be applied to North Head and Mount Victoria.	<p>No specific restrictions are proposed for Takarunga / Mt Victoria or Maungauika / North Head through the IMP.</p> <p>The IMP gives visibility to the Mana Whenua worldview and connection and relationships to the Tūpuna Maunga felt by other cultures.</p>	Disallow
			The submitter also states that the introduction is very focused on the needs of Maori, and that there are other cultures that have a close and spiritual connection with the land.	<p><b>Recommendation – no amendment</b></p> <p><b>Reasons:</b></p> <p>The IMP is not a comprehensive history of the Tūpuna Maunga. Maungauika is currently administered by DoC and therefore the</p>	

**PROPOSED TŪPUNA MAUNGA INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT PLAN**  
**HEARING PANEL'S RECOMMENDATIONS ON SUBMISSIONS**

No	NAME / ORGANISATION	TŪPUNA MAUNGA	SUMMARY OF SUBMISSION	RECOMMENDATION ON SUBMISSIONS	
				RECOMMENDED CHANGES AND REASONS	ALLOWED / ALLOWED IN PART / DISALLOWED / RECEIVED
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>utilised the resources of the isthmus and the ways those resources were utilised.</li> <li>More definition of the roles of 'other peoples of Auckland' in administering the IMP, more precisely the relationships between mana whenua and the communities adjacent to the maunga.</li> <li>In relation to Maungauika, that the potential danger from a possible explosion emanating from the hidden tunnels under Maungauika is eliminated.</li> </ul>	<p>amendments requested are outside the scope of the IMP.</p>	Disallowed – no amendment to the proposed IMP required.
06	Mr W Harris	Takarunga / Mt Victoria & Maungauika / North Head	<p>With reference to section 10.23 of the IMP, the submitter states:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>that he is a long term user of North Head and Mt Victoria for recreational mountain biking;</li> <li>that any assertion that an army of mountain bikers is destroying the Maunga by causing erosion is completely and totally untrue (refer extract from Chris Darby email dated 12/3/15);</li> <li>that mountain biking is a recreational pursuit that requires no facilities, no infrastructure, no maunga maintenance, has no adverse physical effect, and has no adverse impact on the enjoyment of</li> </ul>	<p><b>Recommendation – no amendment</b></p> <p><b>Reasons:</b></p> <p>Section 10.23 of the IMP notes that Tūpuna Maunga Plans must address a number of matters including:</p> <p>"Appropriate cycle access on the Tūpuna Maunga. Cycle access shall avoid erosion and adverse physical effects on Tūpuna Maunga values,"</p> <p>This reference does not exclude mountain biking, rather it seeks to ensure that cycling of any kind on the Tūpuna Maunga is managed in a way that ensures no adverse physical impact on the maunga.</p> <p>At the time the individual Tūpuna Maunga Plans are prepared, consideration will be given to appropriate cycle access on that particular maunga.</p>	

**PROPOSED TŪPUNA MAUNGA INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT PLAN**  
**HEARING PANEL'S RECOMMENDATIONS ON SUBMISSIONS**

No	NAME / ORGANISATION	TŪPUNA MAUNGA	SUMMARY OF SUBMISSION	RECOMMENDATION ON SUBMISSIONS	
				RECOMMENDED CHANGES AND REASONS	ALLOWED / ALLOWED IN PART / DISALLOWED / RECEIVED
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>any other Maunga users;</li> <li>that mountain biking should be provided for as it has no impact what-so-ever on spiritual, ecological, geological and cultural values of any Maunga.</li> </ul>		Disallowed – no amendment to the proposed IMP required.
07	Mr M Buckeridge	Takarunga / Mt Victoria & Maungauika / North Head	<p>With reference to section 10.23 of the IMP, the submitter states:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>that he is a long term user of North Head and Mt Victoria for recreational mountain biking;</li> <li>that any assertion that an army of mountain bikers is destroying the Maunga by causing erosion is completely and totally untrue (refer extract from Chris Darby email dated 12/3/15);</li> <li>that mountain biking is a recreational pursuit that requires no facilities, no infrastructure, no maunga maintenance, has no adverse physical effect, and has no adverse impact on the enjoyment of any other Maunga users;</li> <li>that mountain biking should be provided for as it has no impact what-so-ever on spiritual, ecological, geological and cultural values of any Maunga.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Recommendation – no amendment</b></p> <p><b>Reasons:</b></p> <p>Refer submission No 6.</p>	

**PROPOSED TŪPUNA MAUNGA INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT PLAN**  
**HEARING PANEL'S RECOMMENDATIONS ON SUBMISSIONS**

08	Mr B & Mrs S Dewar	Takarunga / Mt Victoria & Maungauika / North Head	<p>With reference to section 10.23 of the IMP, the submitter states:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• that he is a long term user of North Head and Mt Victoria for recreational mountain biking and that many groups have happily shared and used these public parks for many years with no damage or detrimental environmental consequences;</li> <li>• that there is no scientific evidence that suggest mountain biking is causing damage to the maunga;</li> <li>• that before the authority imposed a restriction on mountain biking that they produce the scientific evidence upon which they are basing the decision and that recreational mountain biking should be permitted as it has no negative impact on the spiritual, ecological, geological and cultural values of any Maunga.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Recommendation – <b>no amendment</b></b></p> <p><b>Reasons:</b></p> <p>Refer submission No 6.</p>	<p>Disallowed – no amendment to the proposed IMP required.</p>
09	Mr W Carkeek	All	<p>The submitter states:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• that they have flown unpowered Radio Control Gliders over Auckland's volcanic cones and clifftops for 30+ years,</li> <li>• that recent changes to civil aviation law require both CAA and land owner permission to fly,</li> <li>• that as far as they are aware they have a perfect 30 year safety record from flying all cliff top and volcanic cones;</li> <li>• that they would like to continue to use the maunga for our infrequent</li> </ul>	<p><b>Recommendation – <b>amend clause 10.23</b></b></p> <p><b>Reasons:</b></p> <p>A number of submissions were received on this issue, with most relating to paragliding and hang gliding (refer submission 13). This submission, while relating to radio controlled gliders, falls into the same category.</p> <p>Paragliding, hang gliding, drones and remote controlled aircraft are examples of activities that may use the Tūpuna Maunga airspace. This issue will be addressed in the development of the Individual Tūpuna Maunga Plans where it may be decided to manage these kinds of activities in the maunga airspace and reflect this in the Tūpuna Maunga Plans.</p>	Allowed in part

**PROPOSED TŪPUNA MAUNGA INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT PLAN**  
**HEARING PANEL'S RECOMMENDATIONS ON SUBMISSIONS**

			<p>enjoyment and silent flight using their non-engine gliders (subject to obtaining written landowner permission).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Managing <del>and/or—restricting air access activities for—example paragliding and hang gliding;</del></li> </ul>	<p>Recommend clause 10.23 be amended to read:</p> <p>10.23 The Tūpuna Maunga Plans must, as a minimum, address:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Managing <del>and/or—restricting air access activities for—example paragliding and hang gliding;</del></li> </ul>
10	Mrs M Hartmann	Te Tātua-a-Riukiuta / Big King	<p>With reference to the Pathway “Advocate for the Tūpuna Maunga Values to be reflected in activities and development on adjacent land” on page 59, the submitter:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Seeks that the Maunga Authority engage with Auckland Council regarding the non-suitability of the Three Kings quarry for intensive housing. The submitter states that there are other proposals (such as less intense housing) that would align more closely with the Values of the Proposed IMP.</li> </ul> <p>With reference to the Pathway “Facilitate a sense of living connection, identity and guardianship over the maunga felt by neighbours and surrounding communities and support those communities to be engaged with the maunga and active in its protection, restoration and enhancement” on page 63, the submitter:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Seeks that a Management Plan for Te Tātua-a-Riukiuta is prepared and that a Friends of Te Tātua-a-Riukiuta group is established to work with Auckland Council, the Maunga Authority, the Local Board, iwi and the community to nurture Te Tātua-a-Riukiuta. The submitter provides a list of matters such a group would consider.</li> </ul> <p>With reference to “Inspire reverence, aroha, respect and awareness of the Tūpuna Maunga as treasures” on page 55 the submitter:</p>	<p>Recommendation – <b>no amendment</b></p> <p>The development at Three Kings Quarry has been extensively canvassed with the Maunga Authority. This issue is outside the scope of the IMP.</p> <p>The establishment of a Friends of Te Tātua-a-Riukiuta group is a matter, along with the other matters raised by the submitter that will be addressed through the development of the Individual Tūpuna Maunga Plan for Te Tātua-a-Riukiuta.</p> <p>Matters relating to greater connections, linkages, accessibility, and enhanced cycle access and the reopening of the blocked access at 953 Mt Eden Road are also matters that can be considered through the development of the Individual Tūpuna Maunga Plan for Te Tātua-a-Riukiuta.</p>

**PROPOSED TŪPUNA MAUNGA INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT PLAN**  
**HEARING PANEL'S RECOMMENDATIONS ON SUBMISSIONS**

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Considers that where access to the maunga should be enhanced, enabling more people spend time on and enjoy the maunga and achieve a greater sense of kaitiakitanga.</li> </ul> <p>With reference to “Build upon the Tūpuna Maunga network as cultural landscapes in the wider context of Tāmaki Makaurau and encourage connections and development of linkages between the maunga, such as through greenways and regional trails network” on page 59, the submitter:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Would like to see greater connections and linkages and accessibility.</li> <li>• Would like to see the blocked access at 953 Mt Eden Road reopened.</li> </ul> <p>With reference to “Ensure connectivity including pedestrian and bicycle access to the Tūpuna Maunga with city and nationwide (eg Te Araroa) plans” on page 67, the submitter:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provides a list of impediments to cycling on Te Tātua-a-Riukiuta, and would like to see a focus on cycling accessibility (including wheelchair and mobility-scooters) across all maunga.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Recommendation – no amendment</b></p> <p><b>Reasons:</b>  Refer submission No 6.</p>	<p>Disallowed  – no amendment to the proposed IMP required.</p>
11	Mr R Wallace	Takarunga / Mt Victoria & Maungauika / North Head	<p>The submitter states:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• that the electronic version of the draft IMP is difficult to read (file too big and font too small),</li> <li>• that the content does not have much editorial oversight, used very general language, was repetitive and that it was not immediately apparent what is intended by IMP;</li> <li>• that he is a frequent and long term user</li> </ul>	

**PROPOSED TŪPUNA MAUNGA INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT PLAN**  
**HEARING PANEL'S RECOMMENDATIONS ON SUBMISSIONS**

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• that mountain biking does not damage to North Head nor detract from enjoyment by others, that restricting mountain bike use would needlessly remove a healthy and safer form of exercise and source of recreation from the local community; and</li> <li>• that mountain biking should be permitted on the maunga.</li> </ul>	Allowed in part
12	Mr S St. Leger Reeves	Takarunga / Mt Victoria	<p><b>Recommendation – amend clauses 9.6, 9.7</b></p> <p><b>Reasons:</b></p> <p>Refer submission No 3</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The submission relates to 53 Church Street, Devonport. The submitter is a trustee of the estate that owns the land and states:</li> <li>• states that the views contained the submission are shared by many other land owners in Devonport and regular meetings are now being had to monitor the development of the IMP;</li> <li>• that Maunga Authority powers do not, and can never legally be extended to private land outside the surveyed land boundaries of the Maunga;</li> <li>• that any suggestion that the future powers of the Maunga Authority could extend to land outside the legal boundaries of the named Maunga, is extremely problematic and any efforts to formalize such powers will result in the matter being referred to the High Court for judicial determination by the trustees and other effected land owner;.</li> <li>• That their ability and freedom to develop their land has already been compromised under certain provisions</li> </ul>

**PROPOSED TŪPUNA MAUNGA INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT PLAN**  
**HEARING PANEL'S RECOMMENDATIONS ON SUBMISSIONS**

13	Mr S Dwyer	Maungauika / North Head & Maungarei / Mt Wellington	<p>With reference to section 10.23 and the proposed potential restriction of paragliding and hang gliding the submitter would like to see existing the AHGPC access for flying at Maungauika / North Head and Maungarei / Mt Wellington maintained.</p> <p><b>Recommendation – amend clause 10.23</b></p> <p><b>Reasons:</b></p> <p>A number of submissions were received on this issue. We understand from the submissions received, that an agreement between DOC and Auckland Hang Gliding and Paragliding Club is in place that enables recreational gliding at Maungauika. No details of the agreement are provided.</p> <p>Refer submission No 9</p>
14	Mr X Zambas	Maungauika / North Head & Maungarei / Mt Wellington	<p>With reference to section 10.23 and the proposed potential restriction of paragliding and hang gliding the submitter would like to see existing the AHGPC access for flying at Maungauika / North Head and Maungarei / Mt Wellington maintained.</p> <p><b>Recommendation – amend clause 10.23</b></p> <p><b>Reasons:</b></p> <p>Refer submission No 9</p>
15	Mr H Dumbleton	Maungauika / North Head & Maungarei / Mt Wellington	<p>With reference to section 10.23 and the proposed potential restriction of paragliding and hang gliding the submitter would like to see existing the AHGPC access for flying at Maungauika / North Head and Maungarei / Mt Wellington maintained.</p> <p><b>Recommendation – amend clause 10.23</b></p> <p><b>Reasons:</b></p> <p>Refer submission No. 9</p>
16	Mr J Burton	Maungauika / North Head & Maungarei / Mt	<p>With reference to section 10.23 and the proposed potential restriction of paragliding and hang gliding the submitter would like to see existing the AHGPC access for flying at</p> <p><b>Recommendation – amend clause 10.23</b></p> <p><b>Reasons:</b></p> <p>Refer submission No 9</p>

**PROPOSED TŪPUNA MAUNGA INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT PLAN**  
**HEARING PANEL'S RECOMMENDATIONS ON SUBMISSIONS**

	Wellington	Maungauika / North Head and Maungarei / Mt Wellington maintained.		
17	Mr L Robinson	Maungauika / North Head	<p><b>With reference to the proposed new rules which may be implemented over the use of para paragliders and hang gliders the submitter would like to see existing the AHGPC access for flying at Maungauika / North Head and Maungarei / Mt Wellington maintained.</b></p> <p><b>Recommendation – amend clause 10.23</b></p> <p><b>Reasons:</b></p> <p>Refer submission No 9</p>	Allowed in part
18	Mr S Arrell	Not specified	<p><b>With reference to section 10.23 and the proposed potential restriction of paragliding and hang gliding the submitter would like to see existing the AHGPC access for flying at sites across Auckland maintained.</b></p> <p><b>Recommendation – amend clause 10.23</b></p> <p><b>Reasons:</b></p> <p>Refer submission No. 9</p>	Allowed in part
19	Mr D Nash	All	<p><b>With reference to section 10.23 and “Managing and/or restricting air access, for example paragliding and hang gliding” the submitter considers that it is discriminatory to only refer to paragliding and hang gliding. The submitter considers paragliding and hang gliding to be an appropriate us and recommends amending the bullet point as follows “Managing and/or restricting air access.”</b></p> <p><b>Recommendation – amend clause 10.23</b></p> <p><b>Reasons:</b></p> <p>Refer submission No 9</p>	Allowed in part
20	Mr A Lanovski	Maungauika / North Head & Maungarei / Mt Wellington	<p><b>With reference to the proposed new rules which may be implemented to restrict the use of paragliders and hang gliders at Maungauika / North Head and Maungarei / Mt Wellington, the submitter would like to see those maunga remain open for flying.</b></p> <p><b>Recommendation – amend clause 10.23</b></p> <p><b>Reasons:</b></p> <p>Refer submission No 9</p>	Allowed in part
21	Mr A Spierer	Maungauika / North Head	<p><b>With reference to section 10.23 and the proposed potential restriction of paragliding</b></p> <p><b>Recommendation – amend clause 10.23</b></p>	Allowed in

**PROPOSED TŪPUNA MAUNGA INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT PLAN**  
**HEARING PANEL'S RECOMMENDATIONS ON SUBMISSIONS**

	& Maungarei / Mt Wellington	and hang gliding the submitter would like to see existing the AHGPC access for flying at Maungauika / North Head and Maungarei / Mt Wellington maintained.	<b>Reasons:</b> Refer submission No 9	part
22	Mr H Dicker	Maungauika / North Head	<b>Recommendation – amend clause 10.23</b> <b>Reasons:</b> With reference to section 10.23 and the proposed potential restriction of paragliding the submitter would like to see existing the AHGPC access for flying at Maungauika / North Head maintained.	Allowed in part
23	Mr J Ward	Maungauika / North Head & Maungarei / Mt Wellington	<b>Recommendation – amend clause 10.23</b> <b>Reasons:</b> With reference to section 10.23 and the proposed potential restriction of paragliding and hang gliding the submitter would like to see existing the AHGPC access for flying at Maungauika / North Head and Maungarei / Mt Wellington maintained.	Allowed in part
24	Mr J Lloyd	Maungauika / North Head and Maungarei / Mt Wellington	<b>Recommendation – amend clause 10.23</b> <b>Reasons:</b> With reference to section 10.23 and the proposed potential restriction of paragliding and hang gliding the submitter would like to see existing the AHGPC access for flying at Maungauika / North Head and Maungarei / Mt Wellington maintained.	Allowed in part
25	Mr J Lotz	Maungauika / North Head and Maungarei / Mt Wellington	<b>Recommendation – amend clause 10.23</b> <b>Reasons:</b> With reference to section 10.23 and the proposed potential restriction of paragliding and hang gliding the submitter would like to see existing the AHGPC access for flying at Maungauika / North Head and Maungarei / Mt Wellington maintained.	Allowed in part
26	Mr O Sheehan	Maungauika / North Head and Maungarei / Mt	<b>Recommendation – amend clause 10.23</b> <b>Reasons:</b> With reference to section 10.23 and the proposed potential restriction of paragliding and hang gliding the submitter would like to see existing the AHGPC access for flying at Maungauika / North Head and Maungarei / Mt	Allowed in part

**PROPOSED TŪPUNA MAUNGA INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT PLAN**  
**HEARING PANEL'S RECOMMENDATIONS ON SUBMISSIONS**

27	Mr S Mills	Wellington	Mt Wellington maintained.	<b>Recommendation – amend clause 10.23</b>  <b>Reasons:</b> With reference to section 10.23 and the proposed potential restriction of paragliding and hang gliding the submitter would like to see existing the AHGPC access for flying at Maungauika / North Head and Maungarei / Mt Wellington maintained.
28	Mr A Darby	Maungauika / North Head and Maungarei / Mt Wellington	With reference to section 10.23 and the proposed potential restriction of paragliding and hang gliding the submitter would like to see existing the AHGPC access for flying at Maungauika / North Head and Maungarei / Mt Wellington maintained.	<b>Recommendation – amend clause 10.23</b>  <b>Reasons:</b> Refer submission No 9
29	Mr B Duffy	Maungauika / North Head and Maungarei / Mt Wellington	The submitter supports continued access to North Head and Mt Wellington for recreational hang-gliding and paragliding.	<b>Recommendation – amend clause 10.23</b>  <b>Reasons:</b> A number of submissions were received on this issue, with most relating to paragliding and hang gliding (refer submission 13). This submission, while relating to radio controlled gliders, falls into the same category.  Paragliding, hang gliding, drones and remote controlled aircraft are examples of activities that may use the Tūpuna Maunga airspace. This issue will be addressed in the development of the Individual Tūpuna Maunga Plans where it may be decided to manage these kinds of activities in the maunga airspace and reflect this in the Tūpuna Maunga Plans.
30	Mr B Bhardwaj	Ōhuiarangi /	The submitter would like to see sitting	<b>Recommendation – no amendment</b>  10.23 The Tūpuna Maunga Plans must, as a minimum, address:  Managing <del>and/or facilitating</del> air access activities for <del>example paragliding and hang-gliding,</del>

**PROPOSED TŪPUNA MAUNGA INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT PLAN**  
**HEARING PANEL'S RECOMMENDATIONS ON SUBMISSIONS**

	Pigeon Mountain	benches for the elderly in the park adjoining Pigeon Mountain Road.	<b>Reasons:</b> This is an Operational Plan matter.	- no amendment to the proposed IMP required.
31	Devonport Heritage Inc.	All	<p><b>The submitter:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Supports the underlying values and objectives for the preservation of the Tūpuna Maunga (a list of values is provided);</li> <li>Supports Auckland Council's bid to have the Volcanic Fields become a World Heritage Site;</li> <li>Seeks to have the North Head Historic Reserve Conservation Plan and Takarunga Reserve Management Plan adopted by the Maunga Authority;</li> <li>Supports a number of measures that the IMP recognises as important to maintain the recreational and passive use of the Tūpuna Maunga (a list of matters supported is provided in the submission).</li> </ul>	<p><b>Recommendation – no amendment</b></p> <p><b>Reasons:</b></p> <p>The IMP replaces the current Reserve Management Plans for each maunga. Until the Individual Tūpuna Maunga Management Plans are prepared, the Maunga Authority will manage the maunga in accordance with their statutory purpose and the Values and Pathways set out in the IMP. Where there is ambiguity, the Operational Plan and existing Reserve Management Plans can be used for guidance. Over time, the overarching strategies and guidelines and individual Maunga Plans will be prepared, providing more detailed guidance and direction.</p>
32	Mr R McCallum	All	With reference to section 10.23 opposes potential restriction of paragliding and hang gliding the submitter would like to see existing the AHGPC rights to continue.	<p><b>Recommendation – amend clause 10.23</b></p> <p><b>Reasons:</b></p> <p>Refer submission No 9</p>
33	Mr T Seaman	Maungauika / North Head and Maungarei / Mt	The submitter:	<p><b>Recommendation – amend clause 10.23</b></p> <p><b>Reasons:</b></p> <p>States that Maungauika / North Head is a valuable site for paraglider pilots' recreational activities and site has previously been permitted/sanctioned</p>

**PROPOSED TŪPUNA MAUNGA INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT PLAN**  
**HEARING PANEL'S RECOMMENDATIONS ON SUBMISSIONS**

	Wellington	for paragliders and hang gliders by DoC.			
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Concerned that sports of hang gliding and paragliding are not referenced in the IMP schedule for Maungauika / North Head and that the Imp proposes to restrict this activity.</li> <li>• Notes that hang gliding and paragliding are specifically referenced when no mention is made of model aircraft, kite flyers etc.</li> <li>• Notes that Maungarei / Mt Wellington is used less than in past for hang gliding and paragliding however seeks that the IMP recognises paragliders use of the site and that they be allowed to continue.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Recommendation – amend clause 10.23</b></p> <p><b>Reasons:</b></p> <p>Refer submission No 9</p>	Allowed in part	
34	Mr M J Harrison	Maungauika / North Head and Maungarei / Mt Wellington	<p>Supports ideas and values in the IMP but wishes to see existing use rights for hang gliding and paragliding pilots of the AHGPC on the maunga remain.</p>	<p><b>Recommendation – amend clause 10.23</b></p> <p><b>Reasons:</b></p> <p>Refer submission No 9</p>	Allowed in part
35	M D Burke	Maungauika / North Head and Maungarei / Mt Wellington	<p>With reference to section 10.23 and the proposed potential restriction of paragliding and hang gliding the submitter would like to see existing the AHGPC access for flying at Maungauika / North Head and Maungarei / Mt Wellington maintained.</p>	<p><b>Recommendation – amend clause 10.23</b></p> <p><b>Reasons:</b></p> <p>Refer submission No 9</p>	Allowed in part
36	Mr K Hayes	All Takarunga / Mt Victoria	<p>The submitter states:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• It is more appropriate not to refer to separate groups and simply to say 'the people of Auckland'.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Recommendation – amend clauses 9.6, 9.7</b></p> <p><b>Reasons:</b></p> <p>The submitter suggests much of the introductory sections are irrelevant as they are a "history lesson" and should not form part of</p>	Allowed in part

# PROPOSED TŪPUNA MAUNGA INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT PLAN

## HEARING PANEL'S RECOMMENDATIONS ON SUBMISSIONS

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The document indicates that while both parties (the Ngā Mana Whenua and Auckland Council) oversee the mountains, neither actually owns them;</li> <li>Emphasising unity and cohesion is deliberately misleading because the IMP repeatedly evokes two separate entities with the population of Auckland and submitter objects to this;</li> <li>Subjective terms (i.e. ‘equal consideration’) have no place in a Management Plan;</li> <li>The IMP should not set out to achieve identical outcomes for all of Auckland’s volcanic cones;</li> <li>The IMP as presented is not a Management Plan per se and considers that the Operational Plan has goals and specific actions;</li> <li>The non-voting Crown representative should be neutral third party whose position should be a permanent requirement (a public watchdog);</li> <li>The preparation of the IMP has not included input from the Auckland community because they have not been made aware of its existence by any significant or appropriate means;</li> <li>Each cone’s management plan should have been made available for public submission prior to the Proposed IMP being developed;</li> <li>Full details of what activities will be allowed and not allowed on the Tūpuna Maunga should have been included in the IMP to allow submissions to be</li> </ul>	<p>a Management Plan. The submitter also questions the legal framework, governance arrangements, and matters which are clearly set out in statute. We recommend making no amendment.</p> <p>The submitter also suggests that the document is short on specifics. This is intentional. The IMP sets out the Values and Pathways which will be delivered through guidelines, strategies, and plans and these are where the detail will be provided. We recommend making no amendment.</p> <p>The Tūpuna Maunga are revered by mana whenua and are places to be honoured, respected and protected for those who have gone before and for generations to come. The mana whenua view of the maunga is holistic and not limited to statutory boundaries.</p> <p>The IMP does not extend to the Maunga Authority any further statutory powers over neighbouring property, and does not change their own statutory obligations as a neighbour.</p> <p>Having said that, the IMP pathway statements provide guidance on the direction for the overarching strategies, plans and design guidelines.</p> <p>The following changes seek to clarify concerns that the language gives rise to misinterpretation</p> <p><b>Recommend the following amendments:</b></p> <p><b>9.6 Mana Auōroa / Cultural Heritage Value</b> The <u>‘footprint of the Tūpuna Maunga’ over which mana whenua exercise kaitiakitanga of Mana Whenua over the Tūpuna Maunga extends beyond the legal boundaries and fence lines over the entirety of the maunga.</u></p> <p><b>9.7 Takotoranga Whenua / Landscape Value</b> Pathway: Protect the integrity of the landscape of the Tūpuna Maunga</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Recognise that the <u>‘footprint of the Tūpuna Maunga and the are a part of a broader volcanic field and Mana Whenua and local communities wish to see the maunga and volcanic field in their entirety protected and enhanced goes beyond the legal boundaries,</u></li> </ul>
--	---	--

# PROPOSED TŪPUNA MAUNGA INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT PLAN

## HEARING PANEL'S RECOMMENDATIONS ON SUBMISSIONS

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>made;</li> <li>Inspiring reverence should not be the basis on which laws are passed;</li> <li>Concern that intention is to have control over the rights of all peoples to walk freely across the Tūpuna Maunga replaced with 'Culturally Safe Access';</li> <li>The words "beyond legal boundaries", "beyond legal boundaries and fences", "the entire volcanic field" should be excluded from the IMP;</li> <li>Mention of "adjacent landowners", "appropriate/values/coherent" are inappropriate;</li> <li>There should be within the IMP expressed intention by the Tūpuna Maunga Authority to protect the property rights and quiet enjoyment of "adjacent landowners and residents";</li> <li>The IMP needs to include specifics and an opportunity for public debate;</li> <li>Any management of stormwater runoff from maunga should take account of those who live beneath these mountains and a policy of consultative cooperation be included in the wording of the IMP;</li> <li>Objects to ban on efforts of selfless individual who laboured to maintain Takarunga / Mt Victoria;</li> <li>There should be limitations placed on cultural activities where effects interfere with the free enjoyment of neighbouring residents, public access and use;</li> <li>There should be a specified limitation placed on earthworks and removal of rock from Tūpuna Maunga and that the</li> </ul>	<p><u>and exercise influence over the protection and enhancement of the entire volcanic field.</u></p> <p>Pathway: Encourage activities that are in keeping with the natural and indigenous landscape</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Develop Progress relationships with adjacent landowners to ensure enable an integrated approach to caring for the maunga appropriate understanding of the maunga's spiritual, cultural, landscape, and ecological values and identify opportunities for a coherent approach to land management.</li> <li>Advocate for the Tūpuna Maunga Values to be reflected in activities and development on adjacent land to respect the Tūpuna Maunga Values.</li> </ul>
--	--	--

**PROPOSED TŪPUNA MAUNGA INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT PLAN**  
**HEARING PANEL'S RECOMMENDATIONS ON SUBMISSIONS**

				Allowed in part
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>IMP should require consultation and notification of neighbouring properties over such earthworks;</li> <li>To exclude as a Third Party Interest those private landowners whose properties have clearly been referred to within the Proposed IMP is remiss and Appendix 4 should be modified to correct this.</li> </ul>	
37	B Hayes	All	<p>The submitter:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Takarunga / Mt Victoria and Maungauika / North Head</li> </ul>	<p><b>Recommendation – amend clause 9.6, 9.7</b></p> <p><b>Reasons:</b></p> <p>The submitter suggests that the documents is short on specifics, is vague and imprecise. They use “the provision for working dogs” as an example of the imprecision. The IMP sets out the Values and Pathways which will be delivered through guidelines, strategies, and plans and these are where the detail will be provided. “Working Dogs” is defined in Appendix 1. We recommend making no amendment.</p> <p>The submitter also suggests that the Proposed IMP be written after public consultation on the individual maunga plans on the basis that the maunga are all different. There is no intention to seek a ‘one size fits all’ approach for each Maunga and that the individual plans will be specific to the maunga and their community and prepared following consultation with key stakeholders in the community. We recommend making no amendment.</p> <p>The Tūpuna Maunga are revered by mana whenua and are places to be honoured, respected and protected for those who have gone before and for the many generations to come. The mana whenua view of the maunga is holistic and not limited to statutory boundaries.</p> <p>The IMP does not extend to the Maunga Authority any further statutory powers over neighbouring property, and does not change their own statutory obligations as a neighbour.</p>

# PROPOSED TŪPUNA MAUNGA INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT PLAN

## HEARING PANEL'S RECOMMENDATIONS ON SUBMISSIONS

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>statute or impacts on their property rights;</li> <li>Seeks removal of all references to implementing policy which “extends beyond legal boundaries” or “extends beyond legal boundaries and fences” and strongly objects to such wording and its inference, also for references to “adjacent landowners”;</li> <li>Seeks that it be replaced by an undertaking to endeavour to inform and consult with “adjacent landowners and neighbouring residents” over activities that may impact on their safe and peaceful enjoyment of their property including but not limited to, cultural activities, construction and stormwater management;</li> <li>Notes that large number of people enjoy 24 hour free walking access to all parts of Takarunga / Mt Victoria;</li> <li>Seeks proactive steps to ridding Takarunga / Mt Victoria of its vast rodent population.</li> </ul>	<p>Having said that, the IMP pathway statements provide guidance on the direction for the overarching strategies, plans and design guidelines.</p> <p>The following changes seek to clarify concerns that the language gives rise to misinterpretation.</p> <p><b>Recommend</b> the following amendments:</p> <p>9.6 Mana Aotūroa / Cultural Heritage Value</p> <p>The <del>footprint of the Tūpuna Maunga over which mana whenua exercise kaitiakitanga of Mana Whenua over the Tūpuna Maunga extends beyond the legal boundaries and fence lines over the entirety of the maunga.</del></p> <p>9.7 Takotoranga Whenua / Landscape Value</p> <p>Pathway: Protect the integrity of the landscape of the Tūpuna Maunga</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Recognise that the <del>footprint of the Tūpuna Maunga and the are a part of a broader volcanic field and Mana Whenua and local communities wish to see the maunga and volcanic field in their entirety protected and enhanced</del> <ins>gees beyond the legal boundaries, and exercise influence over the protection and enhancement of the entire volcanic field.</ins></li> </ul> <p>Pathway: Encourage activities that are in keeping with the natural and indigenous landscape</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Develop Progress relationships with adjacent landowners to ensure enable an integrated approach to caring for the maunga appropriate understanding of the maunga's spiritual, cultural, landscape, and ecological values and identify opportunities for a coherent approach to land management</li> <li>Advocate for the Tūpuna Maunga Values to be reflected in activities and development on adjacent land to respect the Tūpuna Maunga Values.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Recommendation – amend clause 10.23</b></p> <p><b>Reasons:</b></p> <p>Refer submission No 9</p>	Allowed in part
38	K MacDonald	Maungauika / North Head	<p>With reference to section 10.23 and the proposed potential restriction of paragliding and hang gliding the submitter would like to see existing the AHGPC access for flying at Maungauika / North Head maintained.</p>		

**PROPOSED TŪPUNA MAUNGA INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT PLAN**  
**HEARING PANEL'S RECOMMENDATIONS ON SUBMISSIONS**

39	Mr M Dumont de Chassart	All	<p>With reference to section 10.23 and the proposed potential restriction of paragliding and hang gliding the submitter would like to see existing the rights for hang gliders and paragliders have on the Maunga remain.</p>	<b>Recommendation – amend clause 10.23</b> <b>Reasons:</b> Refer submission No 9	Allowed in part
40	Devonport – Takapuna Local Board	All	<p>Takarunga / Mt Victoria and Maungauika / North Head</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Including recognition of community groups and their access to the maunga;</li> <li>• Economic benefits of maintaining access to Takarunga / Mt Victoria and Maungauika / North Head;</li> <li>• Supportive of a weed management plan for Takarunga / Mt Victoria and Maungauika / North Head and are encouraged to see active restoration and enhancement of natural features of the maunga included under the Landscape Value Pathway.</li> <li>• Advocates that the existing access times for Takarunga / Mt Victoria and Maungauika / North Head are kept.</li> </ul> <p>The Board has a commitment to keeping weed management chemical-free.</p> <p>Acknowledges however that subsequently there will be individual maunga management plans and that these plans are more likely the appropriate place to take account of their concerns as they relate specifically to individual maunga.</p>	<p><b>Recommendation – amend by adding clause 10.21</b>  <b>Reasons:</b></p> <p>Public access to the maunga will be maintained as set out in section 2.9 of the IMP.</p> <p>The Local Board's concerns around leases for community groups and the exact nature of the public access (e.g. whether vehicles access to the tīhi will be maintained) will be addressed in Tūpuna Maunga Commercial Strategy and the Individual Tūpuna Maunga Plans for Takarunga / Mt Victoria and Maungauika / North Head. In response to the matters around leases we recommend a new clause 10.21 be included setting out the requirements of the Commercial Strategy as follows:</p> <p><u>10.21 The Commercial Strategy must, as a minimum, address:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Enabling commercial uses/activities that promote the Values of the Tūpuna Maunga;</li> <li>Management of commercial uses and operators through development of an operators / concessionaire assessment framework;</li> <li>Enabling appropriate commercial / sponsorship opportunities on the Tūpuna Maunga;</li> <li>Promotion of the Tūpuna Maunga as iconic spiritual and cultural places to be respected by visitors;</li> <li>Alternative funding opportunities;</li> <li>Commercial event opportunities on the Tūpuna Maunga.</li> </ol>	Allowed in part
41	Mr E Hayes	All	The submitter.	<b>Recommendation – amend clauses 9.6, 9.7</b>	Allowed in

# PROPOSED TŪPUNA MAUNGA INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT PLAN

## HEARING PANEL'S RECOMMENDATIONS ON SUBMISSIONS

	Takarunga / Mt Victoria	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Supports restoration of guardianship to Ngā Mana Whenua, noting that this entrusts Ngā Mana Whenua with significant responsibility and that the Tūpuna Taonga Trust must ensure that it acts as faithful guardian of these places and that persons from all communities are able to continue a personal relationship with the Tūpuna Maunga;</li> <li>Would object if the IMP was not consistent with the Reserves Management Act s17 requirements and if there was any proposal or provision which seeks to extend its mandate and allow for the “reserve status” to be altered at the discretion of the Authority;</li> <li>Considers that the Proposed IMP has a number of excellent elements, the use of ‘values’ and ‘pathways’ provides a clear line of sight from operational guidelines back to strategic intent and has the submitters support;</li> <li>Considers that some of the proposed pathways are ambiguous or imply intent by the Maunga Authority to overstep either its mandate, or the powers which it has been granted and objects to this;</li> <li>Objects to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>any pathway within the IMP which interferes with the concept of universal benefit by all peoples of Auckland;</li> <li>any interpretation which would see the Proposed IMP using guardianship to weaken the</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<p><b>Reasons:</b></p> <p>The IMP has been developed in accordance with the statutory requirements set out in section 41 of the Reserves Act. We recommend making no amendment.</p> <p>Public access to the maunga will be maintained as set out in section 2.9 of the IMP. <b>Recommend</b> making no amendment.</p> <p>The Tūpuna Maunga are revered by mana whenua and are places to be honoured, respected and protected for those who have gone before and for the many generations to come. The mana whenua view of the maunga is holistic and not limited to statutory boundaries.</p> <p>The IMP does not extend to the Maunga Authority any further statutory powers over neighbouring property, and does not change their own statutory obligations as a neighbour.</p> <p>Having said that, the IMP pathway statements provide guidance on the direction for the overarching strategies, plans and design guidelines.</p> <p>The following changes seek to clarify concerns that the language gives rise to misinterpretation.</p> <p><b>Recommend</b> the following amendments:</p> <p>9.6 Mana Autūroa / Cultural Heritage Value</p> <p>The ‘footprint of the Tūpuna Maunga over which mana whenua exercise kaitiakitanga of Mana Whenua over the Tūpuna Maunga extends beyond the legal boundaries and free lines over the entirety of the maunga.</p> <p>9.7 Takotoranga Whenua / Landscape Value Pathway: Protect the integrity of the landscape of the Tūpuna Maunga</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Recognise that the ‘footprint’ of the Tūpuna Maunga and the are a part of a broader volcanic field and Mana Whenua and local communities wish to see the maunga and volcanic field in their entirely protected and enhanced goes beyond the legal boundaries, and exercise influence over the protection and enhancement of the entire volcanic field.</li> </ul>	part
--	-------------------------	---	--	------

**PROPOSED TŪPUNA MAUNGA INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT PLAN**  
**HEARING PANEL'S RECOMMENDATIONS ON SUBMISSIONS**

		<p>personal relationships of other communities towards the Tūpuna Maunga;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o any unreasonable limitations being placed on freedom of access;</li> <li>o any assertion that part of Takarunga / Mt Victoria is not appropriate recreational space;</li> <li>o wording/suggestion of intent that the Authority exercise influence beyond the legal boundary of the T1upuna Maunga, requests that any reference to “beyond legal boundaries” be removed;</li> <li>o suggestion that Proposed IMP scope applies to entire volcanic field of Auckland and requests the removal of any reference to influence over the “entire volcanic field” legal boundaries” be removed;</li> <li>o any Proposed IMP which emphasizes removal of existing structures, attempts to limit access or place blanket restrictions on recreational activities.</li> </ul>	<p>Pathway: Encourage activities that are in keeping with the natural and indigenous landscape</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Develop Progress relationships with adjacent landowners to ensure enable an integrated approach to caring for the maunga appropriate understanding of the maunga's spiritual, cultural, landscape, and ecological values and identify opportunities for a coherent approach to land management.</li> <li>• Advocate for the Tūpuna Maunga Values to be reflected in activities and development on adjacent land to respect the Tūpuna Maunga Values.</li> </ul>	Allowed in part
42	Mr L Joll	Maungauika / North Head	<p>With reference to section 10.23 and the proposed potential restriction of paragliding and hang gliding the submitter would like to see existing the AHGPC access for flying at Maungauika / North Head maintained.</p>	<p><b>Recommendation – amend clause 10.23</b></p> <p><b>Reasons:</b></p> <p>Refer submission No 9</p>

**PROPOSED TŪPUNA MAUNGA INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT PLAN**  
**HEARING PANEL'S RECOMMENDATIONS ON SUBMISSIONS**

43	Mr N Lane	Maungauika / North Head	As a resident of Devonport, the submitter strongly hopes that paragliding and hang gliding continue to be allowed to fly.	<p><b>Recommendation – amend clause 10.23</b></p> <p><b>Reasons:</b></p> <p>Refer submission No 9</p>	Allowed in part
44	Mrs A Wigmore	All Takarunga / Mt Victoria	<p>The submitter seeks clarification on a number of points including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• What the advantage of World Heritage inscription is?</li> <li>• What exactly are cultural activities and customary practices?</li> <li>• Who decides ‘appropriate activities’?</li> <li>• Concern about exercise of kaitiakitanga extends beyond the legal boundaries and fence lines, that enhancement may involve buildings and that free movement and enjoyment of the cones may be curtailed.</li> <li>• Phasing out grazing may result in unown areas becoming inaccessible.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Recommendation – amend clauses 9.6, 9.7</b></p> <p><b>Reasons:</b></p> <p>Some matters raised can be addressed in the Individual Tūpuna Maunga Plans. <b>Recommend</b> making no amendment.</p> <p>Other matters are set out in the Collective Deed of Settlement and Collective Redress Act (cultural activities, cultural practices, and the provision for first right of refusal). We <b>recommend</b> making no amendment.</p> <p>The Tūpuna Maunga are revered by mana whenua and are places to be honoured, respected and protected for those who have gone before and for the many generations to come. The mana whenua view of the maunga is holistic and not limited to statutory boundaries.</p> <p>The IMP does not extend to the Maunga Authority any further statutory powers over neighbouring property, and does not change their own statutory obligations as a neighbour.</p> <p>Having said that, the IMP pathway statements provide guidance on the direction for the overarching strategies, plans and design guidelines.</p> <p>The following changes seek to clarify concerns that the language gives rise to misinterpretation.</p> <p><b>Recommend</b> the following amendments:</p> <p>9.6 Mana Aotūroa / Cultural Heritage Value  The <del>footprint of the Tūpuna Maunga over which mana whenua exercise kaitiakitanga of Mana Whenua over the Tūpuna Maunga extends beyond the legal boundaries and fence lines</del> over the entirety of the maunga.</p> <p>9.7 Takotoranga Whenua / Landscape Value</p>	Allowed in part

**PROPOSED TŪPUNA MAUNGA INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT PLAN**  
**HEARING PANEL'S RECOMMENDATIONS ON SUBMISSIONS**

		<p>Pathway: Protect the integrity of the landscape of the Tūpuna Maunga</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Recognise that the ‘footprint’ of the Tūpuna Maunga and the are a part of a broader volcanic field and Mana Whenua and local communities wish to see the maunga and volcanic field in their entirely protected and enhanced goes beyond the legal boundaries, and exercise influence over the protection and enhancement of the entire volcanic field.</li> </ul> <p>Pathway: Encourage activities that are in keeping with the natural and indigenous landscape</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Develop Progress relationships with adjacent landowners to ensure enable an integrated approach to caring for the maunga appropriate understanding of the maunga’s spiritual, cultural, landscape, and ecological values and identify opportunities for a coherent approach to land management.</li> <li>Advocate for the Tūpuna Maunga Values to be reflected in activities and development on adjacent land to respect the Tūpuna Maunga Values.</li> </ul>	Allowed in part	
45	Māngere – Ōtāhuhu Local Board	<p>Te Ara Pueru / Te Pane-o-Mataaho / Māngere</p> <p>The submitter:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Supports the values framework and intent and purpose of the IMP;</li> <li>Notes that Māngere – Ōtāhuhu Local Board’s plan aligns with the IMP values and the alignment leads to common interests and concerns and the board look forward to working with the Maunga Authority on these;</li> <li>Supports the series of policies to deliver the values and pathways and is interested to work with the Maunga Authority on shared interests such as ‘Alcohol free and smoke-free Tūpuna Maunga policy’;</li> <li>Requests that the Maunga Authority invests in consultation, engagement and</li> </ul>	<p><b>Recommendation – amend by adding clause 10.21</b></p> <p><b>Reasons:</b></p> <p>The IMP replaces the current Reserve Management Plans for each maunga. Until the Individual Tūpuna Maunga Management Plans are prepared, the Maunga Authority will manage the maunga in accordance with their statutory purpose and the Values and Pathways set out in the IMP. Where there is ambiguity, the Operational Plan and existing Reserve Management Plans can be used for guidance. Over time, the overarching strategies and guidelines will be developed, providing more detailed guidance and direction. <b>Recommend</b> making no amendment.</p> <p>The Mangere Mountain Education Trust and Kingi Tawhio cottage are not located on land owned by the Taonga Trust and matters relating to future investment in these properties is outside the scope of this IMP. <b>Recommend</b> making no amendment.</p> <p>There are no proposals to change the management and/or use of</p>	

**PROPOSED TŪPUNA MAUNGA INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT PLAN**  
**HEARING PANEL'S RECOMMENDATIONS ON SUBMISSIONS**

		<p>communication with stakeholders on an on-going basis, including the Local Board;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Raises concerns that given the IMP replaces the previous management plans in the transition period before the individual maunga plans are developed and finalised there may be gaps and anomalies that can arise in day to day operation and seek clarity on this matter;</li> <li>• Requested that the clear working relationships be established with the Māngere Mountain Education Trust, the Local Board and the Maunga Authority to achieve common objectives;</li> <li>• Seeks that past investment in local assets, including the Kingi Tawhio cottage are maintained for the benefit of the wider community;</li> <li>• Asks what the approach is to retention, maintenance and renewals of community assets such as the Mangere War Memorial Hall and how will the community and Local Board be involved;</li> <li>• Seeks to understand how the IMP will address situations or proposals to divest or re-invest adjacent property that are non-service assets.</li> </ul>	<p>the Mangere War Memorial Hall and this will continue to operate 'business as usual'. Decisions around proposals to divest or reinvest in adjacent land will be considered on a case-by-case basis at the appropriate time. The proposal will be assessed against the provisions of the Commercial Strategy and individual Maunga Plan which is to be developed. In response to the matters around community uses and leases we <b>recommend</b> a new clause 10.21 be included setting out the requirements of the Commercial Strategy as follows:</p> <p><u>10.21 The Commercial Strategy must, as a minimum, address:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) Enabling commercial uses/activities that promote the Values of the Tūpuna Maunga;</li> <li>b) Management of commercial uses and operators through development of an operators / concessionaire assessment framework;</li> <li>c) Enabling appropriate commercial / sponsorship opportunities on the Tūpuna Maunga;</li> <li>d) Promotion of the Tūpuna Maunga as iconic spiritual and cultural places to be respected by visitors;</li> <li>e) Alternative funding opportunities;</li> <li>f) Commercial event opportunities on the Tūpuna Maunga.</li> </ol> <p>The development of Individual Tūpuna Maunga Plans will enable consultation, engagement and communication with stakeholders and the Local Board on an on-going basis. We <b>recommend</b> making no amendment.</p>	<p><b>Recommendation – amend clause 10.23</b></p> <p><b>Reasons:</b></p> <p>Refer submission No 9</p>	Allowed in part
46	Mr J Powell	Maungauika / North Head and Maungarei / Mt	With reference to section 10.23 and the proposed potential restriction of paragliding and hang gliding the submitter would like to see existing the AHGPC access for flying at Maungauika / North Head and Maungarei /		

**PROPOSED TŪPUNA MAUNGA INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT PLAN**  
**HEARING PANEL'S RECOMMENDATIONS ON SUBMISSIONS**

47	Mr S Finnemore	All	Mt Wellington maintained.	<p><b>Recommendation – no amendment</b></p> <p><b>Reasons:</b></p> <p>Refer submission No 6.</p>
48	K\van Peursem & N Harnisch	All	The submitter:  • Seeks that several elements be removed/revised from the IMP including references to; 'extends beyond the legal boundaries and fence lines', 'advocate for Tūpuna Maunga values to be reflected in activities and development of adjacent land', reference to volcanic field which could include private property and under-sea property and concern about the meaning of 'appropriate' in 'develop relationships with adjacent landowners to ensure appropriate understanding'; • Is concerned that there is a failure to ensure that activities undertaken comply with New Zealand law (noise, trespass etc) and this should be added. • Is concerned that budget is growing and this should be fixed and seeks clarification about a number of matters including how Maunga Authority members are paid and size of the Authority for effective decision making.	<p><b>Recommendation – amend clauses 9.6, 9.7</b></p> <p><b>Reasons:</b></p> <p>Refer submission No 3</p>
49	Geoscience	All	The submitter:	<b>Recommendation – no amendment</b>

# PROPOSED TŪPUNA MAUNGA INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT PLAN

## HEARING PANEL'S RECOMMENDATIONS ON SUBMISSIONS

		<p><b>Reasons:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Supports the essence and direction of the IMP with respect to geo-heritage values particularly statements in 2.12, 2.15 and 4.12 as set out in submission;</li> <li>Considers that the list of Values should specifically include 'Geological and Archaeological';</li> <li>Queries the maps and whether specific land/reserves are included for Matukutūruru / Wiri Mountain, Maungarei / Mt Wellington, Āhinerau / Mt Hobson, Te Kōpuke / Titikōpukē / Mt St John;</li> <li>Strongly supports a number of statements and pathways which are listed in their submission.</li> </ul> <p>The submitter also urges the Maunga Authority to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Pursue the extension of their administered lands to include all parts of the respective maunga that are in public ownership;</li> <li>Pursue including under their umbrella administration of other impudent publicly owned volcanic heritage areas in the Auckland Volcanic Field (submission lists numerous lagoons, craters and volcanos);</li> <li>Include in this IMP the intention to advocate for the full protection and public acquisition of the one remaining Auckland volcano that remains in private ownership – Carter Hill in Papatoetoe.</li> </ul>	<p>- no amendment to the proposed IMP required.</p> <p>The support of Geoscience NZ as set out in sections 1 and 10 of the submission is noted. In relation to the other matters set out in the submission;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Geological and archaeological values are embodied in the seven other values adopted by the Maunga Authority;</li> <li>The map of Wiri is correct;</li> <li>DoC manage the lava caves;</li> <li>The collapsed lava caves at Maungarei are not included because the Taonga Trust do not own these;</li> <li>The adjacent lands at Āhinerau / Mt Hobson and Te Kōpuke / Titikōpukē / Mt St John are owned by Council and outside the scope of the IMP;</li> <li>Extension of administered lands is provided for by the Collective Redress Act, but at this time, those areas set out in the submission are not administered by the Taonga Trust and their management is outside the scope of the IMP;</li> <li>The inclusion of other volcanic heritage features and Crater Hill in Papatoetoe in the IMP is outside the scope of the IMP as these are not owned by the Taonga Trust.</li> </ul>
--	--	--	--

**PROPOSED TŪPUNA MAUNGA INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT PLAN**  
**HEARING PANEL'S RECOMMENDATIONS ON SUBMISSIONS**

50	Devonport Tour Company	<p>Takarunga / Mt Victoria and Maungauika / North Head</p> <p>The submitter's company appreciate the opportunity to be involved in the IMP process. They have operated local small coach tours since 1983 that include visiting Takarunga / Mt Victoria and Maungauika / North Head which deliver a low impact service for access to the Tūpuna Maunga. They understand the significance of the tīhi and would like to be involved in the process of getting many visitors who are of lesser mobility access to these sites while respecting the tīhi. They look forward to the opportunity to enhance the visitor experience and develop initiatives that are supported by the Mana Whenua o Tāmaki Makaurau.</p>	<p><b>Recommendation – <i>amend by adding clause 10.21</i></b></p> <p><b>Reasons:</b></p> <p>The submitter's interest in working together to enhance the visitor experience at Takarunga / Mt Victoria and Maungauika / North Head is appreciated and the Authority looks forward to working with the community and local businesses to develop the Individual Tūpuna Maunga Plans for the maunga in Devonport. <b>Recommend</b> making no amendment.</p> <p>Concerns related to the management of concessionaires will be dealt with through the Commercial Strategy. In response to this we <b>recommend</b> a new clause 10.21 be included setting out the requirements of the Commercial Strategy as follows:</p> <p><u>10.21 The Commercial Strategy must, as a minimum, address:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) Enabling commercial uses/activities that promote the Values of the Tūpuna Maunga;</li> <li>b) Management of commercial uses and operators through development of an operators / concessionaire assessment framework;</li> <li>c) Enabling appropriate commercial / sponsorship opportunities on the Tūpuna Maunga;</li> <li>d) Promotion of the Tūpuna Maunga as iconic spiritual and cultural places to be respected by visitors;</li> <li>e) Alternative funding opportunities;</li> <li>f) Commercial event opportunities on the Tūpuna Maunga.</li> </ul>
51	Mrs Z & Mr T Dunkley	All	<p>Submitter seeks that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• All noxious weeds be removed and replaced with native plants and ferns;</li> <li>• Walking tracks be bought to a high standard and seating provided;</li> <li>• Recreational activities already established (hang gliding, mountain biking, cardboard sliding etc.) continue;</li> </ul> <p><b>Recommendation – <i>no amendment</i></b></p> <p><b>Reasons:</b></p> <p>These matters can be addressed during the development of the Individual Tūpuna Maunga Plans, and the Pest Management and Biosecurity Strategy.</p> <p>There is no intention to seek a 'one size fits all' approach for each Maunga and the individual plans will be specific to the maunga and part</p>

**PROPOSED TŪPUNA MAUNGA INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT PLAN**  
**HEARING PANEL'S RECOMMENDATIONS ON SUBMISSIONS**

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The differences of each Maunga are appreciated (don't make them all homogeneous).</li> </ul>	their community.	
52	Mr M Dawson	All	<p>With reference to section 10.23 and the proposed potential restriction of paragliding and hang gliding the submitter would like to see existing the AHGPC access for flying at Maungauika / North Head and Maungarei / Mt Wellington maintained. Notes that the Auckland HGPG Club do not seek or desire exclusive use or use for commercial exploitation.</p> <p><b>Recommendation – amend clause 10.23</b></p> <p><b>Reasons:</b></p> <p>Refer submission No 9</p>	Allowed in part
53	D A Veal	Takarunga / Mt Victoria	<p>The submitter is concerned that the IMP refers to impact and influence “Beyond the boundaries and fence lines”. The submitter objects to and seeks clarification with regard to the submitters property and asks if the Plan could:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Put a limitation on digging, landscaping planting or the keeping of pet rabbits in their garden?</li> <li>Require the submitter to apply (in addition to the existing requirements) to the Authority for building permits etc or remove their existing garden gate with direct access to the mountain?</li> </ul> <p>The submitters seeks that the IMP:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Doesn't prevent “other people” walking on the Mountain, children playing people picnicking and children sliding on cardboard.</li> </ul> <p><b>Recommendation – amend clauses 9.6, 9.7</b></p> <p><b>Reasons:</b></p> <p>Refer submission No 3.</p> <p>Other matters raised can be addressed during the development of the Individual Tūpuna Maunga Plan for Takuranga.</p>	Allowed in part
54	E Walton-Keim	Maungauika /	The submitter is concerned that the IMP sets	<b>Recommendation – amend clause 10.23</b>

**PROPOSED TŪPUNA MAUNGA INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT PLAN**  
**HEARING PANEL'S RECOMMENDATIONS ON SUBMISSIONS**

		North Head	out to “restrict paragliding and hang gliding” and notes that this sport has been safely practiced for a long time at Maungauika / North Head.	<b>Reasons:</b> Refer submission No 9	part
55	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	All	<p>The submitter:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Gives overall support for the IMP and seeks to work with the Tūpuna Maunga Authority in exercising their kaitiaki role;</li> <li>• Seeks clarification about whether the IMP or the subsequent Individual Management Plans replace the existing Reserve Management Plans;</li> <li>• Suggests a programme for the review of each individual Reserve Management Plan and that these result in conservation led management plans;</li> <li>• States that in the context of historic heritage, restoration and enhancement may not be appropriate and that a more useful term is “conservation”;</li> <li>• Supports (section 10.10) that as individual management plans are prepared that the Reserves Act classification of the reserves be reviewed and if warranted, changed, noting that greater use could be made of “historic reserve” classification and this would be consistent with Pathways in the IMP.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Recommendation – amend clauses 2.10, 9.5, 9.6, 9.7, 10.23, 10.25</b></p> <p><b>Reasons:</b> The Maunga Authority notes the support of Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga.</p> <p>The IMP replaces the current Reserve Management Plans for each maunga. Until the Individual Tūpuna Maunga Management Plans are prepared, the Maunga Authority will manage the maunga in accordance with their statutory purpose and the Values and Pathways set out in the IMP. Where there is ambiguity, the Operational Plan and existing Reserve Management Plans will be used for guidance. Over time, the overarching strategies and guidelines will be developed, providing further guidance and direction. <b>Recommend</b> making no amendment.</p> <p>The Guidelines, Strategies and Plans will be prepared as soon as is reasonably practicable after the approval of the IMP.</p> <p>As stated in section 10.22 of the IMP, a review of the current reserve status of each maunga will be carried out in the future. It would be inappropriate to pre-empt that historic reserve is the most appropriate status at this stage. <b>Recommend</b> making no amendment.</p> <p>Several submitters have stated that that the IMP is light on the archaeological values of the Tūpuna Maunga and that these values and the archaeological landscape should be identified, recorded and celebrated in the stories told. The offer from Heritage New Zealand to work with the Maunga Authority to carry out a heritage inventory and integrate work programmes is appreciated. To address these submissions, <b>recommend</b> making the following</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• States that the IMP could include a general policy that supports in general terms the greater use of “historic reserve” classifications;</li> <li>• States that section 9.6 Mana Aotūroa / Cultural Heritage Value makes only</li> </ul>	Allowed in part

# PROPOSED TŪPUNA MAUNGA INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT PLAN

## HEARING PANEL'S RECOMMENDATIONS ON SUBMISSIONS

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• indirect mention of archaeological and historic values of the maunga;</li> <li>• States that a heritage inventory should be carried out for each of the Tūpuna Maunga and Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga would be pleased to work with the Tūpuna Maunga Authority on this and would be prepared to look at opportunities to integrate its work programme with that of the Tūpuna Maunga Authority;</li> <li>• Supports in Section 4.12 the reference to "...the Tūpuna Maunga Authority supports progressing the bid for World Heritage Inscription of the Tūpuna Maunga (as part of the Auckland Volcanic Field);</li> <li>• States that while pre-1900 archaeological sites have the protected afforded by the HNZPTA, and parts of some maunga have a degree of schedule protection in terms of the District Plan, this is not particularly consistent and the IIMP should include a commitment to seeking enhancement of protection;</li> <li>• Notes that the Tūpuna Maunga are significant archaeological landscapes and that some management techniques which may be appropriate in other parks are not necessarily appropriate in archaeological landscapes.</li> </ul>	<p>2.12 The Tūpuna Maunga are among the most significant spiritual, cultural, historical, <u>archaeological</u> and geological landscapes in the Auckland region..."</p> <p><b>9.6 Mana Aotūroa / Cultural and Heritage Value</b></p> <p>The Tūpuna Maunga are interwoven in mana whenua history and whakapapa. Visibility and prominence will be given to these histories and the connection over the millennia between people and place. Mana whenua will be enabled and supported to express their kaitiakitanga over the Tūpuna Maunga (the intergenerational rights and obligations of mana whenua to care for the Tūpuna Maunga), and to address the previous cultural and physical separation between mana whenua and the Tūpuna Maunga.</p> <p>The 'footprint' of the Tūpuna Maunga over which mana whenua exercise their kaitiakitanga extends beyond the legal boundaries and fence lines. <i>[Note: this paragraph is proposed be amended as a result of other submissions]</i></p> <p>The Tūpuna Maunga are unique on a global scale and an important part of Tamaki Makaurau/Auckland's cultural and historical heritage. They are of exceptional significance as some of the largest and most impressive <u>archaeological site complexes</u> in New Zealand. They also contain <del>physical</del><sup>reminders</sup> heritage places and features associated with European history and interaction with these landscapes, including monuments and <u>military complexes</u> <del>defence</del> facilities.</p> <p>Local communities have a strong emotional connection with, and draw a sense of identity from the Tūpuna Maunga.</p> <p><b>Pathway: Recognise European and Other Histories, and Interaction with the Maunga</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Obtain baseline knowledge and <u>encourage</u> collective stewardship of existing historical heritage.</li> <li>• Share the <u>archaeological</u> and geological history of the Tūpuna Maunga network and the wider volcanic landscape.</li> </ul> <p><b>9.7 Takotoranga Whenua / Landscape Value</b></p> <p>The Tūpuna Maunga are among the most treasured and distinctive connected landscape features of Tamaki Makaurau that are both natural</p>
--	--	--

# PROPOSED TŪPUNA MAUNGA INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT PLAN

## HEARING PANEL'S RECOMMENDATIONS ON SUBMISSIONS

	<p>and modified. The Tūpuna Maunga create and contribute to Aucklanders sense of pride, 'place' and home.</p> <p>The ability to view these taonga from all over Auckland – the most populated part of New Zealand – and from other maunga is valued for this reason. The Tūpuna Maunga are a place to see and experience other parts of Tāmaki Makaurau.</p> <p>The significance of the Tūpuna Maunga to mana whenua and all Aucklanders creates an opportunity to ensure the protection and enhancement of the physical and visual integrity of these natural features in the surrounding urban environment. <u>The protection of the distinctive and impressive earthworks such as terracing, rua (storage pits), and defences, which are characteristic of pā on the maunga. These reflect the extent and nature of past use and occupation of the Tūpuna Maunga by mana whenua, and are of exceptional archaeological significance both nationally and internationally.</u></p> <p>The Tūpuna Maunga are a part of the naturally preserved, young, monogenetic basaltic volcanic field in Aotearoa/New Zealand. They are the most visible reminder to people of the volcanic field on which we live, and are important to our understanding of Auckland's geological history.</p> <p>Pathway: Protect the Integrity of the Landscape of the Tūpuna Maunga</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Identify cultural elements including archaeological sites and features, built heritage, plantings and view shafts that contribute to the significance of landscapes on the maunga and ensure that <u>these are protected during future use and development.</u></li></ul> <p>10.23 The Tūpuna Maunga Plans must, as a minimum, address:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Identification, protection of the archaeological and where appropriate, enhancement of the geological and landform values and where appropriate, enhancement of the landform of the Tūpuna Maunga</li></ul> <p>10.25 The Monitoring Strategy will;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Develop a baseline database and inventory of the physical values of each Tūpuna maunga. This will incorporate:<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- A heritage inventory (including archaeological features)</li></ul></li></ul> <p>In order to ensure that the content of the IMP supports the success of an application for World Heritage status we recommend the</p>
--	---

**PROPOSED TŪPUNA MAUNGA INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT PLAN**  
**HEARING PANEL'S RECOMMENDATIONS ON SUBMISSIONS**

		<p>following amendments:</p> <p>9.5 Wairuatanga / Spiritual Value      Pathway: Tread Lightly</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Preserve and enhance <u>the authenticity and visual integrity of the Tūpuna Maunga so that they are markers in the landscape, and their cultural and natural features are visually apparent.</u></li> </ul> <p>9.7 Takotoranga Whenua / Landscape Value      Pathway: Protect the integrity of the landscape of the Tūpuna Maunga</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protect the <u>authenticity and integrity of this unique landscape feature and respect this important landscape through the progressive removal of structures and features that detract from the maunga.</u></li> </ul> <p>Amend 9.7 (heading) - Preserve the Visual and Physical Authenticity and Integrity of the Maunga as Landmarks of Tāmaki.</p> <p>There is no intention to seek a 'one size fits all' approach for each Maunga and the individual plans will be specific to the maunga and their community and prepared following consultation with key stakeholders in the community. We <b>recommend</b> making no amendment.</p>	<p><b>Recommendation – amend clause 10.23</b></p> <p><b>Reasons:</b>          Refer submission No 9</p>	Allowed in part
56	E Revell-Nash	<p>Maungauika / North Head and Maungarei / Mt Wellington</p> <p>With reference to section 10.23 and the proposed potential restriction of paragliding and hang gliding the submitter would like to see existing the AHGPC access for flying at Maungauika / North Head and Maungarei / Mt Wellington maintained.</p>	<p>The ACDHT wishes to register with Tupuna Maunga Authority its current active involvement in the preservation, restoration and protection of the coastal fortifications and associated facilities situated in the greater Auckland area and the Hauraki Gulf all in the interest of the wider community.</p>	Allowed in part
57	Auckland Coastal Defences Historical Trust	<p>Takarunga / Mt Victoria and Maungauika / North Head</p>	<p>The ACDHT wishes to register with Tupuna Maunga Authority its current active involvement in the preservation, restoration and protection of the coastal fortifications and associated facilities situated in the greater Auckland area and the Hauraki Gulf all in the interest of the wider community.</p>	Allowed in part

**PROPOSED TŪPUNA MAUNGA INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT PLAN**  
**HEARING PANEL'S RECOMMENDATIONS ON SUBMISSIONS**

58	Mr C St Cartmail	Takarunga / Mt Victoria and Maungauika / North Head	<p><b>Recommendation – no amendment</b></p> <p><b>Reasons:</b></p> <p>The ACDHT conducts tours of Maungauika and Fort Takapuna defence fortifications and its activities are very much in accord with the long-term vision for Tūpuna Maunga and the Values, Pathways, Guidelines and Strategies as outlined in its draft integrated Management Plan and in Maungauika's status as a Category 1 Historic Place.</p> <p>The submitter:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Considers that although there is acknowledgement in the IMP (Section 9.6) that local communities have a strong emotional connection with and draw a sense of identity from the Tūpuna Maunga there is a significant potential conflict with the 'pathway' that enables the Mana Whenua role as kaitiaki of the Tūpuna Maunga to ensure cultural activities, operational practices and public activities accord with tikanga;</li> <li>• Seeks that there is not a curtailment of activities such as off-road mountain biking, dog walking, parking on the summit, the folk club, paragliding off North Head, leisure sliding on cardboard as these activities are loved by many Devonport residents;</li> <li>• Takes issue with the pathway that supports commercial activity etc;</li> <li>• Seeks clarification regarding whether the community will have any say on the erection of symbolic structures and signage, overnight occupation and commercial activities.</li> </ul>

**PROPOSED TŪPUNA MAUNGA INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT PLAN**  
**HEARING PANEL'S RECOMMENDATIONS ON SUBMISSIONS**

59	Mrs J Bachelor-Smith & Mr C Smith	<p>Maungauika / North Head</p> <p>The submitter:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• States that there should be no ability conferred by the IMP or otherwise to exercise control over "legal boundaries and fenceslines";</li> <li>• States that the IMP should not encroach on adjacent landowners' property rights in any manner;</li> <li>• States that there should be no ability conferred by the IMP or otherwise to retrospectively remove structures on private land;</li> <li>• Seeks clarity be provided around the terminology used in the pathway statements. The vague language gives rise to significant opportunity for misinterpretation and subsequent litigation.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Recommendation – amend clauses 9.6, 9.7</b></p> <p><b>Reasons:</b> Refer submission No 3.</p>
60	Mr M Weekes	<p>Maungauika / North Head</p> <p>The submitter states that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• There should be no ability conferred by the IMP or otherwise to exercise control over "legal boundaries and fenceslines";</li> <li>• The IMP should not encroach on adjacent landowners' property rights in any manner;</li> <li>• There should be no ability conferred by the IMP or otherwise to retrospectively remove structures on private land;</li> <li>• Clarity should be provided around the terminology used in the pathway statements. The vague language gives rise to significant opportunity for misinterpretation and subsequent litigation.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Recommendation – amend clauses 9.6, 9.7</b></p> <p><b>Reasons:</b> Refer submission No 3.</p>
61	E Tobler	<p>Maungauika / North Head</p> <p>Seeks to keep access for paragliders open and unrestricted on Maungauika / North Head.</p>	<p><b>Recommendation – amend clause 10.23</b></p> <p><b>Reasons:</b></p>

# PROPOSED TŪPUNA MAUNGA INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT PLAN

## HEARING PANEL'S RECOMMENDATIONS ON SUBMISSIONS

			Refer submission No. 9	
62	S Keene & B Curson	Maungauika / North Head	<p>The submitters states that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>It is of questionable legality to seek to confer on the IMP control beyond "legal boundaries and fence lines".</li> <li>The IMP should not encroach on adjacent landowners' property rights in any way.</li> <li>There should be no ability conferred by the IMP or otherwise to retrospectively remove structures on private land. If the IMP wish to remove the structures on the land that they control, that is of course appropriate, in accordance with the same processes as apply to any other land owner.</li> <li>Clarity should be provided around the terminology used in the pathway statements. The vague language gives rise to significant opportunity for misinterpretation and litigation, and for friction to arise between the IMP and the very adjacent landowners with whom it is seeking to form strong relationships.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Recommendation – amend clauses 9.6, 9.7</b></p> <p><b>Reasons:</b></p> <p>The Tūpuna Maunga are revered by mana whenua and are places to be honoured, respected and protected for those who have gone before and for the many generations to come. The mana whenua relationship with the maunga is holistic and not limited to statutory boundaries.</p> <p>The IMP does not extend to the Maunga Authority any further statutory powers over neighbouring property, and does not change their own statutory obligations as a neighbour.</p> <p>Having said that, the IMP pathway statements provide guidance on the direction for the overarching strategies, plans and design guidelines.</p> <p>The following changes seek to clarify concerns that the language gives rise to misinterpretation.</p> <p><b>Recommend</b> the following amendments:</p> <p>9.6 Mana Aotūroa / Cultural Heritage Value  <i>The footprint of the Tūpuna Maunga over which mana whenua exercise kaitiakitanga of Mana Whenua over the Tūpuna Maunga extends beyond the legal boundaries and fence lines over the entirety of the maunga.</i></p> <p>9.7 Takotoranga Whenua / Landscape Value    Pathway: Protect the integrity of the landscape of the Tūpuna Maunga</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Recognise that the 'footprint' of the Tūpuna Maunga and the <u>are a part of a broader volcanic field and Mana Whenua and local communities wish to see the maunga and volcanic field in their entirety protected and enhanced goes beyond the legal boundaries, and exercise influence over the protection and enhancement of the entire volcanic field.</u></li> </ul> <p>Pathway: Encourage activities that are in keeping with the natural and indigenous landscape</p> <p>Allowed in part</p>

**PROPOSED TŪPUNA MAUNGA INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT PLAN**  
**HEARING PANEL'S RECOMMENDATIONS ON SUBMISSIONS**

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Develop Progress relationships with adjacent landowners to ensure enable an integrated approach to caring for the maunga, appropriate understanding of the maunga's spiritual, cultural, landscape, and ecological values and identify opportunities for a coherent approach to land management.</li> <li>Advocate for the Tūpuna Maunga Values to be reflected in activities and development on adjacent land to respect the Tūpuna Maunga Values.</li> </ul>	Allowed in part
63	Mr G Stead	Maungauika / North Head	<p>The submitter states that it would be a great shame to stop paragliding activity at North Head not only for us as pilots but also for the enjoyment of the spectators.</p>	<p><b>Recommendation – amend clause 10.23</b></p> <p><b>Reasons:</b></p> <p>Refer submission No 9</p>
64	F Schaw	Maungauika / North Head	<p>The submitters states that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>There should be no ability conferred by the IMP or otherwise to exercise control over "legal boundaries and fences";</li> <li>The IMP should not encroach on adjacent landowners' property rights in any manner;</li> <li>There should be no ability conferred by the IMP or otherwise to retrospectively remove structures on private land;</li> <li>Clarity should be provided around the terminology used in the pathway statements. The vague language gives rise to significant opportunity for misinterpretation and subsequent litigation.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Recommendation – amend clauses 9.6, 9.7</b></p> <p><b>Reasons:</b></p> <p>Refer submission No 3.</p>
65	Maungakiekie – Tāmaki Local Board	All	<p>The submitter is generally supportive of the values and strategies outlined in the draft plan and requests that the following concerns be taken into account:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Section 8.11 - ensure significant and meaningful engagement with local boards as part of the consultation</li> </ul>	<p><b>Recommendation – amend by adding new clause 10.21</b></p> <p><b>Reasons:</b></p> <p>Many of the matters raised in the submission will be dealt with through the preparation of the overarching strategies, guidelines, plans and Individual Tūpuna Maunga Plans. We recommend making no amendment.</p>

# PROPOSED TŪPUNA MAUNGA INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT PLAN

## HEARING PANEL'S RECOMMENDATIONS ON SUBMISSIONS

		<p>process for individual management plans and requests further detail on the development of these individual plans and in particular the process for public consultation;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Believes there should be more emphasis on education and finding ways to assist the public in understanding the historic, cultural and environmental significance of maunga;</li> <li>Would like to see a particular emphasis on educational, branding and way-finding initiatives to enhance public understanding of the importance of maunga including not only their historic and spiritual significance to Maori but also geological and archaeological detail;</li> <li>Would also like to see distinctive Maunga Authority branding and signage that would be consistent across maunga while finding opportunities to highlight uniqueness and specific sense of place;</li> <li>Urges the prioritisation of engagement and alignment with the Cornwall Park Trust Board, in particular to ensure that any management plan for Maungakiekie takes into account the provisions of the Cornwall Park 100-Year Plan;</li> <li>Believes that some of the commercially leased premises on maunga could be better utilised in a way that not only has a commercial focus but also reflects and actively promotes the values of the maunga. The board would like to see this being taken into account when</li> </ul>	<p>The Local Boards support for working together is appreciated, and development of Individual Tūpuna Maunga Plans will enable consultation, engagement and communication with the Local Board who are a key partner. We <b>recommend</b> making no amendment.</p> <p>In response to the concerns around community leases, we <b>recommend</b> a new clause 10.21 be included setting out the requirements of the Commercial Strategy as follows:</p> <p><u>10.21 The Commercial Strategy must, as a minimum, address:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Enabling commercial uses/activities that promote the Values of the Tūpuna Maunga;</li> <li>Management of commercial uses and operators through development of an operators / concessionaire assessment framework;</li> <li>Enabling appropriate commercial / sponsorship opportunities on the Tūpuna Maunga;</li> <li>Promotion of the Tūpuna Maunga as iconic spiritual and cultural places to be respected by visitors;</li> <li>Alternative funding opportunities;</li> <li>Commercial event opportunities on the Tūpuna Maunga.</li> </ol>
--	--	---	--

**PROPOSED TŪPUNA MAUNGA INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT PLAN**  
**HEARING PANEL'S RECOMMENDATIONS ON SUBMISSIONS**

			The submission also appended the feedback provided by the Maungakiekie – Tāmaki Local Board to the Tūpuna Maunga Operational Plan 2016/2017.	leases expire.	Allowed in part
66	Mr J & Mrs C Eagles	Maungauika / North Head	The submitters states that:	<p><b>Recommendation – amend clauses 9.6, 9.7</b></p> <p><b>Reasons:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>There should be no ability conferred by the IMP or otherwise to exercise control over "legal boundaries and fenceslines";</li> <li>The IMP should not encroach on adjacent landowners' property rights in any manner;</li> <li>There should be no ability conferred by the IMP or otherwise to retrospectively remove structures on private land;</li> <li>Clarity be provided around the terminology used in the pathway statements. The vague language gives rise to significant opportunity for misinterpretation and subsequent litigation.</li> </ul>	Allowed in part
67	Mrs P & Mr R Neville	Maungauika / North Head	The submitters states that:	<p><b>Recommendation – amend clauses 9.6, 9.7</b></p> <p><b>Reasons:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>There should be no ability conferred by the IMP or otherwise to exercise control over "legal boundaries and fenceslines";</li> <li>The IMP should not encroach on adjacent landowners' property rights in any manner;</li> <li>There should be no ability conferred by the IMP or otherwise to retrospectively remove structures on private land;</li> <li>The IMP should explicitly protect European cultural values as well as those of tangata whenua;</li> <li>The IMP should acknowledge the value of the maunga as open space and</li> </ul>	Allowed in part

**PROPOSED TŪPUNA MAUNGA INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT PLAN**  
**HEARING PANEL'S RECOMMENDATIONS ON SUBMISSIONS**

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The IMP should recognise the importance of the two maunga in Devonport, acknowledge that dialogue surrounding the maunga must be two way and establish permanent consultative bodies for involvement in preparation of detailed plans for the future.</li> </ul>	Allowed in part	
68	Mr E Revell-Nash	<p>Maungauika / North Head and Maungarei / Mt Wellington</p> <p>With reference to section 10.23 and the proposed potential restriction of paragliding and hang gliding the submitter would like to see existing the AHGPC access for flying at Maungauika / North Head and Maungarei / Mt Wellington maintained.</p>	<b>Recommendation – amend clause 10.23</b> <b>Reasons:</b> Refer submission No 9	Allowed in part
69	Mr M Ferguson	<p>Maungauika / North Head and Maungarei / Mt Wellington</p> <p>With reference to section 10.23 and the proposed potential restriction of paragliding and hang gliding the submitter would like to see existing the AHGPC access for flying at Maungauika / North Head and Maungarei / Mt Wellington maintained.</p> <p>The submitter also seeks acknowledgement of the existing partnerships between the paragliding and hang gliding community and the current authorities and that the existing relationships and partnerships be expanded for the good of all. The submitter notes that each paragliding and hang gliding site is managed through a kaitiaki (site monitor), passing on the values and ensuring that the mana of the site is upheld.</p>	<b>Recommendation – amend clause 10.23</b> <b>Reasons:</b> Refer submission No 9	Allowed in part
70	Albert – Eden Local Board	<p>Maungawhau / Mt Eden, Te</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Supports the intent and purpose and</li> </ul>	<b>Recommendation – amend by adding new clauses 10.14, 10.15, new clause 10.21 and amending clause 10.23</b>	Allowed in part

# PROPOSED TŪPUNA MAUNGA INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT PLAN

## HEARING PANEL'S RECOMMENDATIONS ON SUBMISSIONS

	Kōpuke – Titikōpuke / Mount St John, Ōwairaka / Te Ahi-kā-a-Rakataura / Mt Albert	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>proposed values of the IMP and the overarching guidelines and strategies to support the IMP;</li> <li>Asks whether a 'social' value may be considered for inclusion in the IMP as the Tūpuna Maunga provides social well-being through fostering a sense of place and meaning to both residents and tourists;</li> <li>Requests that the IMP reflect relevant Council policies and plans as they may provide mutually beneficial outcomes, e.g. – Greenways Network Plan, Regional Pest Management Strategy, Sport and Recreation Strategic Action Plan, Wai Ora Wai Maori – Auckland's Freshwater Programme, AT Cycling Strategy and Regional Transport Plans;</li> <li>Notes that the existing Tūpuna Maunga management plans, developed by the legacy councils will be superseded by the IMP following its adoption and raise the concern that until the new individual maunga plans are developed and finalised, there may be gaps and anomalies that can arise in day-to-day local operations. Until the new management plans come into effect, operational staff will have no explicit guidance about what they should be doing;</li> <li>Seeks that service levels do not decrease between the existing management plans and the new IMP;</li> <li>Notes that no overarching provision or strategic direction for community leases</li> </ul>	<p><b>Reasons:</b></p> <p>Many of the matters raised in the submission will be dealt with through the preparation of the overarching strategies, guidelines, plans and individual Tūpuna Maunga Plans.</p> <p>The Values reflect the Tūpuna Maunga Values. <b>Recommend against</b> including an additional 'social' Value.</p> <p>The Maunga Authority is independent of Council and the IMP stands apart from Council policies and plans. Council policies may be taken into account in the development of Maunga Authority guidelines and strategies.</p> <p>In response to the request to consider these Council policies and specifically the Sports and Recreation Strategic Action Plan we <b>recommend</b> including a Tūpuna Maunga Recreation Strategy to give effect to the Values and Pathways relating to recreation activities identified in the IMP and subsequently deleting three bullet points from Section 10.23 as follows:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>Tūpuna Maunga Recreation Strategy</u></p> <p>10.14 <u>A comprehensive and integrated Tūpuna Maunga Recreation Strategy for all the Tūpuna Maunga will be prepared and implemented.</u></p> <p>10.15 The Recreation Strategy for the Tūpuna Maunga must, as a minimum, address:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Respecting the sacredness of the ihi;</li> <li>Public access that is appropriate and respects the Tūpuna Maunga as taonga tuku iho;</li> <li>Prioritisation and advocacy of pedestrian access and circulation on the Tūpuna Maunga;</li> <li>Providing for a range of recreational activities which are appropriate and compatible with the Tūpuna Maunga and the protection and interpretation of spiritual, ecological, geological and cultural values;</li> <li>Phasing out of inappropriate recreational activities;</li> <li>Infrastructure that supports community interaction and inclusiveness and enables active and passive recreation;</li> </ul>
--	---	---	--

# PROPOSED TŪPUNA MAUNGA INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT PLAN

## HEARING PANEL'S RECOMMENDATIONS ON SUBMISSIONS

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>located on the Tūpuna Maunga is detailed in the IMP and request that:           <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>where practical, new or renewed community leases align to the council's Community Occupancy Guidelines, to ensure consistency across the entire lease portfolio, consideration be given so that lease holders who could potentially be displaced have sufficient time to work with the council's Community occupancy team to find new premises;</li> <li>the future use of community leases and facilities must be fit-for-purpose and align to the Maunga Authority's vision.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Supports a number of proposed values and pathways as listed in their submission.</li> <li>Would be interested in exploring partnerships with local iwi and matawaaka to assist in delivering the Maunga Authority's values and pathways;</li> <li>Welcome opportunities to collaborate with the Maunga Authority to further enhance the visibility and celebrate the significance of Tūpuna Maunga in the local board area;</li> <li>Seeks clarification on whether the pathway consider granting of leases where the activity aligns with and/or promotes the Tūpuna Maunga Values relates to community or commercial leases, as they have significantly different outcomes and impacts;</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Appropriate cycle access on the Tūpuna Maunga. Cycle access shall avoid erosion and adverse physical effects on Tūpuna Maunga values;</li> <li>Management of the network of access ways on the Tūpuna Maunga (including trails and pedestrian pathways) which enhances its features and protects waahi tapu;</li> <li>Design and implementation of track options that avoid erosion and other adverse physical and visual effects while providing safe and attractive paths for people;</li> <li>Linkages and connections to public transport, Greenways, parks and the wider landscape;</li> <li>Consideration of mobility design principles when new developments are undertaken, including provision for working dogs;</li> <li>Ensuring any new buildings and structures, services, areas of planting and facilities are appropriately located, designed (culturally based) and constructed to complement the landform, nature of the surroundings, and reduce visual distractions;</li> <li>Incorporating 'Low Impact Design' processes in all development proposals, reducing the extent of impermeable surfaces;</li> <li>Appropriate control of dogs;</li> <li>Managing air access activities;</li> <li>Third party commercial activities (such as commercial boot camps / training etc);</li> <li>Reviewing existing licences and leases and consideration of ongoing licences and leases;</li> </ul>
		<p>Section 10.23 Individual Tūpuna Maunga Plans delete the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Providing for a range of recreational activities which are appropriate and compatible with the Tūpuna Maunga and the protection and interpretation of spiritual, ecological and cultural values;</li> <li>Phasing out of inappropriate recreational activities;</li> <li>Appropriate cycle access on the Tūpuna Maunga. Cycle access shall avoid erosion and adverse physical effects on Tūpuna Maunga values;</li> <li>Third party commercial activities (such as commercial boot camps / training etc);</li> </ul>	

# PROPOSED TŪPUNA MAUNGA INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT PLAN

## HEARING PANEL'S RECOMMENDATIONS ON SUBMISSIONS

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Notes that local boards have an active role in providing local economic development initiatives, so would welcome opportunities to collaborate for mutually beneficial outcomes and there are opportunities to work alongside local business associations and business improvement districts to achieve the proposed Economic and Commercial Value;</li> <li>Notes that community facilities are typically not revenue generating assets, as they are primarily developed to provide social and cultural outcomes;</li> <li>Note that there is no provision for business operators that provide health and well-being outcomes (i.e. fitness bootcamps) on Maunga Authority governed land, but charge their clients a commercial / market rate;</li> <li>Recommend that following the IMP's adoption, that the management plan for Maungawhau be prioritised and developed as soon as practicable possible. The local board suggest this because Maungawhau is a regional landmark and a significant tourist attraction that benefits all of Auckland;</li> <li>Believe that the existing management plans for Maungawhau and Owairaka should be kept in place in the interim, even though they will lose their statutory status once the IMP comes into force. These management plans were developed at considerable expense and with input from the public and key</li> </ul>	<p>Subsequent amendments to Figure 1 on page 7 and the numbering of section 10 to reflect this addition of the Recreation Strategy, The IMP replaces the current Reserve Management Plans for each maunga. Until the Individual Tūpuna Maunga Management Plans are prepared, the Maunga Authority will manage the maunga in accordance with their statutory purpose and the Values and Pathways set out in the IMP. Where there is ambiguity, the Operational Plan and existing Reserve Management Plans will be used for guidance. Over time, the overarching strategies and guidelines will be developed, providing further guidance and direction. We <b>recommend</b> making no amendment.</p> <p>Management of adjacent land not under the ownership of the Taonga Trust is outside the scope of this IMP. We <b>recommend</b> making no amendment.</p> <p>In response to the concerns around community leases we <b>recommend</b> a new clause 10.21 be included setting out the requirements of the Commercial Strategy as follows:</p> <p><u>10.21 The Commercial Strategy must, as a minimum, address:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><u>Enabling commercial uses/activities that promote the Values of the Tūpuna Maunga;</u></li> <li><u>Management of commercial uses and operators through development of an operators / concessionaire assessment framework;</u></li> <li><u>Enabling appropriate commercial / sponsorship opportunities on the Tūpuna Maunga;</u></li> <li><u>Promotion of the Tūpuna Maunga as iconic spiritual and cultural places to be respected by visitors;</u></li> <li><u>Alternative funding opportunities;</u></li> <li><u>Commercial event opportunities on the Tūpuna Maunga.</u></li> </ol> <p>The Local Boards support for working together and developing other partnerships is appreciated, and development of Individual Tūpuna Maunga Plans will enable consultation, engagement and communication with the Local Board. <b>Recommend</b> making no amendment.</p>
--	--	---

**PROPOSED TŪPUNA MAUNGA INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT PLAN**  
**HEARING PANEL'S RECOMMENDATIONS ON SUBMISSIONS**

71	J Cameron & A Hobbs	Maungauika / North Head	<p>The submitters seeks that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• There should be no ability conferred by the IMP or otherwise to exercise control over "legal boundaries and fenceslines";</li> <li>• The IMP should not encroach on adjacent landowners' property rights in any manner;</li> <li>• There should be no ability conferred by the IMP or otherwise to retrospectively remove structures on private land;</li> <li>• Clarity be provided around the terminology used in the pathway statements. The vague language gives rise to significant opportunity for misinterpretation and subsequent litigation.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Recommendation – amend clauses 9.6, 9.7</b></p> <p><b>Reasons:</b></p> <p>Refer submission No 3.</p>	Allowed in part
72	Mr C Calder	Takarunga / Mt Victoria	<p>The submitter states that a "one size fits all" approach to the management plan would not be appropriate, that the maunga are taonga to be enjoyed with respect, and that any plan should be written to encourage, not hinder</p>	<p><b>Recommendation – no amendment</b></p> <p><b>Reasons:</b></p> <p>There is no intention to seek a 'one size fits all' approach for each Maunga and that the individual plans will be specific to the maunga proposed</p>	<p>Disallowed</p> <p>- no amendment to the proposed</p>

**PROPOSED TŪPUNA MAUNGA INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT PLAN**  
**HEARING PANEL'S RECOMMENDATIONS ON SUBMISSIONS**

				IMP required.
73	Mr L Greenfield	Maungauika / North Head and Maungarei / Mt Wellington	With reference to section 10.23 and the proposed potential restriction of paragliding and hang gliding the submitter would like to see existing the AHGPC access for flying at Maungauika / North Head and Maungarei / Mt Wellington maintained.	<p><b>Recommendation – amend clause 10.23</b></p> <p><b>Reasons:</b></p> <p>Refer submission No 9</p>
74	Mr J Ripley	Maungauika / North Head and Maungarei / Mt Wellington	With reference to section 10.23 and the proposed potential restriction of paragliding and hang gliding the submitter would like to see existing the AHGPC access for flying at Maungauika / North Head and Maungarei / Mt Wellington maintained.	<p><b>Recommendation – amend clause 10.23</b></p> <p><b>Reasons:</b></p> <p>Refer submission No 9</p>
75	NZ Archaeological Association	All	The submitter states that:	<p><b>Recommendation – amend clauses 2.12, 4.2, 9.6, 10.9, 10.23</b></p> <p><b>Reasons:</b></p> <p>Archaeological and cultural narratives are complementary. Knowledge and understanding of the archaeology will inform and help the wider public to appreciate the history and cultural aspects of the maunga. The IMP refers to geological narratives but is light on archaeology. We recommend making the following amendments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The IMP would benefit from greater explanation of the archaeological and geological narratives to inform and support the values and significance of why the Tūpuna Maunga need to be managed and to help the wider public appreciate the history and cultural aspects of the maunga;</li> <li>• The NZAA does not necessarily support the application for World Heritage Status for the maunga due to the increased pressure and effects this will have on the physical fabric and amenity of the maunga. The NZAA does however support the development and implementation of management plans to the level required for World Heritage status;</li> </ul> <p>2.12 The Tūpuna Maunga are among the most significant spiritual, cultural, historical, <u>archaeological</u> and geological landscapes in the Auckland region...</p> <p>9.6 Mana Aotūroa / Cultural and Heritage Value Pathway: Recognise European and Other Histories, and Interaction with the Maunga</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Share the <u>archaeological</u> and geological history of the Tūpuna Maunga network and the wider volcanic landscape.</li> </ul> <p>10.23 The Tūpuna Maunga Plans must, as a minimum, address:</p>

**PROPOSED TŪPUNA MAUNGA INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT PLAN**  
**HEARING PANEL'S RECOMMENDATIONS ON SUBMISSIONS**

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The individual management plans are not the suitable place for detailed cultural, archaeological and geological histories, and comprehensive statement of values and significance – the IMP, is the best venue for these;</li> <li>While the removal of grazing stock is a goal that NZAA supports, an alternative vegetation management strategy needs to be developed;</li> <li>A number of specific request are made for changes to various clauses.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Identification, protection, of the archaeological and <del>appropriate, enhancement of the geological and enhance</del> values and where appropriate, enhancement of the landform of the Tūpuna Maunga.</li> </ul> <p>The changes referred to in relation to the Heritage New Zealand submission (No.55 above) also address matters raised by this submitter.</p> <p>The references in 10.9 are extracted from the Redress Act and cannot be broadened as requested. <b>Recommend</b> making no amendment.</p> <p><b>Recommend</b> amending the errors in sections 4.2 and 10.9 by replacing “internment” with “interment”.</p>	Allowed in part
76	Mrs M & Mr R Coldham	Maungauika / North Head	<p>The submitters seeks that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>There should be no ability conferred by the IMP or otherwise to exercise control over "legal boundaries and fences";</li> <li>There should be no ability conferred by the IMP or otherwise to retrospectively remove structures on private land;</li> <li>Clarity be provided around the terminology used in the pathway statements. The vague language gives rise to significant opportunity for misinterpretation and subsequent litigation.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Recommendation – amend clauses 9.6, 9.7</b></p> <p><b>Reasons:</b></p> <p>Refer submission No 3.</p>	Allowed in part
77	Auckland Hang Gliding and Paragliding Club	Maungauika / North Head and Maungarei / Mt Wellington	<p>The submitter states:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Auckland Hang Gliding and Paragliding Club (AHGPC) is a member of the New Zealand Hang Gliding and Paragliding Association and therefore represents all hang glider and paraglider pilots on the Auckland region, with a current membership of 257 pilots;</li> <li>AHGPC has engaged extensively with</li> </ul>	<p><b>Recommendation – amend clause 10.23</b></p> <p><b>Reasons:</b></p> <p>A number of submissions were received on this issue, with most relating to paragliding and hang gliding (refer submission 13). This submission, while relating to radio controlled gliders, falls into the same category.</p> <p>Paragliding, hang gliding, drones and remote controlled aircraft are examples of activities that may use the Tūpuna Maunga airspace. This issue will be addressed in the development of the Individual</p>	Allowed in part

# PROPOSED TŪPUNA MAUNGA INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT PLAN

## HEARING PANEL'S RECOMMENDATIONS ON SUBMISSIONS

		<p>the Department Of Conservation regarding their use of Maungauika and are unclear about why their use has been singled out;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Considers that their activities are aligned with the IMP objectives relating to encouraging low impact/passive and inclusive recreation and exploring new passive recreation and play opportunities and encouraging young people to connect with the Tūpuna Maunga;</li> <li>• Notes that both paragliding and hang gliding have virtually no physical impact on the maunga and thi and that the use is naturally constrained by weather conditions;</li> <li>• Has a long association with Maungauika and Maungarei as flying sites and they are aware of the privilege of being able to fly there and ensure that their activities are in keeping with the environment that they operate in;</li> <li>• With reference to section 10.23 and the proposed potential restriction of paragliding and hang gliding the submitter would like to see existing the AHGPC access for flying at Maungauika / North Head and Maungarei / Mt Wellington maintained;</li> <li>• Would like to meet with the Authority to discuss their ongoing use of the sites.</li> </ul>	<p>Tūpuna Maunga Plans where it may be decided to manage these kinds of activities in the maunga airspace and reflect this in the Tūpuna Maunga Plans.</p> <p><b>Recommend clause 10.23 be amended to read:</b></p> <p>10.23 The Tūpuna Maunga Plans must, as a minimum, address:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Managing and/or restricting air access activities for example paragliding and hang gliding;</li> </ul>	<p>Allowed in part</p>
78	Mr C Palmer	Maungauika / North Head and	<p>With reference to section 10.23 and the proposed potential restriction of paragliding and hang gliding the submitter would like to</p> <p><b>Recommendation – amend clause 10.23</b></p> <p><b>Reasons:</b></p> <p>Refer submission No 9</p>	

**PROPOSED TŪPUNA MAUNGA INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT PLAN**  
**HEARING PANEL'S RECOMMENDATIONS ON SUBMISSIONS**

		Maungarei / Mt Wellington	see existing the AHGPC access for flying at Maungauika / North Head and Maungarei / Mt Wellington maintained.		
79	Mr J Hopper	Maungauika / North Head and Maungarei / Mt Wellington	With reference to section 10.23 and the proposed potential restriction of paragliding and hang gliding the submitter would like to see existing the AHGPC access for flying at Maungauika / North Head and Maungarei / Mt Wellington maintained.	<b>Recommendation – amend clause 10.23</b>  <b>Reasons:</b> Refer submission No 9	Allowed in part
80	Auckland Astronomical Society Inc.	Maungakiekie / One Tree Hill	The submitter sets out the nature of the activities that take place at the Stardome and seeks that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The character of the parkland areas of Maungakiekie are preserved;</li> <li>• Maungakiekie is protected from unnecessary night lights and lighting;</li> <li>• The Stardome continue to operate and be allowed to develop to ensure its retention as an invaluable regional asset for the whole community.</li> </ul>	<b>Recommendation – no amendment</b>  <b>Reasons:</b> The matters raised in the submission will be dealt with in the overarching strategies, guidelines, plans and Individual Tūpuna Maunga plan for Maungakiekie.	Disallowed – no amendment to the proposed IMP required.
81	Mr G Surrey	Maungauika / North Head and Maungarei / Mt Wellington	With reference to section 10.23 and the proposed potential restriction of paragliding and hang gliding the submitter would like to see existing the AHGPC access for flying at Maungauika / North Head and Maungarei / Mt Wellington maintained.	<b>Recommendation – amend clause 10.23</b>  <b>Reasons:</b> Refer submission No 9	Allowed in part
82	Mr S Price	Maungauika / North Head and Maungarei / Mt Wellington	With reference to section 10.23 and the proposed potential restriction of paragliding and hang gliding the submitter would like to see existing the AHGPC access for flying at Maungauika / North Head and Maungarei / Mt Wellington maintained.	<b>Recommendation – amend clause 10.23</b>  <b>Reasons:</b> Refer submission No 9	Allowed in part

**PROPOSED TŪPUNA MAUNGA INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT PLAN**  
**HEARING PANEL'S RECOMMENDATIONS ON SUBMISSIONS**

83	L Kingsbury	Maungauika / North Head	<p>The submitters seeks that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• There should be no ability conferred by the IMP or otherwise to exercise control over "legal boundaries and fences";</li> <li>• The IMP should not encroach on adjacent landowners' property rights in any manner;</li> <li>• There should be no ability conferred by the IMP or otherwise to retrospectively remove structures on private land;</li> <li>• Clarity be provided around the terminology used in the pathway statements. The vague language gives rise to significant opportunity for misinterpretation and subsequent litigation.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Recommendation – amend clauses 9.6, 9.7</b></p> <p><b>Reasons:</b>            Refer submission No 3.</p>	Allowed in part
84	Mr L & Mrs J Spinley	Maungauika / North Head	<p>The submitter opposes and future possible intrusion or constraint on their property rights, use and ownership by the IMP policies and controls.</p> <p>The submitter wishes to work collaboratively with the Maunga Authority, to be consulted and to participate in the IMP process to achieve a fair and proper care and management of North Head for all stakeholders.</p> <p>The submitter supports the Landscape Value (9.7) and 10.23 where they relate to encouraging activities in keeping with the natural and indigenous landscape and collaborating with communities.</p>	<p><b>Recommendation – amend clauses 9.6, 9.7</b></p> <p><b>Reasons:</b>            The Tūpuna Maunga are revered by mana whenua and are places to be honoured, respected and protected for those who have gone before and for the many generations to come. The mana whenua relationship with the maunga is holistic and not limited to statutory boundaries.            The IMP does not extend to the Maunga Authority any further statutory powers over neighbouring property, and does not change their own statutory obligations as a neighbour.            Having said that, the IMP pathway statements provide guidance on the direction for the overarching strategies, plans and design guidelines.            The following changes seek to clarify concerns that the language gives rise to misinterpretation.</p> <p><b>Recommend</b> the following amendments:</p>	9.6 Mana Aotūroa / Cultural Heritage Value

# PROPOSED TŪPUNA MAUNGA INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT PLAN

## HEARING PANEL'S RECOMMENDATIONS ON SUBMISSIONS

		The ‘footprint’ of the Tūpuna Maunga over which mana whenua exercise kaitiakitanga of Mana Whenua over the Tūpuna Maunga extends beyond the legal boundaries and <u>free-lines</u> over the entirety of the maunga.		
	9.7 Takotoranga Whenua / Landscape Value	<p>Pathway: Protect the integrity of the landscape of the Tūpuna Maunga</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Recognise that the ‘footprint’ of the Tūpuna Maunga and the are a part of a broader volcanic field and Mana Whenua and local communities wish to see the maunga and volcanic field in their entirely protected and enhanced <u>goes beyond the legal boundaries, and exercise influence over the protection and enhancement of the entire volcanic field.</u></li> </ul> <p>Pathway: Encourage activities that are in keeping with the natural and indigenous landscape</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Develop Progress relationships with adjacent landowners to ensure enable an integrated approach to caring for the maunga appropriate understanding of the maunga’s spiritual, cultural, landscape, and ecological values and identify opportunities for a coherent approach to land management.</li> <li>Advocate for the Tūpuna Maunga Values to be reflected in activities and development on adjacent land to respect the Tūpuna Maunga Values.</li> </ul>	<b>Recommendation – amend clause 10.23</b> <b>Reasons:</b> Refer submission No 9	Allowed in part
85	Mr C Penrice	Maungauika / North Head and Maungarei / Mt Wellington	With reference to section 10.23 and the proposed potential restriction of paragliding and hang gliding the submitter would like to see existing the AHGPC access for flying at Maungauika / North Head and Maungarei / Mt Wellington maintained.	<b>Recommendation – amend clause 10.23</b> <b>Reasons:</b> Refer submission No 9
86	Mr D Smith	Maungauika / North Head	With reference to section 10.23 and the proposed potential restriction of paragliding and hang gliding the submitter would like to see existing the AHGPC access for flying at Maungauika / North Head maintained.	<b>Recommendation – amend clause 10.23</b> <b>Reasons:</b> Refer submission No 9

**PROPOSED TŪPUNA MAUNGA INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT PLAN**  
**HEARING PANEL'S RECOMMENDATIONS ON SUBMISSIONS**

87	Mr R Muir	Maungauika / North Head and Maungarei / Mt Wellington	<p>With reference to section 10.23 and the proposed potential restriction of paragliding and hang gliding the submitter would like to see existing the AHGPC access for flying at Maungauika / North Head and Maungarei / Mt Wellington maintained, noting that at Maungauika / North Head the Auckland hang gliding and paragliding club has restricted the use of the site to pilots with above average experience level to make sure that there are no safety issues.</p>	<p><b>Recommendation – amend clause 10.23</b></p> <p><b>Reasons:</b></p> <p>Refer submission No 9</p>	Allowed in part
88	Mr D Woon	Maungauika / North Head and Maungarei / Mt Wellington	<p>With reference to section 10.23 and the proposed potential restriction of paragliding and hang gliding the submitter would like to see existing the AHGPC access for flying at Maungauika / North Head and Maungarei / Mt Wellington maintained.</p>	<p><b>Recommendation – amend clause 10.23</b></p> <p><b>Reasons:</b></p> <p>Refer submission No 9</p>	Allowed in part

# PROPOSED TŪPUNA MAUNGA INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT PLAN

## HEARING PANEL'S RECOMMENDATIONS ON SUBMISSIONS

<p><b>89</b></p> <p>Māngere Mountain Education Trust</p> <p>Te Ara Puere / Te Pane-o-Mataaho / Māngere Mountain</p>	<p>The submitter:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Lists five issues outside the scope of the IMP that they would like to bring to the attention of the Maunga Authority (refer submission for details);</li> <li>• States that the “administered land” status of Te Ara Puere / Te Pane-o-Mataaho / Māngere Mountain is unsatisfactory and a resolution should be pursued;</li> <li>• Welcomes to the new approach to the ‘integrated’ management plan and the sense of collective identity it will bring;</li> <li>• States that the IMP should include policies that would guide the co-ordinated and operationally seamless management of adjoining reserves as they are part of the maunga and should be managed as such;</li> <li>• Supports policy 10.2 in relation to reviewing the existing reserve classifications;</li> <li>• States that as policy, the default position for the reserve classification should be historic reserve as this is consistent with the values of the IMP;</li> <li>• States that following reclassification of the maunga reserves, consideration should be given to seeking that the Minister of Conservation recommend to the Governor General that the maunga be given National Reserve status and that this would offer the essential support to the application for World Heritage Status;</li> </ul>	<p><b>Recommendation – amend clauses 9.6, 10.25</b></p> <p><b>Reasons:</b></p> <p>A number of matters raised by the MMET are outside the scope of the IMP, but the Maunga Authority management will engage with the MMET after the IMP is finalised. We <b>recommend</b> making no amendment.</p> <p>The transfer of ownership of Te Ara Puere / Te Pane-o-Mataaho / Māngere Mountain to the Taonga Trust, and inclusion of adjoining council reserves is outside the scope of the IMP. <b>Recommend</b> making no amendment.</p> <p>The IMP replaces the current Reserve Management Plans for each maunga. Until the Individual Tūpuna Maunga Management Plans are prepared, the Maunga Authority will manage the maunga in accordance with their statutory purpose and the Values and Pathways set out in the IMP. Where there is ambiguity, the Operational Plan and existing Reserve Management Plans can be used for guidance. Over time, the overarching strategies and guidelines will be phased in, providing further guidance and direction. <b>Recommend</b> making no amendment.</p> <p>With reference to the comments regarding policies relating to collection of historical material about the maunga, as discussed in submission number 55. <b>Recommend</b> the following amendments:</p> <p>9.6 Mana Aotūroa / Cultural and Heritage Value Pathway: Recognise European and Other Histories, and Interaction with the Maunga</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Obtain baseline knowledge and <u>encourage</u> collective stewardship of existing historical heritage.</li> </ul> <p>10.25 The Monitoring Strategy will:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Develop a baseline database and inventory of the physical values of each Tūpuna maunga. This will incorporate: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- A heritage inventory (including archaeological features)</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<p>Allowed in part</p>
---	---	---	------------------------

**PROPOSED TŪPUNA MAUNGA INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT PLAN**  
**HEARING PANEL'S RECOMMENDATIONS ON SUBMISSIONS**

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Seeks clarification on whether the land is outside the land administered by the Maunga Authority and therefore it would be incorrect to include it in Appendix 4.</li> </ul> <p><b>Recommend</b> making no amendment.</p>	Disallowed – no amendment to the proposed IMP required.
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>States that there needs to be a clearer place in the IMP for policies relating to the intention to collect and curate historical and cultural material about the maunga;</li> <li>Suggest that the Mangere Mountain Education Trust be listed as a Third party Interest in Appendix 4;</li> <li>Suggests and alternate design as the published and electronic form have not been reader friendly.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Recommendation - no amendment</b></p> <p><b>Reasons:</b></p> <p>Mountain biking is discussed in submission No 6.</p> <p>The other matters can be addressed during the development of the Individual Tūpuna Maunga Plan for Takarunga / Mt Victoria.</p>
90	C Poulter	Takarunga / Mt Victoria	<p>The submitter states;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mountain bikes are damaging the maunga;</li> <li>Appropriate rubbish bins should be provided as people don't remove their own rubbish;</li> <li>The proliferation of signage detracts from the amenity of the maunga.</li> </ul> <p>The submitters supports:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The maunga being smoke and alcohol free;</li> <li>Improved efforts in relation to weed control;</li> <li>Appropriate use of the buildings – bands, birthday parties and night time parties are considered in appropriate by the submitter;</li> <li>Restricting vehicle access to the sealed</li> </ul>

**PROPOSED TŪPUNA MAUNGA INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT PLAN**  
**HEARING PANEL'S RECOMMENDATIONS ON SUBMISSIONS**

91	Mr G Law	All	The submitter endorses the submission of the New Zealand Archaeological Association.	<b>Recommendation - amend clauses 2.12, 4.2, 9.6, 10.9, 10.23</b> Refer submission No 75
92	Auckland Volcanic Cones Society	All	<p>The submitter:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Supports the integrated nature of the IMP;</li> <li>• States that the adjoining Auckland Council owned land, including reserves and land purchased for recreation purposes be described on the IMP maps to help integrate the management of the reserves;</li> <li>• States that it is timely to reclassify all the Tūpuna Maunga as historic reserves, rather than after the IMP and individual management plans have been adopted;</li> <li>• States that following reclassification of the maunga, National Reserve status should be sought from the Minister of Conservation, and that this would be beneficial to attaining World Heritage status for the Tūpuna Maunga;</li> <li>• Provides a list of Values and Pathways that they support and agree with;</li> <li>• Does not support ecological restoration (section 10.16) where landscape and archaeological values are harmed.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Reasons:</b></p> <p>The inclusion of adjacent land is outside the scope of the IMP.</p> <p>As stated in section 10.22, a review of the current reserve status of each maunga will be carried out concurrent with the Individual Maunga Plans. It would be inappropriate to pre-empt that historic reserve (and National Reserve) is the most appropriate status at this stage. <b>Recommend</b> making no amendment.</p> <p>Several submitters have stated that the IMP is light on the archaeological values of the Tūpuna Maunga and that these values and the archaeological landscape should be identified, recorded and celebrated in the stories told. The offer from Heritage New Zealand to work with the Maunga Authority to carry out a heritage inventory and integrate work programmes is appreciated. To address these submissions, <b>recommend</b> making the following amendments:</p> <p>2.12 The Tūpuna Maunga are among the most significant spiritual, cultural, historical, archaeological and geological landscapes in the Auckland region..."</p> <p>9.6 Mana Aotūroa / Cultural and Heritage Value</p> <p>The Tūpuna Maunga are interwoven in mana whenua history and whakapapa. Visibility and prominence will be given to these histories and the connection over the millennia between people and place.</p> <p>Mana whenua will be enabled and supported to express their kaitiakitanga over the Tūpuna Maunga (the intergenerational rights and obligations of mana whenua to care for the Tūpuna Maunga), and to address the previous cultural and physical separation between mana</p>

# PROPOSED TŪPUNA MAUNGA INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT PLAN

## HEARING PANEL'S RECOMMENDATIONS ON SUBMISSIONS

		<p>whenua and the Tūpuna Maunga.</p> <p>The ‘footprint’ of the Tūpuna Maunga over which mana whenua exercise their kaitiakitanga extends beyond the legal boundaries and fence lines.</p> <p><i>[Note: this paragraph is proposed be amended as a result of other submissions]</i></p> <p>The Tūpuna Maunga are unique on a global scale and an important part of Tāmaki Makaurau/Auckland’s cultural and historical heritage. They are of exceptional significance as some of the largest and most impressive archaeological site complexes in New Zealand. They also contain physical reminders of heritage places and features associated with pre-European history and interaction with these landscapes, including monuments and military complexes <del>and defence</del> facilities.</p> <p>Local communities have a strong emotional connection with, and draw a sense of identity from the Tūpuna Maunga.</p> <p>Pathway: Recognise European and Other Histories, and Interaction with the Maunga</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Obtain baseline knowledge and encourage collective stewardship of existing historical heritage.</li><li>• Share the archaeological and geological history of the Tūpuna Maunga network and the wider volcanic landscape.</li></ul>	
--	--	---	--

# PROPOSED TŪPUNA MAUNGA INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT PLAN

## HEARING PANEL'S RECOMMENDATIONS ON SUBMISSIONS

		<p><u>whenua, and are of exceptional archaeological significance both nationally and internationally.</u></p> <p>The Tūpuna Maunga are a part of the naturally preserved, young, monogenetic basaltic volcanic field in Aotearoa/New Zealand. They are the most visible reminder to people of the volcanic field on which we live, and are important to our understanding of Auckland's geological history.</p> <p>Pathway: Protect the Integrity of the Landscape of the Tūpuna Maunga</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Identify cultural elements including archaeological sites and features, built heritage, plantings and view shafts that contribute to the significance of landscapes on the maunga, and ensure that these are protected during future use and development.</li> </ul> <p>10.23 The Tūpuna Maunga Plans must, as a minimum, address:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Identification, protection, of the archaeological and where appropriate, enhancement of the geological and landform values and where appropriate, enhancement of the landform of the Tūpuna Maunga</li> </ul> <p>10.25 The Monitoring Strategy will;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Develop a baseline database and inventory of the physical values of each Tūpuna maunga. This will incorporate: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- A heritage inventory (including archaeological features)</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<p>Allowed in part</p>
93	Watercare Services Limited	All	<p>The submitter's primary suggestion is for the IMP to include recognition in the body of the document, not only the appendices, of critical water infrastructure on 12 of the 14 Tūpuna Maunga mainly in the form of reservoirs, pipes, pumps and power cables.</p> <p>Watercare request that the IMP include specific references to the extent and reason for Watercare's infrastructure and easements, their value in providing a security of water supply to a substantial area of Auckland and that they were established as a</p> <p><b>Recommendation – amend Map pages 27 &amp; 33</b></p> <p><b>Reasons:</b></p> <p>Watercare have requested changes to the list of current activities on Maungauika and Āhuiarangī to more accurately reflect the nature of their infrastructure on those maunga. <b>Recommend</b> making the following amendments:</p> <p>[Maungauika / North Head (page 27)]</p> <p>Above ground reserves and/or associated infrastructure</p> <p>Aboveground pipelines and fittings for the conveyance of wastewater [Āhuiarangī / Pigeon Mountain (page 33)]</p>

**PROPOSED TŪPUNA MAUNGA INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT PLAN**  
**HEARING PANEL'S RECOMMENDATIONS ON SUBMISSIONS**

			<p>requirement of the Collective Redress Act.</p> <p>To give effect to the above, Watercare sets out suggested amendments to sections 2.3, 2.7, 5.10 (new section), activity list for Maungauika and Ohuiarangi, clause 9.7, 9.10, and 10.23.</p>	<p><u>Aboveground pipelines and fittings for the conveyance of water wastewater and/or stormwater</u></p> <p>Watercare has also requested a number of additional references to their role as providers of strategic water infrastructure and their relationship with the Tūpuna Maunga Authority. Watercare and its associated infrastructure are provided for by way of easements and legal instruments, and it is considered unnecessary in the context of the IMP to focus on and emphasise Watercare. We recommend making no amendment.</p>	Allowed in part
94	Mrs S & Mr S England-Hall	Maungauika / North Head	<p>The submitters seeks that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• There should be no ability conferred by the IMP or otherwise to exercise control over "legal boundaries and fences-lines";</li> <li>• The IMP should 'not encroach on adjacent landowners' property rights in any manner';</li> <li>• There should be no ability conferred by the IMP or otherwise to retrospectively remove structures on private land;</li> <li>• Clarity be provided around the terminology used in the pathway statements. The vague language gives rise to significant opportunity for misinterpretation and subsequent litigation.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Recommendation – amend clauses 9.6, 9.7</b></p> <p><b>Reasons:</b>  Refer submission No3.</p>	Allowed in part
95	Michael King Writers' Studio Trust	Takarunga / Mt Victoria		<p>The submitter states that they uphold all of the Values of the Maunga Authority, that they support the goal of the Tūpuna Maunga o Tāmaki Makaurau Trust to protect and restore the Tūpuna Maunga and that they look forward to contributing and working in consultation with the Maunga Authority on the management plan for Takuranga.</p>	<p><b>Recommendation – no amendment</b></p> <p><b>Reasons:</b>  A positive submission that reflects a good working relationship.</p>
96	Mr S Sebregts	Maungauika / North Head		<p>The submitter queries section 10.23 and the potential restriction of paragliding and hang</p>	<p><b>Recommendation – amend clause 10.23</b></p>

**PROPOSED TŪPUNA MAUNGA INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT PLAN**  
**HEARING PANEL'S RECOMMENDATIONS ON SUBMISSIONS**

	Ranger Department of Conservation	and Maungarei / Mt Wellington	gliding flying at Maungauika / North Head and notes that from experience as the supervisor at Maungauika / North Head he has not experienced any adverse effects from the activity of flying paragliders or hang gliders from the maunga.	<b>Reasons:</b>  Refer submission No 9	part
			The submitter notes that the paragliding fraternity are social, able and interact with members of the public in a very friendly way, they respect the maunga and there is no impact on the environment. The submitter also notes that there has been an agreement in place between the Department of Conservation and the Auckland Hang Gliding and Paragliding Club which has worked well to date.	<b>Recommendation – amend clause 10.23</b>  <b>Reasons:</b>  Refer submission No 9	Allowed in part
97	Mr R Manning	Maungauika / North Head and Maungarei / Mt Wellington	With reference to section 10.23 and the proposed potential restriction of paragliding and hang gliding the submitter would like to see existing the AHGPC access for flying at Maungauika / North Head and Maungarei / Mt Wellington maintained.	<b>Recommendation – amend clause 10.23</b>  <b>Reasons:</b>  Refer submission No 9	Allowed in part
98	K Erickson	Maungauika / North Head and Maungarei / Mt Wellington	With reference to section 10.23 and the proposed potential restriction of paragliding and hang gliding the submitter would like to see existing the AHGPC access for flying at Maungauika / North Head and Maungarei / Mt Wellington maintained and requests that the words "and/or restricting" be deleted from the IMP	<b>Recommendation – amend clause 10.23</b>  <b>Reasons:</b>  Refer submission No 9	Allowed in part
99	New Zealand Hang Gliding and	Maungauika / North Head and	The New Zealand Hang Gliding and Paragliding Association (NZHGP) is the holder of a Part 146 certification, issued by	<b>Recommendation – amend clause 10.23</b>  <b>Reasons:</b>	Allowed in part

**PROPOSED TŪPUNA MAUNGA INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT PLAN**  
**HEARING PANEL'S RECOMMENDATIONS ON SUBMISSIONS**

	Paragliding Association	Maungarei / Mt Wellington	<p>the Director of Civil Aviation under the Civil Aviation Act. As such the NZH GPA represents all recreational hang gliding and paragliding pilots in New Zealand, and on behalf of the Director, ensures pilot qualification, paraglider and hang glider safety, and investigates incidents.</p> <p>With reference to section 10.23 and the proposed potential restriction of paragliding and hang gliding the submitter would like to see existing the AHGPC access for flying at Maungauika / North Head and Maungarei / Mt Wellington maintained. The submitter considers that the recreational activities of hang gliding and paragliding support many of the stated values that provide a framework for the approach to caring and protecting for maunga and create no negative impacts on any of these values.</p>	<p>Refer submission No 9</p>	Allowed in part	
100	Mr P Henriques	Maungauika / North Head and Maungarei / Mt Wellington	<p>With reference to section 10.23 and the proposed potential restriction of paragliding and hang gliding the submitter would like to see existing the AHGPC access for flying at Maungauika / North Head and Maungarei / Mt Wellington maintained.</p>	<p><b>Recommendation – amend clause 10.23</b></p> <p><b>Reasons:</b></p> <p>Refer submission No 9</p>		
101	Wiri Oil Services Limited	Matukutūruru / Wiri Mountain	<p>The submitter is generally supportive of the IMP, but has particular interest in the future individual management plan for Matukutūruru / Wiri Mountain and welcomes the opportunity to engage with the Maunga Authority at the earliest opportunity.</p> <p>The submitters seeks to ensure that any future use and development of Matukutūruru / Wiri Mountain remains compatible with the</p>	<p><b>Recommendation – no amendment</b></p> <p><b>Reasons:</b></p> <p>This is a matter that can be addressed during the development of the Individual Tūpuna Maunga Plan for Matukutūruru / Wiri Mountain.</p>	Disallowed – no amendment to the proposed IMP required.	

**PROPOSED TŪPUNA MAUNGA INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT PLAN**  
**HEARING PANEL'S RECOMMENDATIONS ON SUBMISSIONS**

		nature of existing uses in the surrounding area. They also would like to ensure that the IMP and future individual plans recognise Health and Safety issues associated within being in close proximity to the Wiri Oil Terminal and that risk sensitive activities in this location are appropriately restricted.	<b>Recommendation – amend clause 10.23</b>  <b>Reasons:</b> Refer submission No 9	Allowed in part
102	Mr S Percival	Maungauika / North Head and Maungarei / Mt Wellington	With reference to section 10.23 and the proposed potential restriction of paragliding and hang gliding the submitter would like to see existing the AHGPC access for flying at Maungauika / North Head and Maungarei / Mt Wellington maintained.	<b>Recommendation – amend clauses 9.6, 9.7</b>  <b>Reasons:</b> Refer submission No 3.
103	Mrs J & Mr D McQueen	Maungauika / North Head	The submitters seeks that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• There should be no ability conferred by the IMP or otherwise to exercise control over "legal boundaries and fenceslines";</li><li>• The IMP should not encroach on adjacent landowners' property rights in any manner;</li><li>• There should be no ability conferred by the IMP or otherwise to retrospectively remove structures on private land;</li><li>• Clarity be provided around the terminology used in the pathway statements. The vague language gives rise to significant opportunity for misinterpretation and subsequent litigation.</li></ul>	<b>Recommendation – amend clauses 9.6, 9.7</b>  <b>Reasons:</b> Refer submission No 3.
104	M Weaver & M Taylor	Maungauika / North Head	The submitters seeks that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• There should be no ability conferred by the IMP or otherwise to exercise control over "legal boundaries and fenceslines";</li><li>• The IMP should not encroach on adjacent landowners' property rights in any manner;</li></ul>	<b>Recommendation – amend clauses 9.6, 9.7</b>  <b>Reasons:</b> Refer submission No 3.

**PROPOSED TŪPUNA MAUNGA INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT PLAN**  
**HEARING PANEL'S RECOMMENDATIONS ON SUBMISSIONS**

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• There should be no ability conferred by the IMP or otherwise to retrospectively remove structures on private land;</li> <li>• Clarity be provided around the terminology used in the pathway statements. The vague language gives rise to significant opportunity for misinterpretation and subsequent litigation.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Recommendation – amend clause 10.23</b></p> <p><b>Reasons:</b></p> <p>Refer submission No 9</p>	Allowed in part
105	Mr P Oatley	Maungauika / North Head and Maungarei / Mt Wellington	With reference to section 10.23 and the proposed potential restriction of paragliding and hang gliding the submitter would like to see existing the AHGPC access for flying at Maungauika / North Head and Maungarei / Mt Wellington maintained.	<p><b>Recommendation – amend clause 10.23</b></p> <p><b>Reasons:</b></p> <p>Refer submission No 9</p>
106	Mr A Srinivasan	Maungauika / North Head and Maungarei / Mt Wellington	With reference to section 10.23 and the proposed potential restriction of paragliding and hang gliding the submitter would like to see existing the AHGPC access for flying at Maungauika / North Head and Maungarei / Mt Wellington maintained.	<p><b>Recommendation – amend clause 10.23</b></p> <p><b>Reasons:</b></p> <p>Refer submission No 9</p>
107	Cornwall Park Trust Board	Maungakiekie / One Tree Hill	The submitter, <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Supports the development of the IMP;</li> <li>• Supports the Pathway for protection the landscape of the Tūpuna Maunga and is keen to collaborate and engage with the Maunga Authority on the development of the individual Maunga Plan for Maungakiekie;</li> <li>• Supports those aspects of the IMP that seek to support preservation and active interpretation of the cultural heritage and history of the volcanic fields;</li> <li>• Supports the Values and Pathways that</li> </ul>	<p><b>Recommendation – no amendment</b></p> <p><b>Reasons:</b></p> <p>A supportive submission that reflects a positive relationship.</p>

**PROPOSED TŪPUNA MAUNGA INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT PLAN**  
**HEARING PANEL'S RECOMMENDATIONS ON SUBMISSIONS**

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• seek to enhance the ecology and biodiversity of environmental systems;</li> <li>• Notes the Pathways around phasing out stock grazing on the maunga, and provides details on the Trust Boards strategy in relation to farming on the Cornwall Park land;</li> <li>• Looks forward to continuing engagement with the Maunga Authority in the development of strategies, guidelines and the individual Tūpuna Maunga Plan for Maungakiekie to identify a coherent approach to land management where possible.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Recommendation – no amendment</b></p> <p><b>Reasons:</b></p> <p>Note support</p>	Allowed in part
108	B Maxwell & D Nicholson	Maungawhau	<p>The submitter fully supports the plans for co-governance as outlined in the Proposed IMP.</p> <p>The submitter states that Maungawhau should be used as a role model for other maunga in respect to progress that has been made by restricting vehicle access, encouraging pedestrian access, withdrawing stock and encouraging indigenous ecosystems to re-establish.</p>	<p><b>Recommendation – no amendment</b></p> <p><b>Reasons:</b></p> <p>These are matters that can be addressed during the development of the Individual Tūpuna Maunga Plan for Takarunga / Mt Victoria.</p>
109	J Mace	Takarunga / Mt Victoria	<p>The submitter:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Supports the proposed improvement to signage and interpretation;</li> <li>• Favours the removal of exotic vegetation as far as possible;</li> <li>• Notes a small increase in graffiti over the recent years;</li> <li>• Would like to see a reduction in vehicular traffic to the summit (with appropriate arrangement to be made for</li> </ul>	<p><b>Disallowed</b></p> <p>– no amendment to the proposed IMP required.</p>

**PROPOSED TŪPUNA MAUNGA INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT PLAN**  
**HEARING PANEL'S RECOMMENDATIONS ON SUBMISSIONS**

110	ICOMOS New Zealand	All	<p>disabled persons).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Welcomes the timely production of the proposed IMP;</li> <li>• States that the word 'unique' in 4.7 is an overstatement;</li> <li>• States that Section 7.1 needs to mention the Crown as a partner.</li> <li>• States that the IMP needs to introduce the World Heritage concepts of authenticity and integrity and measures to secure them;</li> <li>• States that Plan needs to acknowledge the Crown as the state party and its key role in preparing and lodging any nomination;</li> <li>• States that there should be mention of the need to manage in light of the residual function of the Crown (DOC) under the Reserves Act 1977;</li> <li>• Provides a clause by clause list of comments and checklist of what should be included in the individual management plans (refer submission) including</li> </ul>	<p><b>Recommendation – amend clauses 4.7, 7.1, 9.5, 9.7, 10.1, 10.13, 10.17, 10.23 &amp; 10.25</b></p> <p><b>Reasons:</b></p> <p>ICOMOS note that the word 'unique' in Section 4.7 is an overstatement. <b>Recommend</b> the following amendment:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 4.7 Tāmaki Makaurau Today “Tāmaki Makaurau is a globally significant city remarkable for its <del>unique</del> natural landscape, urban centres distributed across a young volcanic field, and maritime dominance.”</li> </ul> <p>ICOMOS seeks that the Crown be mentioned as a partner in Section 7.1. <b>Recommend</b> the following amendment:</p> <p>7.1 Introduction “The Tūpuna Maunga Authority has six representatives from Ngā Mana Whenua and six representatives from the Auckland Council <u>and one (non-voting) Crown representative</u>.”</p> <p>In order to ensure that the content of the IMP does not hinder the success of an application for World Heritage status. <b>Recommend</b> the following amendments:</p> <p>9.5 Wairuatanga / Spiritual Value Pathway: Tread Lightly</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Preserve and enhance the <u>authenticity</u> and <u>visual integrity</u> of the Tūpuna Maunga so that they are markers in the landscape, and their cultural and natural features are visually apparent.</li> </ul> <p>9.7 Takotoranga Whenua / Landscape Value Pathway: Protect the Integrity of the Landscape of the Tūpuna Maunga</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protect the <u>authenticity</u> and <u>integrity</u> of this unique landscape feature and respect this important landscape through the progressive removal of structures and features that detract from the maunga.</li> </ul>

# PROPOSED TŪPUNA MAUNGA INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT PLAN

## HEARING PANEL'S RECOMMENDATIONS ON SUBMISSIONS

		<p>Authenticity and Integrity of the Maunga as Landmarks of Tāmaki</p> <p>Amend Section 10.1 7<sup>th</sup> bullet point and add a bullet point:</p> <p>10.1 Delivering the Values and Pathways</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• “Advocacy to Auckland Council, central government, private sector, <u>and regarding</u> policies, plans and bylaws (for example Auckland unitary plan); and</li><li>• <u>Advocacy supporting a World Heritage nomination; and ...</u>”</li></ul> <p>Amend Section 10.13 Tūpuna Maunga Design Guideline:</p> <p>“Using statutory <u>provisions to reflect advocacy to reinforce the values in the IMP</u> (for example, RMA instruments, viewshafts, plan changes).”</p> <p>ICOMOS suggests encouraging native grassland. Auckland Council advise that trials around native grassland been undertaken and that further work is required to determine the long term viability and success. We <b>recommend</b> making the following amendment.</p> <p>Amend Section 10.17 by adding a bullet point as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• “Explore native grassland establishment where appropriate.”</li></ul> <p>The IMP replaces the current Reserve Management Plans for each maunga. Until the Individual Tūpuna Maunga Management Plans are prepared, the Maunga Authority will manage the maunga in accordance with their statutory purpose and the Values and Pathways set out in the IMP. Where there is ambiguity, the Operational Plan and existing Reserve Management Plans will be used for guidance. Over time, the overarching strategies and guidelines will be phased in, providing further guidance and direction. We <b>recommend</b> making no amendment.</p> <p>ICOMOS suggest a list of matters to be included in the Individual Tūpuna Maunga Plans. We have reviewed this list and with one exception the additions suggested above and those in response to</p>
--	--	---

# PROPOSED TŪPUNA MAUNGA INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT PLAN

## HEARING PANEL'S RECOMMENDATIONS ON SUBMISSIONS

		<p>the submissions from Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga and Mangere Mountain Education Trust, all matters are included in the IMP in the values, pathways, design guidelines, strategies and proposed individual plans.</p> <p>The list of key management issues in the submission includes reference to “Visitor management, visitor capacity and visitor security”. While covered or inferred in a general sense in a number of the matters to be considered during the development of the individual Tūpuna Maunga Plans it is not explicitly stated.</p> <p><b>Recommend</b> the following amendments.</p> <p>Section 10.23 content of the Individual Management Plans, add a new bullet point and amend the 15<sup>th</sup> and 20<sup>th</sup> bullet points:</p> <p>“The Tūpuna Maunga Plans must, as a minimum, address:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Consideration of visitor management, capacity and safety.</li> <li>• Management of the network of access ways on the Tūpuna Maunga (including trails and pedestrian pathways) which enhances its features and protects waahi tapu and visitor safety;</li> <li>• Identification, protection, of the archaeological and where appropriate, enhancement of the geological and landform values and where appropriate, enhancement of the landform of the Tūpuna Maunga</li> </ul> <p>Section 10.25 content of the Monitoring Strategy , add a new bullet point:</p> <p>10.25 The Monitoring Strategy will;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Develop a baseline database and inventory of the physical values of each Tūpuna maunga. This will incorporate: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- A heritage inventory (including archaeological features)</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<p><b>Recommendation – no amendment</b></p> <p><b>Reasons:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Tūpuna Maunga Authority has produced an excellent vision for the care of the</li> </ul>	Allowed
111	Ngāti Maru	All	<p>The submitter notes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Tūpuna Maunga Authority has produced an excellent vision for the care of the</li> </ul>	

**PROPOSED TŪPUNA MAUNGA INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT PLAN**  
**HEARING PANEL'S RECOMMENDATIONS ON SUBMISSIONS**

		<p>taonga that are so fundamental to the identity of Ngāti Maru and the other mana whenua of Tāmaki.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The IMP has a carefully crafted framework for activities and decision-making that is inclusive of the primary position of mana whenua together with other communities. We especially support the provision for mana whenua cultural activities being a core part of the Tamaki Collective Treaty settlement.</li> <li>Ngāti Maru strongly supports the IMP and looks forward to participating in the future process.</li> </ul>	Allowed in part
112	Tūpuna Taonga o Tāmaki Makaurau Trust	<p>All</p> <p>Commends the Maunga Authority for its development of the Proposed IMP.</p> <p>Seeks more visibility of its role and the inaugural Trust members in the introduction and foreword sections of the Plan, i.e. a diagram of the structure and role of Ngā Mana Whenua and the Taonga Trust in relation to the Tūpuna Maunga.</p> <p>The names of the Tūpuna Maunga should mirror the names in the Collective Redress Act 2014, as these names were accepted and agreed by all iwi.</p> <p>Acknowledges and commends the Maunga Authority for taking the most enabling approach to authorised cultural activities.</p>	<p><b>Recommendation – amend section 2</b></p> <p><b>Reasons:</b></p> <p>Support for the IMP from the submitter is noted.</p> <p>Amend Section 2 to include a new diagram of the structure and role of Ngā Mana Whenua and the Tūpuna Taonga Trust in relation to the Tūpuna Maunga.</p> <p>The names of the Tūpuna Maunga will be amended to mirror the names in the Collective Redress Act 2014, as these names were accepted and agreed by all iwi.</p> <p>The support of the submitter in relation to enabling cultural activities is noted.</p>



**Attachment D**

**Recommended changes in response to submissions**

**PROPOSED TŪPUNA MAUNGA INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT PLAN**  
**RECOMMENDED CHANGES IN RESPONSE TO SUBMISSIONS**

IMP Page No	RECOMMENDED CHANGES  <u>Insertions</u> <u>Underlined</u>  <u>Deletions</u> <del>strikethrough</del>	SUBMISSION(S)
6	<p>Amend section 2.12 as follows:</p> <p>“The Tūpuna Maunga are among the most significant spiritual, cultural, historical, <u>archaeological</u> and geological landscapes in the Auckland region...”</p>	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga (No 55) NZ Archaeological Association (No 75)
6	<p>Amend section 2 to include a new diagram of the structure and role of Ngā Mana Whenua and the Tūpuna Taonga Trust in relation to the Tūpuna Maunga.</p>	Tūpuna Taonga o Tāmaki Makaurau Trust (112)
6	<p>Amend section 2.19 as follows:</p> <p>“In addition, there will be individual Tūpuna Maunga Plans reflecting the Values and Pathways, overarching guidelines and strategies for each of the Tūpuna Maunga. These plans will detail the care and management of each Tūpuna Maunga. <u>The relationship between the IMP, overarching guidelines and strategies, and the Individual Tūpuna Maunga Management Plans is set out in Figure 1.</u></p>	Change resulting from the hearing of submissions.
11	<p>In section 4.2 replace “internment” with “interment”.</p>	NZ Archaeological Association (No 75)
13	<p>Amend section 4.7 as follows:</p> <p>“Tāmaki Makaurau is a globally significant city remarkable for its <del>unique</del> natural landscape, urban centres distributed across a young volcanic field, and maritime dominance.”</p>	ICOMOS (No 110)
27	<p>On page 27, Maungauika / North Head Map, amend the list of current activities as follows:</p> <p>“<del>Above ground reservoirs and/or associated infrastructure</del></p>	Watercare (No 93)

IMP Page No	<b>RECOMMENDED CHANGES</b> <u>Insertions Underlined</u> <u>Deletions strikethrough</u>	<b>SUBMISSION(S)</b>
	<u>"Aboveground pipelines and fittings for the conveyance of wastewater"</u>	
33	On page 33, Ohuiarangi / Pigeon Mountain Map, add the following to the list of current activities:  <u>"Aboveground pipelines and fittings for the conveyance of water, wastewater and/or stormwater"</u>	Watercare (No 93)
48	Amend Section 7.3 as follows:  "The Tūpuna Maunga Authority has six representatives from Ngā Mana Whenua and six representatives from the Auckland Council <u>and one (non-voting) Crown representative.</u> "	ICOMOS (No 110)
55	Section 9.5 Wairuatanga / Spiritual Value, amend the 5 <sup>th</sup> bullet point under the Pathway "Tread Gently" as follows:  <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Preserve and enhance <u>the authenticity and</u> visual integrity of the Tūpuna Maunga so that they are markers in the landscape, and their cultural and natural features are visually apparent."</li> </ul>	ICOMOS (No 110)
56	Section 9.6 Mana Aotūroa / Cultural and Heritage Value, amend the Value as follows:  The Tūpuna Maunga are interwoven in mana whenua history and whakapapa. Visibility and prominence will be given to these histories and the connection over the millennia between people and place. Mana whenua will be enabled and supported to express their kaitiakitanga over the Tūpuna Maunga (the intergenerational rights and obligations of mana whenua to care for the Tūpuna Maunga), and to address the previous cultural and physical separation between mana whenua and the Tūpuna Maunga. The <u>footprint of the Tūpuna Maunga over which mana whenua exercise kaitiakitanga of Mana Whenua over the Tūpuna Maunga extends beyond the legal boundaries and fence lines over the entirety of the maunga.</u> The Tūpuna Maunga are unique on a global scale and an important part of Tāmaki Makaurau/Auckland's cultural and historical heritage. They are of exceptional significance as some of the largest and most impressive archaeological site complexes in New Zealand. They also contain physical reminders <u>heritage places and features associated with</u> European history and interaction with these landscapes,	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga (No 55)  M Hayes (No 03), Mr S St. Leger Reeves (No 12), K Hayes (No 36), B Hayes (No 37), E Hayes (No 41), A Wigmore (No 44), K Van Peursem & N Harnisch (No 48), D A Veal (No 53), Mrs J Batchelor-Smith & Mr C Smith (No 59), Mr M Weekes (No 60), S Keene & B Curson (No 62), F Schaw (No 64), Mr J & Mrs C Eagles (No 66), Mrs P & Mr R Neville (No 67), J Cameron & A Hobbs (No 71), Mrs M & Mr R Coldham (No 76), L Kingsbury (No 83), Mr L & Mrs J Spinley (No 84), Mrs S & Mr S

IMP Page No	<b>RECOMMENDED CHANGES</b> <u>Insertions</u> <u>Underlined</u> <u>Deletions</u> <u>strikethrough</u>	<b>SUBMISSION(S)</b>
	<p>including <u>monuments and military complexes.</u> <del>defence facilities</del>.</p> <p>Local communities have a strong emotional connection with, and draw a sense of identity from the Tūpuna Maunga.”</p>	England-Hall (No 94), Mrs J & Mr D McQueen (No 103), M Weaver & M Taylor (No 104)
57	<p>Section 9.6 Mana Aotūroa / Cultural and Heritage Value, amend the 4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> bullet points under the Pathway “Recognise European and other histories, and interaction with the maunga”, as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>Obtain baseline knowledge and e</u>Encourage collective stewardship of existing historical heritage.</li> <li>• Share the <u>archaeological and</u> geological history of the Tūpuna Maunga network and the wider volcanic landscape.”</li> </ul>	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga (both bullet points) (No 55) Mangere Mountain Education Trust (first bullet point) (No 89) NZ Archaeological Association (second bullet point) (No 75)
58	<p>Section 9.7 Takotoranga Whenua / Landscape Value, amend the Value as follows:</p> <p>“The Tūpuna Maunga are among the most treasured and distinctive connected landscape features of Tāmaki Makaurau that are both natural and modified. The Tūpuna Maunga create and contribute to Aucklanders sense of pride, ‘place’ and home.</p> <p>The ability to view these taonga from all over Auckland – the most populated part of New Zealand – and from other maunga is valued for this reason. The Tūpuna Maunga are a place to see and experience other parts of Tāmaki Makaurau.</p> <p>The significance of the Tūpuna Maunga to mana whenua and all Aucklanders creates an opportunity to ensure the protection and enhancement of the physical and visual integrity of these natural features in the surrounding urban environment. <u>This includes protection of the distinctive and impressive earthworks such as terracing, rua (storage pits), and defences, which are characteristic of pā on the maunga.</u> These reflect the extent and nature of past use and occupation of the Tūpuna Maunga by mana whenua, and are of exceptional archaeological significance both nationally and internationally.</p> <p>The Tūpuna Maunga are a part of the naturally preserved, young, monogenetic basaltic volcanic field in Aotearoa/New Zealand. They are the most visible reminder to people of the volcanic field on which we live, and are important to our understanding of Auckland’s geological history.”</p>	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga (No 55)
59	<p>Section 9.7 Takotoranga Whenua / Landscape Value, amend the first bullet point in the pathway “Protect the integrity of the landscape of the Tūpuna Maunga”:</p>	M Hayes (No 03), Mr S St. Leger Reeves (No 12), K Hayes (No 36), B Hayes (No 37), E Hayes (No 41), A Wigmore (No

IMP Page No	RECOMMENDED CHANGES  <u>Insertions</u>  <u>Deletions</u> <del>strikethrough</del>	SUBMISSION(S)
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>“Recognise that the ‘footprint’ of the <u>Tūpuna Māunga</u> and the <u>are a part of a broader volcanic field and Mana Whenua and local communities wish to see the maunga and volcanic field in their entirety protected and enhanced goes beyond the legal boundaries, and exercise influence over the protection and enhancement of the entire volcanic field.”</u></li> </ul>	44), K Van Peursem & N Harnisch (No 48), D A Veal (No 53), Mrs J Batchelor-Smith & Mr C Smith (No 59), Mr M Weekes (No 60), S Keene & B Curson (No 62), F Schaw (No 64), Mr J & Mrs C Eagles (No 66), Mrs P & Mr R Neville (No 67), J Cameron & A Hobbs (No 71), Mrs M & Mr R Coldham (No 76), L Kingsbury (No 83), Mr L & Mrs J Spinley (No 84), Mrs S & Mr S England-Hall (No 94), Mrs J & Mr D McQueen (No 103), M Weaver & M Taylor (No 104)
59	<p>Section 9.7 Takotoranga Whenua / Landscape Value, add the following new bullet point in the pathway “Protect the integrity of the landscape of the Tūpuna Maunga”:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><u>Identify cultural elements including archaeological sites and features, built heritage, plantings and view shafts that contribute to the significance of landscapes on the maunga and ensure that these are protected during future use and development”.</u></li> </ul>	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga (No 55)
59	<p>Section 9.7 Takotoranga Whenua / Landscape Value, amend the 5<sup>th</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup> bullet points under the pathway “Encourage activities that are in keeping with the natural and indigenous landscape” as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><u>Develop Progress relationships with adjacent landowners to ensure enable an integrated approach to caring for the maunga appropriate understanding of the maunga’s spiritual, cultural, landscape, and ecological values and identify opportunities for a coherent approach to land management.</u></li> <li><u>Advocate for the Tūpuna Maunga Values to be reflected in activities and development on adjacent land to respect the Tūpuna Maunga Values.”</u></li> </ul>	M Hayes (No 03), Mr S St. Leger Reeves (No 12), K Hayes (No 36), B Hayes (No 37), E Hayes (No 41), A Wigmore (No 44), K Van Peursem & N Harnisch (No 48), D A Veal (No 53), Mrs J Batchelor-Smith & Mr C Smith (No 59), Mr M Weekes (No 60), S Keene & B Curson (No 62), F Schaw (No 64), Mr J & Mrs C Eagles (No 66), Mrs P & Mr R Neville (No 67), J Cameron & A Hobbs (No 71), Mrs M & Mr R Coldham (No 76), L Kingsbury (No 83), Mr L & Mrs J Spinley (No 84), Mrs S & Mr S England-Hall (No 94), Mrs J & Mr D McQueen (No 103), M Weaver & M Taylor (No 104)
59	Section 9.7 Takotoranga Whenua / Landscape Value, amend the heading of the pathway “Preserve the visual and physical	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere

IMP Page No	<b>RECOMMENDED CHANGES</b> <u>Insertions Underlined</u> <u>Deletions strikethrough</u>	<b>SUBMISSION(S)</b>
	integrity of the maunga as landmarks of Tāmaki” as follows:  “Preserve the visual and physical <u>authenticity and</u> integrity of the maunga as landmarks of Tāmaki”	Taonga (No 55) ICOMOS (No 110)
59	Section 9.7 Takotoranga Whenua / Landscape Value, amend the 3 <sup>rd</sup> bullet point under the pathway “Protect the integrity of the landscape of the Tūpuna Maunga” as follows:  <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• “Protect the <u>authenticity and</u> integrity of this unique landscape feature and respect this important landscape through the progressive removal of structures and features that detract from the maunga”.</li> </ul>	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga (No 55) ICOMOS (No 110)
68	Amend Section 10.1 by amending the 7 <sup>th</sup> bullet point and adding a bullet point as follows:  <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• “Advocacy to Auckland Council, central government, private sector, <u>and regarding</u> policies, plans and bylaws (for example Auckland unitary plan); <u>and</u></li> <li>• <u>Advocacy supporting a World Heritage nomination; and ...”</u></li> </ul>	ICOMOS (No 110)
69	In section 10.9 replace “internment” with “interment”.	NZ Archaeological Association (No 75)
70	Amend Section 10.13 as follows:  “Using statutory <u>provisions to reflect advocacy to reinforce</u> the values in the IMP (for example, RMA instruments, viewshafts, plan changes).”	ICOMOS (No 110)
70	Add a new Section at Section 10.14 and 10.15 as follows: (i) <u>Tūpuna Maunga Recreation Strategy</u> <u>10.14 A comprehensive and integrated Tūpuna Maunga Recreation Strategy for all the Tūpuna Maunga will be prepared and implemented.</u> <u>10.15 The Recreation Strategy for the Tūpuna Maunga must, as a minimum, address:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>Respecting the sacredness of the tīhi;</u></li> <li>• <u>Public access that is appropriate and respects the Tūpuna Maunga as taonga tuku iho;</u></li> <li>• <u>Prioritisation and advocacy of pedestrian access and</u></li> </ul>	Albert – Eden Local Board (No 70)

IMP Page No	<b>RECOMMENDED CHANGES</b> <u>Insertions Underlined</u> <u>Deletions strikethrough</u>	SUBMISSION(S)
	<p>circulation on the Tūpuna Maunga;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>Providing for a range of recreational activities which are appropriate and compatible with the Tūpuna Maunga and the protection and interpretation of spiritual, ecological, geological and cultural values;</u></li> <li>• <u>Phasing out of inappropriate recreational activities;</u></li> <li>• <u>Infrastructure that supports community interaction and inclusiveness and enables active and passive recreation;</u></li> <li>• <u>Appropriate cycle access on the Tūpuna Maunga. Cycle access shall avoid erosion and adverse physical effects on Tūpuna Maunga values;</u></li> <li>• <u>Management of the network of access ways on the Tūpuna Maunga (including trails and pedestrian pathways) which enhances its features and protects waahi tapu;</u></li> <li>• <u>Design and implementation of track options that avoid erosion and other adverse physical and visual effects while providing safe and attractive paths for people;</u></li> <li>• <u>Linkages and connections to public transport, Greenways, parks and the wider landscape;</u></li> <li>• <u>Consideration of mobility design principles when new developments are undertaken, including provision for working dogs;</u></li> <li>• <u>Ensuring any new buildings and structures, services, areas of planting and facilities are appropriately located, designed (culturally based) and constructed to complement the landform, nature of the surroundings, and reduce visual distractions;</u></li> <li>• <u>Incorporating ‘Low Impact Design’ processes in all development proposals, reducing the extent of impermeable surfaces;</u></li> <li>• <u>Appropriate control of dogs;</u></li> <li>• <u>Managing air access activities;</u></li> <li>• <u>Third party commercial activities (such as commercial boot camps / training etc);</u></li> <li>• <u>Reviewing existing licences and leases and consideration of ongoing licences and leases;</u></li> </ul> <p>Subsequent amendments to Section 10.23 Individual Tūpuna Maunga Plans by deleting and amending the following bullets points:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <del>Providing for a range of recreational activities which are</del></li> </ul>	

IMP Page No	RECOMMENDED CHANGES  <u>Insertions</u> <u>Underlined</u>  <u>Deletions</u> <del>strikethrough</del>	SUBMISSION(S)
	<p>appropriate and compatible with the Tūpuna Maunga and the protection and interpretation of spiritual, ecological, geological and cultural values;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Phasing out of inappropriate recreational activities;</li> <li>• Appropriate cycle access on the Tūpuna Maunga. Cycle access shall avoid erosion and adverse physical effects on Tūpuna Maunga values;</li> <li>• Filming and other <del>third party commercial activities (such as commercial boot camps / training etc);</del></li> </ul> <p>Subsequent amendments to Figure 1 on page 7 and the numbering of section 10 to reflect this addition of the Recreation Strategy.</p>	
70	<p>Amend Section 10.17 by adding a bullet point as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• “<u>Explore native grassland establishment where appropriate.</u>”</li> </ul>	ICOMOS (No 110)
70	<p>After section 10.20 relating to the Tūpuna Maunga Commercial Strategy, add new section 10.21 as follows and renumber remaining sections accordingly:</p> <p><u>“10.21 The Commercial Strategy must, as a minimum, address:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) <u>Enabling commercial uses/activities that promote the Values of the Tūpuna Maunga;</u></li> <li>b) <u>Management of commercial uses and operators through development of an operators / concessionaire assessment framework;</u></li> <li>c) <u>Enabling appropriate commercial / sponsorship opportunities on the Tūpuna Maunga;</u></li> <li>d) <u>Promotion of the Tūpuna Maunga as iconic spiritual and cultural places to be respected by visitors;</u></li> <li>e) <u>Alternative funding opportunities;</u></li> <li>f) <u>Commercial event opportunities on the Tūpuna Maunga.</u></li> </ol>	<p>Devonport – Takapuna Local Board (No 40) Mangere – Ōtahuhu Local Board (No 45) Devonport Tour Company (No 50) Maungakiekie Local Board (No 65) Albert – Eden Local Board (No 70)</p>
72	<p>In section 10.23 relating to the content of the Individual Management Plans, add a new bullet point and amend 15<sup>th</sup> bullet point as follows:</p> <p>“The Tūpuna Maunga Plans must, as a minimum, address:</p>	ICOMOS (No 110)

IMP Page No	<b>RECOMMENDED CHANGES</b> <u>Insertions Underlined</u> <u>Deletions strikethrough</u>	<b>SUBMISSION(S)</b>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Consideration of visitor management, capacity and safety.</li> <li>• Management of the network of access ways on the Tūpuna Maunga (including trails and pedestrian pathways) which enhances its features and protects waahi tapu <u>and visitor safety</u>;</li> </ul>	
72	<p>In section 10.23 relating to the content of the Individual Management Plans, amend 20<sup>th</sup> bullet points as follows:</p> <p>“The Tūpuna Maunga Plans must, as a minimum, address:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>Identification, protection, of the archaeological and where appropriate, enhancement of the geological and landform values, and where appropriate, enhancement of the landform of the Tūpuna Maunga...</u>”</li> </ul>	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga (No 55)  NZ Archaeological Association (No 75)  ICOMOS (No 110)
72	<p>In section 10.23 relating to the content of the Individual Management Plans, amend the 33<sup>rd</sup> bullet points as follows:</p> <p>“The Tūpuna Maunga Plans must, as a minimum, address:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Managing <del>and/or restricting air access activities for example paragliding and hang gliding;...</del></li> </ul>	Auckland Hang Gliding and Paragliding Club (No 77)  New Zealand Hang Gliding and Paragliding Association (No 99)  And submitters 9, 14-29, 32-35, 38, 39, 42, 43, 46, 52, 54, 56, 61, 78, 79, 81, 82, 85-88, 96-98, 100-102, 104, 105.
73	<p>In section 10.25 relating to the content of the Monitoring Strategy, add the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• “Develop a baseline database and inventory of the physical values of each Tūpuna maunga. This will incorporate: - <u>A heritage inventory (including archaeological features)</u></li> </ul>	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga (No 55)  Mangere Mountain Education Trust (No 89)  ICOMOS (No 110)
All	Throughout the document amend the names of the Tūpuna Maunga to mirror the names in the Collective Redress Act 2014.  Refer section 5.6, page 19 for an example of the changes to be made throughout the document.	Tūpuna Taonga o Tāmaki Makaurau Trust (112)

## 5. Insurance renewals

Author: Alex Heatley, Insurance & Claims Manager

<b>Purpose</b>	To update the Tūpuna Maunga o Tāmaki Makaurau Authority in relation to insurance and provide recommendations on the upcoming insurance renewals.
<b>Recommendation</b>	That the Tūpuna Maunga o Tāmaki Makaurau Authority: a. <b>agree</b> the insurance liability policies "rollover" with the existing terms and conditions for the 2016/17 year, including holding in place the current limits and deductibles.

### Background

1. At Hui 16 (22 February 2016), Council officers reported to the Tūpuna Maunga o Tāmaki Makaurau Authority summarising interim insurance arrangements which Council had obtained for Maunga Authority members.
2. Public Liability, Professional Indemnity, Directors & Officers (D&O) Liability and Statutory Liability insurances were identified as necessary for the Tūpuna Maunga o Tāmaki Makaurau Authority. These policies were obtained through to 1 July 2016.
3. The following limits and associated deductibles (insurance excess) are currently in force:

Liability Type	Sum Insured/Limit	Deductible/Insurance Excess
Public Liability	\$2m	\$500
Professional Indemnity	\$2m	\$2,500
D&O Liability	\$2m	\$2,500
Statutory Liability	\$500,000	\$500

4. In addition, Council staff reviewed the Ngā Mana Whenua o Tāmaki Makaurau Collective Redress Act 2014 and identified assets which were vested in the Tupuna Taonga o Tamaki Makaurau Trust - the building known as "Rumney Cottage" (Matukutūruru) and the buildings known as Mount Hobson flats and out building at 11a and 11b Hobson Lane (Ōhinerau / Mount Hobson).
5. The Tupuna Taonga o Tamaki Makaurau Trust is responsible for insuring the vested assets in paragraph 4 above. The remaining assets on the Tūpuna Maunga remain the responsibility of Auckland Council and continue to be insured under Auckland Council's property insurance programme.

## Renewing Liability Insurance Policies

6. The Public Liability, Professional Indemnity, D&O Liability and Statutory Liability insurance policies are set to renew on 1 July 2016. The scope of cover for these policies are as follows:
  - Public Liability: covers liability in respect to personal injury or property damage arising in connection with Tūpuna Maunga o Tāmaki Makaurau Authority's usual business activities.
  - Professional Indemnity: covers liability, including damages and defence costs resulting from the performance of the Maunga Authority's professional business services.
  - D&O Liability: covers past and present directors' liability in respect to wrongful acts committed or allegedly committed. Wrongful acts include: error, omission, neglect, breach of duty, breach of trust, misstatement and misleading statement by an insured person in his or her capacity as a director.
  - Statutory Liability: generally covers defence costs and fines in connection with the Maunga Authority's usual business activities, which result from an allegation of a breach of any Act of Parliament (excluding criminal Acts, e.g. Arms Act, Crimes Act, Misuse of Drugs Act etc.).
7. It is recommended these liability policies "rollover" with the existing terms and conditions, including holding in place the current limits and deductibles. This recommendation is based on the fact that the nature of the Tūpuna Maunga o Tāmaki Makaurau Authority's business and its activities will remain the same in the new insurance period. There have also been no incidents or events in the current period which would indicate the level of cover for these policies is inappropriate.

## Health and Safety at Work Act 2015 (the HSW Act)

8. There is a new act (Health & Safety in Employment Act 2015 (the **HSW Act**) that has come into effect on 4 April 2016, which places a greater emphasis on accountability of organisations and individuals who do not actively manage their critical risks.
9. Statutory Liability insurance in respect of the HSE Act only has limited coverage;

- **Fines**

Section 29 of the HSW Act provides that an insurance policy or contract which purports to cover or indemnify a person for that person's liability to pay a fine or infringement fee under the Act shall be of no effect, and no court or tribunal may grant relief in respect of such a policy or contract. Subsection (2) covers both the insured and the insurer.

The justification for this statutory provision is that fines administered by a criminal court are designed to deter certain behaviours, and insuring against the consequences of that behaviour would defeat the statutory purpose.

- **Reparation**

The prohibition in section 29 does not lie against insurance relating to reparation orders. This was apparently a policy decision made to enhance the prospects of a victim obtaining the benefit of a reparation order.

- **Legal costs**

Statutory Liability insurance does cover defence costs against prosecution and/or internal investigation.

10. Due to this limited policy coverage, the current limit of Statutory Liability cover (\$500,000) is still considered sufficient.

### **Renewing Material Damage Policies**

11. The majority of the assets located on the Maunga are included under Council's property insurance programme. This policy renews on 30 June 2016 and subject to current insurance valuations being carried out on Council buildings and structures, the insurance terms and conditions will remain the same.
12. Currently, the assets owned by the Tupuna Taonga o Tamaki Makaurau Trust are included under Council's "Community Assets" property insurance programme. This programme allows Community groups and organisations to insure their buildings using the purchasing power of Council in order to reduce insurance premiums. This policy is also set to renew on 30 June 2016.
13. The scope of cover for both these material damage policies are essentially the same; cover for physical loss or damage to buildings and associated structures which are specified on the policy schedules.
14. It is recommended that until a final decision is made by the Tupuna Taonga o Tamaki Makaurau Trust in respect to insuring their vested assets, the assets remain included for the time being under Council's Community Assets property insurance programme.



## **6. Health and Safety Register**

Author: Scott De Silva, Manager Volcanic Cones

<b>Purpose</b>	To update the Tūpuna Maunga Authority on Health and Safety incidents identified in respect of the Tūpuna Maunga.
<b>Recommendation</b>	That the Tūpuna Maunga Authority: a. <b>note</b> the incidents included in the Health and Safety Register (Attachment A) and actions taken by management in response.

1. Attached is the latest Health and Safety Register, which includes earlier summaries of Health and Safety incidents.
2. Three incidents, one of which resulted in an injury, were recorded by staff in respect of the Tūpuna Maunga for the period since Hui 17 (4 April 2016).
3. The inclusion of a Actions Taken column on the register informs the Tūpuna Maunga Authority of how staff will be managing incidents, and is an example of an enhancement to our reporting of health and safety matters to comply with the new legislation.

### **Attachment**

#### **A      Health and Safety Register**



**Attachment A**

**Health and Safety Register**

Hui reported to	Maunga	Summary of incident	Number of any near misses	Outcome of investigations into any incidents and near misses	Health and Safety management matters arising	Actions Taken
Hui 19	Maungakiekie	Pedestrian and vehicle related incident on the access road to the tihi	3	The road alignment and lack of footpaths to the tihi creates a risk to pedestrians walking to the tihi. The road is increasingly popular for walkers and runners and is likely increase due to the planting of the trees.	Potential health & safety risk to pedestrians.	Staff have reviewed speed signs and will install a 'shared space' sign to indicate to drivers the need to comply with speed limits and other users. However the road layout means this is an ongoing risk. Staff will be reporting to members on key health and safety risks on the maunga shortly.
Hui 19	Maungakiekie	Visitor tripped on path and fell injuring himself	1	Staff assessed the area and path and although the path is in good condition there is a capacity issue with the number of visitors using this path during high use times.	Potential further issues relating to the volume of traffic and design of the asset.	None at this time.
Hui19	Maungakiekie	Pest control contractor fell into hole while undertaking a night shoot.	1	Incident was investigated and concluded that when the incident occurred the contractors' H&S procedures were being followed. Hazard has since been filled in to remove the hazard.	Risk to public and contractors.	Hole covered and contractor briefed on incident.
Hui 18	Maungawhau	Cyclist fell off bike at speed hump on downhill section of road.	1	Speed signage already installed; install an additional speed sign on section of road to inform cyclists of appropriate speed	Non-compliance with speed signs resulting in injury	
Hui 18	Ōtāhuhu	Wasp nest discovered	1	Contractors were notified and have destroyed the nest	Potential health and safety risk to visitors and volunteers	
Hui 17	Maungakiekie	Local user expressed concern that people were injuring themselves using the skate park bowl because of its dated features and surfacing. No specific incident reported.	0	Management will engage a skate park specialist to look at the site and make recommendations for improvements.	Safety assessment of recreational infrastructure	
Hui 17	Maungawhau	Wasp nest discovered	0	Contractors notified and will destroy the nest	Risk to visitors and volunteers	
Hui 17	Maungakiekie	Child fell off flying fox and broke his arm	1	Management have carried out a safety assessment to ensure it complies with playground standards. In the interim, the flying fox has been closed until the repair work can be confirmed and completed.	Safety assessment of play equipment	
Hui 17	Maungawhau	Request to consider fencing the area around the playground because of safety concerns for children playing near a busy road. Note there has not been any recorded incident relating to this request.	0	There are no specifications regarding the installation of fences around playgrounds. Advice received is if the playground is within 5 meters of a road, fencing should be considered. The Maungawhau playground	Safety assessment of play equipment	

Hui reported to	Maunga	Summary of incident	Number of any near misses	Outcome of investigations into any incidents and near misses	Health and Safety management matters arising	Actions Taken
				<p>is positioned on average 10 metres from the road. The assessment considered the location and provided the following recommendation:</p> <p>The play space is located well back from the road (average 10m) and there is planting, a footpath and a low post and rail fence between the playground and the road; all providing a sense of enclosure for the play space.</p>		
Hui 17	Maungawhau	Contractors threatened by homeless man	2	Police were notified and removed the individual from the site	Safety of visitors, volunteers, contractors and staff	
Hui 16	No health and safety incidents reported for this period					
Hui 15	Matukutūruru	Risk to visitors falling off quarry cliff	0	Staffs have investigated and will be placing hazard signs at entrance to the Maunga to advise visitors of risks.	Serious health and safety risks if signs are ignored	
Hui 15	Matukutūruru	Staff member fell into an old septic pit	1	The pit has been covered and will be filled. The area around the house will also be mown to expose any other potential risks.	Investigate any hazards remaining from old historic services	
Hui 15	Maungawhau	Visitor tripped and fell on exposed pipe	1	Track repairs and pipes covered to remove the tripping hazard	Track maintenance required	
Hui 15	Maungawhau	Visitor found urinating in car park, Tāmaki Hikoi guide approached individual and was verbally abused	1	Staff advised the guides to contact the police and record details of the offender's vehicle and description.	Risk to staff and contractors around managing conflict with members of the public, future roles and staff need to be trained if in a position where this is a potential risk	
Hui 14	No health and safety incidents reported for this period					
Hui 13	Maungawhau	Tamaki Hikoi guide injured by vehicle	1	Investigation is underway, staff are awaiting the incident and police report from the guide.	Conflict between pedestrians and vehicles.	
Hui 12	Ōtāhuhu	Several public complaints about sightings of off road motor bikes on and around the maunga, including reports of damage caused.	0	Potential issues with conflict between pedestrian and motor bikes. Staff have directed security to monitor the site and identify offenders.	Conflict between pedestrians and vehicles	
Hui 11	Maungarei	Several complaints made about excessive speed and cars not following directional advice (ie, travelling the wrong way on the road).	0	Issue has been investigated by staff and resulted in the placement of new speed restriction signs and newly painted directional arrows on the road to inform visitors as an interim measure. Review to be completed on all roads signage and	Conflict between pedestrians and vehicles	

Hui reported to	Maunga	Summary of incident	Number of any near misses	Outcome of investigations into any incidents and near misses	Health and Safety management matters arising	Actions Taken
				markings.		
Hui 11	Maungawhau	Several complaints made about excessive speed and cars not following directional advice (ie, travelling the wrong way on the road).	0	First Security were advised of the conflict issue and requested to open gates closer to the stated time to allow safe passage for early joggers and pedestrians.	Conflict between pedestrians and vehicles	
Hui 11	Mt Albert	Several complaints made about excessive speed and cars not following directional advice (ie, travelling the wrong way on the road).	0	Issue has been investigated by staff and resulted in the placement of new speed restriction signs and newly painted directional arrows on the road to inform visitors as an interim measure. Review to be completed on all roads signage and markings.	Conflict between pedestrians and vehicles	
Hui 11	Maungawhau	Two incidents reported of vehicles and bicycles not stopping at stop sign at exit of road to the tihi; potential for accident.	2	Issue has been investigated by staff and a speed hump and associated signs have been installed at the stop sign to slow vehicles and cyclists.	Conflict between pedestrians, cyclists and vehicles	
Hui 10	No health and safety incidents recorded for this period					



## Registers

Authors: Scott De Silva, Manager Tūpuna Maunga (Presenter); Mike George, Corporate Communications Specialist, Rob Mouldey, Tūpuna Maunga Adviser

<b>Purpose</b>	To provide the Tūpuna Maunga o Tāmaki Makaurau Authority with updated registers of: <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>a. Public Issues (Attachment A);</li><li>b. Media Issues (Attachment B);</li><li>c. Resource consents (Attachment C); and</li><li>d. Events (Attachment C).</li></ol>
<b>Recommendations</b>	That the Tūpuna Maunga o Tāmaki Makaurau Authority: <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>a. <b>note</b> the attached Registers, which have been updated since Hui 18 (2 May 2016).</li></ol>

## Registers

Attachment A is the updated Public Issues Register.

Attachment B is the updated Media Issues Register

Attachment C is the updated Resource Consents Register.

Attachment D is the updated Events Register.



**Attachment A**

**Public Issues Register**

Hui	Maunga	Item	Description	Actions Taken	Link to broader issue or strategy
Hui 19	Maungawhau	Inappropriate actions of visitors	Reports of two individuals defecating near bus park.	Installed port-a-loos in bus park, this was followed up by a letter to tour operators advising of incident and informing them of the location of toilets.	Availability of facilities; awareness of cultural significance of Maunga
Hui 19	Maungawhau	Security	9 car break ins reported by contractors from May 3 - June 11th.	Police advised of incidents, looking at options to reduce risk to visitor's vehicles and property. Will continue to work with police as incidents are reported.	Security, vandalism, vehicles on Maunga
Hui 19	Mt Roskill	Motor vehicles in unauthorised area	Vehicles driving on grass and rubbish, broken bottles left on the Maunga. Maintenance contractors reported that there were a lot of broken bottles, cans, food scraps picked up over the weekend around the tīhi parking area	Reviewed security log to ensure locking the gate as per contract requirements.	Security, vandalism, vehicles on Maunga
Hui 18	Maungakiekie	Overhanging vegetation	Resident at property adjoining Maungakiekie requested that the vegetation overhanging her property be trimmed or removed	Arborist to assess whether trimming of the tree will have any impacts on its long term health	Vegetation management and neighbouring properties
Hui 18	Takarunga	Vandalism	The automatic entry/exit gate was damaged (mechanical ram was broken off at the weld), and at the same time the gate to the tunnels at the summit was damaged (padlock cage and padlock broken off).	The entry/exit gate is being manually locked while it's being fixed. The padlock on the gate to the tunnels has been replaced.	Security, vandalism, vehicles on Maunga
Hui 18	Maungawhau	Bollard operation	The entry bollard malfunctioned due to being struck by a vehicle	Contractor was notified and has repaired the bollard.	Security, vandalism, vehicles on Maunga
Hui 17	Maungawhau	Motor vehicle in unauthorised area	An Auckland Grammar student riding a motor bike was observed traveling up the exit road	Staff stopped the vehicle and turned it, will be contacting Auckland Grammar about incident	Vehicle restrictions from the tīhi of Maungawhau
Hui 17	Maungawhau	Bandstand power box	On two occasions the lock to the bandstand power box was smashed and accessed to play radio	Lock latch replaced, police contacted	Security, vandalism, vehicles on Maunga
Hui 17	Maungawhau	Encroachment	An encroachment at 10 Hillside Crescent, Mt Eden is currently being managed by the council compliance team. The encroachment relates to a retaining wall on the maunga and a neighbouring property.	Working closely with council compliance team	Encroachment policy to be developed
Hui 17	Te Tātua-a-Riukiuta	Fire			
Hui 15	Maungarei	Entry gate	Entry and exit gate padlocks missing	Replaced	Security, vandalism, vehicles on Maunga
Hui 15	Maungarei	Entry gate	Gate lifted off hinges	Lifted back on and gudgeon welded to stop this happening again	Security, vandalism, vehicles on Maunga
Hui 15	Maungawhau	Entry gate	Padlock missing	Replaced	Security, vandalism, vehicles on Maunga
Hui 15	Maungawhau	Entry gate	Padlock cut	Replaced	Security, vandalism, vehicles on Maunga
Hui 15	Maungarei	Fire	Large grass fire attended by fire service; started by fireworks	Cut locks replaced	Fireworks, grass fires
Hui 15	Maungawhau	Fire	Small grass fire attended by fire service; started by fireworks	Cut gate fixed. Static guard placed at gate for next two nights	Fireworks, grass fires

Hui	Maunga	Item	Description	Actions Taken	Link to broader issue or strategy
Hui 15	Ōhuiarangi	Drinking and vehicle-related incidents	Reports of drinking and vehicle related issues on and around the Maunga by neighbours	To discuss incidents with NZ Police and if problems look at surveillance to identify offenders	Security, alcohol bans on the Maunga, vehicles on Maunga
Hui 15	Maungawhau	Graffiti	Incident involving graffiti on summit road and vehicle barriers	Informed contractors of incident and graffiti removed	Vandalism
Hui 14	No Public Issues reported to Hui 14				
Hui 13	Mount Albert	Rubbish dumping	A member of the public informed Council of a truck load of concrete dumped on the access road to Mount Albert	The concrete has been removed and staff have located the contractor who is believed to have dumped material. Staff have passed the information on to Council compliance officers to follow up	
Hui 13	Otāhuhu	Volunteer day	Future Auckland Leaders held a volunteer day on Otāhuhu, inviting the Rugby League Club and locals to attend; approximately 100 volunteers attended, collecting rubbish and barking gardens	Manager, Tūpuna Maunga has been involved in the programme and has thanked the Future Auckland Leaders	Volunteer Strategy
Hui 13	Otāhuhu	Trail bikes – access and damage	Over the past few years a number of trail bikes have been using the maunga as an off-track course; this has been causing damage and issues with other visitors	Manager, Tūpuna Maunga have engaged a security company to investigate the matter and the details of a number of riders have been identified; discussions are due to take place with the riders shortly	Unauthorised activities on the Tūpuna Maunga
Hui 12	Maungawhau	Encroachment	Informed of an encroachment on Hillside Crescent.	Staff have recorded the details of the encroachment; will address this issue once the Integrated Management Plan has been completed and a position regarding encroachments has been identified.	Encroachments (Maunga Authority's position to be addressed in the Integrated Management Plan)
Hui 11	Maungakiekie	Replanting on Maungakiekie	Member of the public wanted to know about the possible replanting on the tīhi of Maungakiekie.	Staff spoke to individual and informed him the Maunga Authority would be considering whether to replant on the tīhi in due course. Staff agreed to update the individual when a decision is made.	Awaiting a decision from the Maunga Authority regarding replanting on Maungakiekie
Hui 11	Maungawhau	Cyclist speed	Numerous complaints have been received about the speed of cyclists on Maungawhau, in particular their failure to adhere to speed restrictions and stop signs.	Addressed the speed issue near the bus car park by placing additional signs and installing speed humps at the stop sign. Engaging with AT on speed calming measures (such as shared spaces) in the context of vehicle restrictions from the tīhi of Maungawhau as well as cycleways on the maunga more generally.	Cycleways on the Tūpuna Maunga Vehicle restrictions from the tīhi of Maungawhau
Hui 11	Takarunga	Tennis court fencing	Question received regarding the replacement program for the tennis court fencing; concern expressed that this should be addressed earlier than planned. Individual expressed a desire to look at fundraising through the local board or community to address the issue.	Staff spoke to the individual and explained the asset renewal programme in place and the current prioritisation system.	Public expectation regarding asset renewal will be an ongoing challenge. Staff will continue to explain this to members of the public while taking their feedback on board.
Hui 11	Maungawhau	Possible encroachment	Member of the public informed staff of a potential encroachment by his neighbour onto the maunga.	Staff are working with the Resource Consents Department to address this potential encroachment issue.	Encroachments (likely to be addressed in the IMP)
Hui 10	Mt Roskill	Removal of Cross	Member of the public queried the removal of the Cross.	Staff spoke to individual and explained the rationale for the Cross' removal, the discussions with ministers and the Puketāpapa Local Board, and the approval process for future applications.	Unauthorised structures on the Tūpuna Maunga
Hui 10	Mt Roskill	Removal of Cross	Member of the public queried the removal of the Cross.	Staff spoke to individual and explained the rationale for the Cross' removal, the discussions with ministers and the Puketāpapa Local Board, and the approval process for future applications.	Unauthorised structures on the Tūpuna Maunga

Hui	Maunga	Item	Description	Actions Taken	Link to broader issue or strategy
Hui 10	Mt Roskill	Removal of Cross	Member of the public queried the removal of the Cross.	Staff spoke to individual and explained the rationale for the Cross' removal, the discussions with ministers and the Puketāpapa Local Board, and the approval process for future applications.	Unauthorised structures on the Tūpuna Maunga



Attachment B

Tūpuna Maunga o Tāmaki Makaurau Authority  
MEDIA COVERAGE MAY / JUNE 2016

Project, activity or issue	Media Coverage May / June 2016	
Project lead	Justine Smith	<a href="mailto:justine.smith@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz">justine.smith@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz</a> 021 684 776
Communications lead	Mike George	<a href="mailto:mike.george@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz">mike.george@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz</a> 027 212 4512

Media coverage May and June	<p><b>Google Ranking Achievement</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Google is now automatically listing 'Tūpuna Maunga' as the <u>second option</u> whenever the word 'Tūpuna' is typed into the search bar: </li><li>Google is now ranking the Tūpuna Maunga o Tāmaki Makaurau Authority as <u>first choice</u> when searching with the words 'Tūpuna Maunga'</li></ul> <p>Searches related to tupuna maunga</p> <p>tupuna maunga o tamaki makaurau authority maunga authority members maunga authority auckland ngā mana whenua o tāmaki makaurau collective redress act 2014 paul majurey lawyer tupuna maunga o tamaki makaurau auckland maunga maunga authority contact</p> <p></p>
-----------------------------	---

## **Maungakiekie Tree Planting**

- Excellent coverage across print, radio, TV and online media in the weeks prior to and immediately after the planting ceremony
- All consistently positive and accurate with acknowledgement of the Treaty settlement and significance to Mana Whenua
- Grove concept widely understood and supported
- Excellent use of the artist impressions by media
- Really starting to see good use of Te Reo in media coverage of the maunga
- Excellent media turn-out at the planting ceremony on Saturday 11 June,

**12 May**

**Long wait for One Tree Hill Planting ends (STUFF)**

<http://www.stuff.co.nz/national/79967103/long-wait-for-one-tree-hill-replanting-ends.html>

**17 May**

**Maungakiekie happy place for tree planting (Radio Waatea)**

[http://www.waateanews.com/waateanews/x\\_story\\_id/MTM2Mjc=/Maungakiekie-happy-place-for-tree-planting](http://www.waateanews.com/waateanews/x_story_id/MTM2Mjc=/Maungakiekie-happy-place-for-tree-planting)

**18 May**

**Tree ceremony on summit (Central Leader – front page story)**

**3 June**

**Dawn of a new era for One Tree Hill (NZ Herald)**

[http://m.nzherald.co.nz/nz/news/article.cfm?c\\_id=1&objectid=11650429](http://m.nzherald.co.nz/nz/news/article.cfm?c_id=1&objectid=11650429)

**3 June**

**Trees to return to One Tree Hill (Radio NZ)**

<http://www.radionz.co.nz/news/regional/305615/trees-to-return-to-one-tree-hill>

**3 June**

**Trees returning to summit (Onehunga Community News – front page story)**

**11 June**

**What's on this weekend – event listing (NZ Herald)**

[http://m.nzherald.co.nz/lifestyle/news/article.cfm?c\\_id=6&objectid=11654079](http://m.nzherald.co.nz/lifestyle/news/article.cfm?c_id=6&objectid=11654079)

**11 June**

**Historic One Tree Hill planting a success (NZ Herald)**

[http://m.nzherald.co.nz/nz/news/article.cfm?c\\_id=1&objectid=11654714](http://m.nzherald.co.nz/nz/news/article.cfm?c_id=1&objectid=11654714)

**11 June**

**Hundreds turn out for One Tree Hill planting (STUFF / Auckland Now)**

<http://www.stuff.co.nz/auckland/local-news/80961808/hundreds-turn-out-for-one-tree-hill-planting>

**11 June**

**One Tree Hill gets not one but nine trees back (TV One News)**  
<https://www.tvnz.co.nz/one-news/new-zealand/one-tree-hill-gets-not-but-nine-trees-back?autoPlay=4935557895001>

**11 June**

**New trees planted on One Tree Hill (TV3 / News Hub)**  
<http://www.newshub.co.nz/nznews/new-trees-planted-on-one-tree-hill-2016061107#axzz4C6IEzn53>

**11 June**

**One Tree Hill summit gets new grove (NZ City)**  
<http://home.nzcity.co.nz/news/article.aspx?id=227896>

**11 June**

**Krum helps return the one tree to One Tree Hill, finally (Voxy)**  
<http://www.voxy.co.nz/national/5/254211>

**11 June**

**Trees return to One Tree Hill (Voxy)**  
<http://www.voxy.co.nz/national/5/254204>

**11 June**

**Trees on Maungakiekie: “We’ve come full circle” (Radio NZ)**  
<http://www.radionz.co.nz/news/regional/306126/trees-on-maungakiekie-'we've-come-full-circle'>

**11 June**

**News trees added to One Tree Hill (Newstalk ZB)**  
<http://www.newstalkzb.co.nz/news/national/new-trees-added-to-one-tree-hill/>

**12 June**

**Editorial: One Tree Hill planting shows neither Maori nor English can serve as common language for our kids (STUFF / Sunday Star Times)**  
<http://www.stuff.co.nz/national/80967824/editorial-one-tree-hill-planting-shows-neither-maori-nor-english-can-serve-as-common-language-for-our-kids>

**12 June**

**Tree planting celebrates settlement (Radio Waatea)**  
[http://www.waateanews.com/waateanews/x\\_story\\_id/MTM4MjM=/National/x\\_story/Tree-planting-celebrates-settlement](http://www.waateanews.com/waateanews/x_story_id/MTM4MjM=/National/x_story/Tree-planting-celebrates-settlement)

**20 June**

**Trees on Maungakiekie (Hauraki Gulf Forum Quarterly Newsletter)**

## **Maungawhau - Toilets**

**1 June**

**Toilets for Mt Eden after men seen defecating (NZ Herald)**  
[http://www.nzherald.co.nz/nz/news/article.cfm?c\\_id=1&objectid=11648270](http://www.nzherald.co.nz/nz/news/article.cfm?c_id=1&objectid=11648270)

## **Takarunga - IMP**

### **6 May - Devonport Flagstaff**

#### **Mt Victoria maintenance budget gets a major boost**

The annual maintenance budget for Mt Victoria/Takarunga has leaped from \$34,000 to more than \$160,000.

For 2016/17, \$161,100 has been set aside with funds going towards capital projects, operational and maintenance costs, and strategy, policy and planning work.

The biggest expense is the maunga's vegetation with planned spending including:

- \$23,000 towards an assessment of all mature vegetation and its maintenance
- \$15,000 for vegetation control around pits and terraces
- \$11,000 for pest management
- \$2,000 towards fire prevention.

Structures on the mountain are the next biggest spend, and include:

- \$21,600 for building maintenance of the Kerr Street Artspace, Michael King Writers' Centre and Devonport Folk Club
- \$5,000 for a cultural heritage "assessment of all current assets to identify redundant assets for removal," such as old and redundant bollards, seats, noticeboards

or signs

- \$13,000 for new signage
- \$5,000 for signs to discourage off-track activities on the mountain. Signs will also be installed on the summit "to inform visitors of the significance of the maunga," the draft plan says.
- \$8,000 has been earmarked for the development of sign and design guidelines as well as installation of entry and way finding signage.

The replacement of the tennis court fence remains to be confirmed but is estimated to cost around \$30,000.

The Maunga Authority, which now administers the mountain, also inherits two contracts. One is with Global Security for gate closure and openings, at \$735 per year. The second is Recreation Services for \$34,000, which includes mowing and weed eating, path maintenance and rubbish removal.

The Maunga Authority's net operating budget for its 14 Auckland cones is \$2,863,020.

#### **Local Board “frustrated” by Maunga Authority treatment**

Thinly veiled frustration at its treatment by the Maunga Authority has bubbled over at the Devonport-Takapuna Local Board (DLTB).

The board and its predecessors – the Devonport Community Board and the Devonport Borough Council – have paid key roles in administering Mt Victoria, and to a lesser degree North Head, which was controlled by Defence, then DOC.

The management of Auckland's volcanic cones, including Mount Victoria, now lies with the Maunga Authority, a statutory body, independent of council, which co-manages Auckland's 14 maunga alongside council staff.

The board says it is now being treated like a member of the public. "While the board respects the fact that the authority is a body that has a statutory independence from council, it is frustrated and concerned at the inference that it (the local board) has no greater interest in the operational plans for the maunga than the public generally," it said in its submission on the future of the maunga.

The board had received a copy of the draft plan on 23 February. It was asked to submit its feedback by March 24, the same day public submissions were due.

The DLTB asked to be fully consulted on the future development plans specific to Mt Victoria and North Head.

## Takarunga - Possums

### 20 May - Devonport Flagstaff

#### We're going on a possum hunt... but without a fur coat

Possum traps have been laid on Mt Victoria, although there's no evidence any of the marsupial pests have taken up residence.

Devonport local Roger Giles, who knows the mountain as well as anyone, says in four decades of walking up, down and around the mountain every day, he has never seen a possum.

"There used to be possums in the trees on Memorial Drive about 20 years ago. Every once in a while you'd see one dead on the road, but I have never seen a possum on Mount Victoria in my 40 years on the mountain."

"The only thing that could attract them up there are the pohutukawa trees when they are in bloom, which they are not right now," he says.

Giles, who is president of the Devonport Folk Club based in the Bunker on the maunga, says he sees plenty of other wildlife, apart from possums.

"There is a morepork living on the Church St side of Mount Victoria. I have seen it sitting on the handrail, when I'm going to the Bunker at night, and regularly see its catch on the bottom path. I think it's where the kaka used to live, but I haven't seen those in a while," he says.

In terms of pests, rats are the real problem Giles encounters on the mountain. "There are hundreds of them on the slope facing Lake Rd, mostly under the oak trees, where they live off



#### Fur patrol... the campaign is launched

the acorns," he says.

Ian Nunn, owner of pest control company Select Home Services, says he had only encountered one possum on the Devonport Peninsula since he started operating in 1996.

It was at a Clarence St address in 2004, he says.

The Flagstaff sent queries to both the Maunga Authority and Auckland Council, but had no response from either before deadline.

### 3 June - Devonport Flagstaff

#### Maunga Authority defends possum hunt

Traps are being installed on Mt Victoria so the Maunga Authority can monitor the presence of possums.

The Flagstaff (May 20) reported the possum hunt after signs were erected on the mountain alerting people to traps. However, a pest controller who has worked on the Devonport peninsula said there had been no sightings of possums since 2004. Other mountain users said there was no evidence of possums either.

But the Maunga Authority, which administers the mountain, has defended the trapping programme.

At a recent Devonport Environmental Network meeting, Malcolm Harrison, a biosecurity advisor to the authority, said the traps are being set on all 14 maunga across Auckland. "The programme is designed for us to see where we will put future resources

in terms of trapping," he said.

A community group at the northern end of the Devonport peninsula had alerted Harrison to recent possum sightings and he wanted to know whether the animals had travelled south.

In winter months, possums are known to venture long distances in search of food. Should possums be in the area, they would be drawn to Mt Vic, Harrison said. "The bush and adjacent parks offer an ideal habitat and potential food source. Male possums are drawn to high points where they call from to find a mate or to claim their territory."

At the meeting, Harrison asked community volunteers to help with monitoring the traps.

But Roger Giles, Mt Victoria's unofficial guardian, told him: "I have more important things to do than set traps for things that aren't there."

## 17 June - Devonport Flagstaff

THE DEVONPORT FLAGSTAFF PAGE 17

# Possum invasion?

The possum trapping by the Maugna Authority on Mt Victoria may not be off the mark at all.

The trapping by the authority had been derided by regular users of the mountain and the Flagstaff as a waste of money as possums had not been seen on the mountain in living memory.

However last week the Flagstaff was sent pictures by a reader of a possum said to be on the mountain.

And then on Monday night Flagstaff reporter Maire Vieth spotted a possum in a tree on Chinaman's Hill just up from Devonport New World – only a short distance from Mt Victoria.

Scott De Silva, Manager Tūpuna Maunga, said the reason for the initial trapping programme was: "We received a report from a

resident in Ngataringa Bay who is familiar with possum browse (evidence of eating of leaves and new shoots of trees and plants) in trees on their property and along the foreshore, which signals possum presence in the area.

"Although this is not right next to the maunga, it is within travel distance for a possum. The average home range of a possum is between 1.3 and 1.9 hectares, but research has shown that they will travel up to 15 times their home range in distance (up to 1.9 km) to seek seasonal food that is available. This puts Takarunga in range of the above mentioned reports," De Silva said.

The Flagstaff is happy to eat humble pie on this and maybe needs to get out at night more.

And perhaps trapping needs to be done wider afield – on Waitemata Golf Course, Mt Cambria and North Head.

## 17 June - Devonport Flagstaff

THE DEVONPORT FLAGSTAFF PAGE 23

# Service to resume at Mt Vic tennis court after repairs

A \$30,000 fence repair on Mt Victoria's tennis court has been approved.

Scott De Silva, Manager Tu-puna Maunga, says the tennis court fence has been added to a list of works planned for the coming year in the authority's 2016/2017 operational plan.

A start date will be set once "all aspects of the project have been determined and any design and consenting requirements have been fully outlined," De Silva says.

Long-time local resident and tennis player Paul Anderson brought the need for the roadside fence replacement to council's attention just over a year ago. Anderson felt it was unsafe to play on the court without it.

The previous fence had been in poor repair and was removed. Anderson was initially told there were no plans to replace it.

<b>Spokesperson</b>	Paul Majurey, Chair, Tūpuna Maunga o Tāmaki Makaurau Authority is the spokesperson for all media queries.
<b>Media inquiries</b>	Media inquiries can be referred to Communications Advisor for the Tūpuna Maunga Authority, Mike George, on 027 212 4512 or <a href="mailto:mike.george@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz">mike.george@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz</a>

**Attachment C**  
**Resource Consents Register**

This attachmet was not available at the time the agenda went to print and will be tabled at Hui 19



**Attachment D**

**Events Register**

Hui reported to	Maunga	Applicant	Event Description	Event date	Status	Revenue
Hui 19	Maungakiekie	Auckland City Athletics	Fun Run	11/06/16	Approved	No charge
Hui 19	Maungawhau	Unitec	Lichen collection	n/a	Approved	No charge
Hui 19	Maungawhau	Maunga Authority	Love Your Maunga	10/04/16	Approved	No charge
Hui 19	Maungawhau	Baxter Dance School	End of term performance	9/04/16	Approved	\$280.00
Hui 18	Mt Roskill	Puketapapa Local Board	Manu Aute Kite Day	26/06/16	Approved	No charge
Hui 18	Maungakiekie	Auckland Council	Playground upgrade	tbc	Approved	No charge
Hui 18	Mangere Mountain	Papatuanuku Kokiri Marae & AT	Raising awareness of Maori suicide	20/03/16	Approved	No charge
Hui 18	Takarunga	Combined churches of Devonport	Easter procession	25/03/16	Approved	\$90.00
Hui 18	Mangere Mountain	Mangere Parishes	Easter procession	25/03/16	Approved	\$90.00
Hui 18	Mt Roskill	Filipino Catholic Church Auckland	Easter procession	25/03/16	Approved	\$90.00
Hui 18	Mt Roskill	Combined churches of Mt Roskill	Easter procession	25/03/16	Approved	\$90.00
Hui 18	Maungawhau	Rebecca Hobbs - Student	Interpretive dance of historic event		Declined	
Hui 18	Various	Rebecca Hobbs - Student	Art associated with maunga	tbc	Approved	No charge
Hui 18	Maungakiekie	Auckland Grammar School	Fun run	postponed	Approved	No charge
Hui 18	Ohinerau	Water care	Waterline upgrade	tbc	Approved	No charge
Hui 18	Maungawhau	Wendy Waller	Wedding	3/01/16	Approved	No charge due to operational issues
Hui 18	Maungakiekie	Panmure Bridge School	Walk and picnic	11/12/15	Approved	No charge
Hui 18	Maungawhau	Mt Eden Normal School	Fun run	29/11/15	Approved	\$190.00
Hui 17	No events applications reported for this period					
Hui 16	No events applications reported for this period					
Hui 15	Maungawhau	Maori TV	Te Aroha Series	20/11/15	Approved	\$900.00

Hui reported to	Maunga	Applicant	Event Description	Event date	Status	Revenue
Hui 15	Maungarei	"Chief Gary"	Filming Application	13/11/15	Approved	\$1800.00
Hui 15	Maungarei	"The Rehearsal"	Filming Application	6/10/15	Approved	\$1800.00
Hui 14	No events applications reported for this period					
Hui 13	Maungarei	NZ Secondary Schools	Cycling event	5/9/15	Approved	\$900.00
Hui 13	Maungawhau	Walk for Hope	Raising awareness on abuse of women	17/10/15	Approved	\$180.00
Hui 13	Maungawhau	Auckland Council / Local Boards	Movies in the Park	12/2/16	Approved	No charge
Hui 13	Maungarei	NZ Film Industry	New Zealand film "The Rehearsal"	6/10/15	Approved	\$1800.00
Hui 13	Maungarei	Panmure King of the Hill	Cycling and running event	11/10/15	Approved	\$280.00
Hui 12	Maungakiekie	Assistance Dogs New Zealand Trust	Walk for Assistance	2/08/15	Approved	\$380.00
Hui 11	No events applications recorded for this period					
Hui 10	Maungawhau	Te Puna Wananga – Epsom Campus	Matariki celebration	22/06/15	Approved	No charge
Hui 10	Maungawhau	Josephine Nathan	Kaupapa - Sexual abuse awareness	11/06/15	Approved	No charge
Hui 10	Maungakiekie	Auckland Council	Matariki celebration	20/06/15	Approved	No charge
Hui 10	Maungakiekie	Auckland City Athletics	King of the Mountain Run	6/06/15	Approved	No charge
Hui 9	Maungawhau	Friends of Maungawhau	Guided walk	25/07/2015	Approved	No charge
Hui 9	Maungakiekie	Auckland Council	Matariki celebration	20/06/2015	Approved	No charge
Hui 9	Mt Roskill	Puketapapa Local Board	Matariki celebration	28/6/2015	Approved	No charge
Hui 8	No events applications recorded for this period					